



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_40457
Title of the Manuscript:	WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR BIOFERTILIZERS AMONG GRAIN LEGUME FARMERS IN NORTHERN GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:


This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Well <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Abstract is well written, needs little modificationMerge the AbstractKey words: Put them in Alphabetical order <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">Well written, however needs modification;Add more recent references <p>Materials and Methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">Well written, however needs little modification. <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Well written, needs modification <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Well discussed, however should be based on the data of the research work <p>References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Not as per Journal Standard <p>What does it men in the following fig. Of Materials and methods</p>  <p>Paper is too lengthy Make it concise and brief</p>	Ok noted.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Spacing and Grammatical mistakes	