



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_40457
Title of the Manuscript:	WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR BIOFERTILIZERS AMONG GRAIN LEGUME FARMERS IN NORTHERN GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author did not explain explicitly why he chose certain areas of Ghana for research, and whether the results he obtained for other regions are appropriate. In addition, it is unclear why the subject of research is so important to the author and from which considerations he is chosen.	In the methodology under the heading "Study Area", it is explicitly stated why those areas of Ghana were selected for the research (Lines 106-117) The importance of the subject of research is also elaborated in my entire "Introduction" (Lines 36-70). I am however open to specific suggestions from the reviewer if any.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author gives calculations on a complex formula, but their results are difficult to accept, since full statistical statistical estimates of data are not presented.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In general, it is unclear the meaning of bringing a large number of primary data in tables	