



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_33291
Title of the Manuscript:	WATER CONING PREDICTION REVIEW: DEVELOPING AN INTEGRATED APPROACH
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In part 3 Water coning predictions, the authors summarize the analytical approach, empirical approach and numerical approach. However, the formulas of different analytical approach, empirical approach are lacked, and the schematic diagram of numerical simulation results is also required.	
Minor REVISION comments	The authors introduced a variety of water coning control methods. In order to enhance the readability of the article, some field application results of these methods should be added.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jun Zhou
Department, University & Country	Institute of reservoir stimulation, Sinopec, China