



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_33291</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>WATER CONING PREDICTION REVIEW: DEVELOPING AN INTEGRATED APPROACH</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Consider modifying the title of the Manuscript to Water Coning Control or Water Coning- An integrated approach or Water coning Management during oil production – An integrated approach. This is because the review went beyond prediction and extended to control methods.</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript also requires several grammatical mistakes were observed.</b></p> <p><b>The integration approach suggested has not been well articulated. Any field example and lessons learnt?</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Sunday O. Isehunwa</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Department of Petroleum Engineering, University of Ibadan, Nigeria</i></b>