# 1 Original Research Article 2 OORRELATION BETWEEN OXIDATIVE STRESS MARKERS AND ATHEROGENIC INDICES 4 IN TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS 5

## 6 **ABSTRACT**

7 Worldwide, approximately 200 million individuals are currently suffering of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM). 8 Diabetes mellitus is associated with hyperglycemia, which induces oxidative stress that is responsible for 9 the various complications associated with the disease. This study was designed to know the relationship 10 between oxidative stress and atherogenic indices of plasma in type 2 diabetic and non-diabetic subjects. A total number of eighty (80) subjects comprising 58 diabetic subjects with mean age (62.91±10.57) years 11 12 and 22 non-diabetic subjects with mean age (55.27±16.62) years were studied. Estimation of enzymatic 13 and non-enzymatic oxidative stress markers (which include MDA, SOD, GPx, CAT, Uric acid and Albumin) and atherogenic indices (TCHOL, TG, HDL, LDL) were done respectively using standard 14 15 spectrophotometric techniques. The plasma mean of SOD, GPx, CAT and albumin were significantly 16 lower in diabetic subjects compared with control group. However, TChol, HDL, MDA and uric acid were 17 significantly higher in diabetic subjects compared with controls. The findings of this study show significant 18 differences in dyslipidemia, lipid peroxidation and increasing of oxidative stress markers from naïve type 2 19 diabetic subjects through controls. Thus, early diagnosis and management of this condition is necessary 20 in order to incorporate antioxidant supplement as a supportive therapy for adequate glycaemic control.

21 Keywords: Diabetes mellitus, Oxidative stress, antioxodant, CVD, atherogenic indices

22

## 23 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM), commonly referred to as diabetes, is a group of metabolic diseases characterized by chronic hyperglycaemia over a prolonged period. Diabetes is either due to the pancreas inability to produce adequate insulin or insulin resistant to the cells of the body [1]. As of 2014, estimated 387 million diabetes cases have been reported worldwide [2] with type 2 DM making up about 90% of the case [3].

This represents 8.3% of the adult population with equal rates in both women and men [4]. From 2012 to 2014, diabetes is estimated to have resulted in 1.5 to 4.9 million deaths each year and the number of individuals with diabetes are expected to rise to 592 million by 2035 [5]. Diabetes has been reported to at least double individuals' risk of death [6].

32 There are three main types of diabetes mellitus as reported by Picot et al. [7]: Type 1 DM, type 2 DM and 33 gestational diabetes. Inability of the pancreas to produce enough insulin is the main cause of type 1 DM 34 and this type was previously referred to as "insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus" (IDDM) or "juvenile 35 diabetes" [4]. Type 2 DM begins with insulin resistance; a condition in which cells fail to respond to insulin 36 properly [4]. As the disease progresses, a lack of insulin may also develop [8]. This form was previously 37 referred to as "non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus" (NIDDM) or "adult-onset diabetes". The primary 38 cause is excessive body weight and inadequate exercise [4]. Gestational diabetes is the third main type 39 and occurs when pregnant women without a previous history of diabetes develop hyperglycaemic 40 condition [4]. Type 2 diabetes is typically a chronic disease associated with a ten-year-shorter life 41 expectancy. Long-term complications from this condition includes heart disease, strokes, diabetic 42 retinopathy, kidney failure, and poor blood flow in the limbs leading to amputations [1].

43 Free radicals are atoms or group of atoms with an unpaired number of electron(s) in their outer most shell 44 and can be possibly formed when oxygen interacts with certain biomolecules [9]. Once formed, these 45 highly reactive species can start a chain reaction. Their chief danger comes from the damage they can do 46 when they react with important cellular component such as DNA, or the cell membrane [9]. Cells might 47 function poorly or die if this eventually occurs and could not be arrested on time. To prevent free radical 48 effect(s), the body has a defense mechanism system of antioxidant [10]. An antioxidant is a molecule that 49 inhibits the oxidation of other molecules, while oxidation is a chemical reaction that can produce free 50 radicals, leading to chain reaction that may damage cells. Thus antioxidant such as thiols or ascorbic 51 acid terminates this chain reaction [11]. To balance the oxidative state, plant and animal maintain 52 complex system of overlapping antioxidant, such as glutathione and enzymes (such as catalase) 53 produced internally or Vitamin C, Vitamin A, and Vitamin E obtained by ingestion [12]. Antioxidants are 54 widely used in dietary supplements and have been investigated to be highly effective for the prevention of 55 diseases such as cancer and coronary heart diseases [13].

56 Oxidative stress reflects an imbalance between the systemic manifestation of reactive oxygen species 57 (ROS) and a biological system's ability to readily detoxify the reactive intermediates or to repair the 58 resulting damage. Disturbances in the normal redox state of cells could possibly cause toxic effects 59 through the production of peroxides and free radicals that damage body's biomolecules, including 60 proteins, lipids, and DNA [14]. Oxidative stress from oxidative metabolism has been reported to cause 61 base damage, as well as break strand in DNA [15]. In humans, oxidative stress is thought to be involved 62 in the development of atherosclerosis and had been sited to be of etiological importance in cardiovascular 63 diseases [16], which could be related to diet and also metabolic disorders with abnormal lipid metabolism 64 [17]. In either of the case it results to atherosclerotic endothelial dysfunction from arterial diseases and 65 this has been reported to be responsible for about 30% of death worldwide [16]. Diabetes mellitus is 66 characterized with hyperglycemia, which may induce oxidative stress that is responsible for the various 67 complications associated with the disease [18], which affects the heart, the nerves and the retina resulting 68 into heart disorders [19]. The characteristics of diabetic mellitus such as hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, 69 inflammation and oxidative stress affect the vascular wall and thus accelerate atherosclerosis and its 70 clinical complications [10]. Atheroslerotic disorder of the coronary arteries usually result in partial or 71 complete occlusion of vascular lumen and this is of pathologic significance in determining the morbidity 72 and mortality pattern of ischemic heart disease (IHD) [10]. Coronary artery disease (CAD) is initially 73 symptomless with normal basic activities but as the disease progresses, the degree of lumen narrowing is 74 sufficiently great and this limit increase in blood flow during exercise and thus producing symptoms of 75 angina pectoris which can lead to heart attack [20].

Oxidative stress is associated with increased production of oxidizing species or a significant decrease in the effectiveness of antioxidant defenses, such as glutathione [21]. The effects of oxidative stress depend upon the size of these changes, with a cell being able to overcome small perturbations and regain its original state. However, more severe oxidative stress can cause cell death and even moderate oxidation can trigger apoptosis, while more intense stress may cause necrosis [22]. Worldwide, approximately 200 million individuals are currently suffering of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) [2]. Some studies have shown that Type 2 DM subjects generally carry a number of risk factors for coronary vascular disease (CVD),

which is found to be characterized with hyperglycemia, abnormal lipid profiles pattern and alterations in inflammatory mediators [20]. Thus, diabetes mellitus associated with cardiovascular diseases tends to be one of the highest causes of death worldwide. This study therefore aimed to know the relationship between oxidative stress biomarkers and atherogenic indices of plasma in type 2 diabetes mellitus, which might contribute to the incidence of CVD in this condition if it is not ameliorated on time.

## 88 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 89 **2.1 Study population**

This study was conducted at Federal Medical Centre, Owo, Ondo State. Owo is a town in Ondo State situated at south-western Nigeria, with latitude 710'59.998''N and longitude 534'59.988''E at an average altitude of 348 meters. It is at the southern edge of the Yoruba hills, and at the intersections of roads from Akure and Benin City. The community has a population of 276, 593 according to national population in the year 2006 census [23].

#### 95 2.2 Study design

96 This is a case-control study and it was conducted at Federal Medical Centre, (FMC) Owo, which serve as 97 tertiary health institutions in Ondo State. The research was conducted between January to July, 2016. A 98 total number of eighty (80) type 2 diabetes mellitus subjects (both males and females) aged between 30 -99 80 years, which were sub-divided into diabetic mellitus subjects under treatment, DMUT and naïve 100 diabetic subjects (which are newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus) attending diabetic clinic at Federal 101 Medical Centre, Owo were randomly selected for this study. Type 2 diabetes mellitus subjects in this 102 study were diagnosed according to guideline of WHO [24]. Their medical history and personal data was 103 obtained via short structured questionnaire after due approval from the ethical committee of the hospital. 104 Forty (22) age and sex matched apparently healthy controls with no history of diabetes mellitus were 105 enrolled into this study. Informed consent was thus obtained from all the participants.

#### 106 **2.3 Ethical clearance and consent**

107 Subjects participating in this study were fully briefed on the research protocols in the clinic after which 108 they were being required to sign a written consent. After that, a pre-designed structural questionnaire was

109 utilized to collect bio-data, and socio-demographic characteristics of the patients. Approval for this study 110 was obtained from the Federal Medical Centre, Owo and Ethical Clearance 111 (FMC/OW/380/VOL.XXIX/197) was issued by Ethical Committee Federal Medical Centre, Owo.

## 112 2.4 Collection and Storage of Samples

113 Blood samples were obtained from each subject by applying a tourniquet around the arm above elbow. 114 The ante-cubital forsa was disinfected with a 70% alcohol soaked swab. Six milliliters (6mls) of venous 115 blood was collected from each subject using aseptic procedure after 12 hours fast. 4mls of venous blood 116 was dispensed into 5 ml sterile vacutainer bottle containing lithium heparin anticoagulant and gently 117 mixed by inverting the container severally for the determination of lipids profile and oxidative stress 118 markers. The remaining (2mls) of the venous blood was dispensed into 3mls vacutainer bottle containing 119 fluoride oxalate anticoagulant which was also mixed gently by inverting the container several times for the 120 determination of plasma glucose. Plasma was separated from the blood by centrifugation for 5 minutes at 121 4000rpm, into plain bottles and stored at -20 ℃ until time of analysis.

#### 122 2.5 Analytical Methods

Height (m) was taken using a Stadiometer while body weight (kg) was taken using a body weight weighing scale with the subject wearing light clothing and without shoes. Body mass Index (BMI) was calculated as the ratio of weight (kg) to the square of height (m<sup>2</sup>). Blood pressure and pulse rate were taken simultaneously using a sphygmomanometer. Blood levels of fasting blood sugar and lipids profile were determined using standard spectrophotometric method [25] and standard methods were employed for the determination of SOD, CAT and GPx plasma activities [26, 27] and plasma levels of MDA, Uric acid and albumin [28, 29, 30].

#### 130 2.6 Statistical analysis of data

A statistical package for social scientist (SPSS) 17.0 was used for the analysis of the data appropriately. Continuous variables were displayed as means and standard deviation (SD) and categorical variables were displayed as percentage. The level of significance was taken at 95% confidence interval and P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

## 135 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 136 3.1 Results

A total number of eighty (80) subjects comprising 58 diabetic subjects with mean age (62.91±10.57) years and 22 non-diabetic subjects (control) with mean age (55.27±16.62) years were studied. Twenty three (23) out of the diabetic subjects were naïve (i.e. not yet placed on diabetic drugs) while the remaining 35 were already undergoing treatment.

Table 1 shows the age and sex distribution of all participants. Participants were aged between 30 and 80 years. There were 34 females and 24 males, and 13 females and 9 males in diabetic and non-diabetic groups respectively. Thus, females constituted 58.75% while males constituted 41.25% in overall.

Table 2 shows anthropometric indices and biochemical parameters in both diabetic and non-diabetic subject population. The mean body mass index (BMI), pulse, systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were significantly higher in diabetic subjects compared with controls, while there were no statistical significant in mean height and weight. The mean SOD, GPx, CAT and albumin were significantly lower in diabetic subjects compared with control group. However, TChol, HDL, MDA and uric acid were significantly higher in diabetic subjects compared with controls.

Table 3 shows the anthropometric indices and biochemical parameters in diabetic subjects (naive and
under treatment) and controls using One way analysis of variance (ANOVA), the mean BMI. Pulse, SBP,
DBP, FBS, TChI, TAG, HDL, MDA, Uric acid, SOD, GPx and CAT were significantly different among the
three groups

Table 4 indicates correlation of plasma levels of enzymatic antioxidant biomarkers with atherogenic indices and other parameters in diabetic subjects. CAT had positive correlation with FBS, TChol, TAG and LDL, but inverse correlation with HDL. Also, SOD showed statistical negative correlation with TChol, TAG, HDL and LDL, while GPx only had positive correlation with HDL, TChol:HDL and LDL:HDL. Finally, table 5 shows plasma levels of MDA had significant positive correlation with FBS, TChl and LDL. Uric acid showed statistical positive significant correlation with blood pressure (SBP and DBP), while albumin only had significant inverse correlation with pulse.

161

Age group	Diabetic Subjects		Non-diabet	Total	
(Years)	Male	Female	Male	Female	
31-40	-	1 (1.25)	4 (5)	3 (2.75)	8 (10)
41-50	8 (10)	2 (2.5)	-	3 (3.75)	13 (16.25)
51-60	2 (2.5)	8 (10)	1 (1.25)	2 (2.5)	13 (16.25)
61-70	10 (12.5)	15 (18.75)	1 (1.25)	3 (2.75)	29 (36.25)
71-80	4 (5)	8 (10)	3 (3.75)	2 (2.5)	17 (21.25)
Total	24 (30)	34 (42.5)	9 (11.25)	13 (16.25)	80 (100)

## 163 Table 1: Age and Sex distribution of the Subject population in percentage (%)

164

## 165 Table 2: anthropometric indices and biochemical parameters in both diabetic and non-

166 diabetic subject population

Parameters	Diabetic subjects (n=58)	Non-diabetic subjects (n=22)	P-value
BMI (Kg/m²)	28.93±7.68	24.88±5.11	0.025*
Pulse (b/m)	74.71±5.09	69.09±3.04	0.000*
SBP (mmHg)	129.16±13.25	115.73±8.69	0.000*
DBP (mmHg)	83.10±7.66	75.64±5.38	0.000*
FBS (mmol/l)	10.47±4.77	4.57±0.61	0.000*
TChl (mmol/l)	5.04±1.35	4.26±1.01	0.016*
TAG (mmol/l)	1.80±0.79	1.46±0.74	0.083
HDL (mmol/l)	1.42±0.47	1.04±0.27	0.001*
LDL (mmol/l)	2.81±0.91	2.56±0.62	0.252
TChI:HDL	3.80±1.34	4.20±0.74	0.186
LDL:HDL	2.19±1.08	2.57±0.68	0.127
SOD (U/ml)	2.03±0.69	3.19±1.39	0.000*
MDA (µmol/l)	3.25±1.45	2.51±0.96	0.030*
GPx (U/ml)	2.03±0.75	2.90±0.90	0.000*

CAT (U/L)	20.65±6.57	27.91±6.87	0.000*
Uric Acid (mmol/l)	392.71±174.54	287.99±125.75	0.012*
Albumin (mg/dl)	36.45±5.55	38.39±4.10	0.140

168 \* significant at p<0.05

## 169 **Table 3: Anthropometric indices and biochemical parameters in diabetic subjects (naive**

## 170 and under treatment) and controls

171

Parameters	Naïve DM (n=23)	DMUT (n=35)	Control (n=22)	F-value
BMI (Kg/m²)	30.44±6.28	27.94±8.42	24.88±5.11	0.035*
Pulse (b/m)	78.04±4.65	72.51±4.13	69.09±3.04	0.000*
SBP (mmHg)	135.00±12.61	125.31±12.38	115.73±8.69	0.000*
DBP (mmHg)	85.43±7.22	81.57±7.65	75.64±5.38	0.000*
FBS (mmol/l)	13.50±4.95	8.47±3.45	4.57±0.61	0.000*
TChl (mmol/l)	5.38±1.37	4.82±1.31	4.26±1.01	0.015*
TAG (mmol/l)	2.21±0.86	1.53±0.62	1.46±0.74	0.001*
HDL (mmol/l)	1.44±0.38	1.40±0.53	1.04±0.27	0.003*
LDL (mmol/l)	2.93±0.93	2.72±0.90	2.56±0.62	0.342
TChI:HDL	3.95±1.64	3.70±1.12	4.20±0.74	0.310
LDL:HDL	2.21±1.29	2.18±0.94	2.57±0.68	0.312
SOD (U/ml)	2.12±0.47	1.96±0.81	3.19±1.39	0.000*
MDA (µmol/l)	3.44±1.07	3.12±1.66	2.51±0.96	0.065
GPx (U/ml)	2.10±0.68	1.99±0.79	2.90±0.90	0.000*
CAT (U/L)	19.76±5.71	21.23±7.11	27.91±6.87	0.000*
Uric Acid (mmol/l)	437.30±155.11	363.41±182.41	287.99±125.75	0.010*
Albumin (mg/dl)	33.56±5.07	38.35±5.07	38.39±4.10	0.001*

172 \* significant at p<0.05

173

174

Table 4: Correlation of plasma levels of enzymatic antioxidant biomarkers with
 atherogenic indices and other parameters in diabetic subjects

	SOD		G	GPx		CAT	
	r-value	p-value	r-value	p-value	r-value	p-value	
BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	-0.151	0.259	0.040	0.765	-0.096	0.474	
Pulse (b/m)	0.130	0.332	0.108	0.419	0.015	0.910	
SBP (mmHg)	-0.033	0.807	-0.063	0.638	- 0.159	0.234	
DBP (mmHg)	-0.112	0.404	-0.127	0.342	-0.253	0.056	
FBS (mmol/l)	-0.064	0.635	-0.250	0.059	-0.373	0.004*	
TChl (mmol/l)	-0.474	0.000*	-0.235	0.076	-0.447	0.000*	
TAG (mmol/l)	-0.279	0.034*	- 0.104	0.439	-0.387	0.003*	
HDL (mmol/l)	-0.308	0.019*	0.286	0.029*	0.303	0.021*	
LDL (mmol/l)	-0.418	0.001*	0.070	0.603	-0.293	0.026*	
TChl:HDL	-0.010	0.938	0.297	0.024*	0.096	0.474	
LDL:HDL	0.001	0.994	0.287	0.029*	0.132	0.324	

178

\* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

179 **Table 5: Correlation of plasma levels of non-enzymatic biomarkers of oxidative stress** 

180 with atherogenic indices and other parameters in diabetic subjects

	MDA		Uric Acid		Albumin	
	r-value	p-value	r-value	p-value	r-value	p-value
BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	0.230	0.083	0.025	0.852	-0.155	0.244
Pulse (b/m)	0.121	0.366	0.184	0.166	-0.307	0.019*
SBP (mmHg)	0.101	0.450	0.312	0.017*	-0.121	0.366
DBP (mmHg)	0.231	0.081	0.291	0.027*	0.096	0.472
FBS (mmol/l)	0.382	0.003*	0.251	0.057	-0.321	0.014
TChl (mmol/l)	0.512	0.000*	-0.031	0.818	-0.036	0.790
TAG (mmol/l)	-0.336	0.010*	- 0.052	0.697	-0.192	0.149
HDL (mmol/l)	0.168	0.206	-0.073	0.587	-0.113	0.398
LDL (mmol/l)	0.460	0.000*	- 0.048	0.718	0.010	0.938
TChl:HDL	0.147	0.272	-0.057	0.674	0.034	0.798

LDL:HDL 0.113 0.400 - 0.072 0.591 0.068 0.613	

182 183 \* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

## 184 3.2 Discussion

Diabetes mellitus is associated with hyperglycemia which induces oxidative stress that is responsible for the various complications associated with the disease [18], which affects the heart, the nerves and the retina resulting into heart disorders [19]. The characteristics of diabetic mellitus such as hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, inflammation, and oxidative stress affect the vascular wall and thus accelerate atherosclerosis and its clinical complications [10].

190 Oxidative stress reflects an imbalance between the systemic manifestation of reactive oxygen species 191 and a biological system's ability to readily detoxify the reactive intermediates or to repair the resulting 192 damage. Oxidative stress depicts the existence of products called free radicals and reactive oxygen 193 species (ROS) which are formed under normal physiological conditions but become deleterious when 194 they are unable to be guenched by the antioxidant systems [31]. There are convincing experimental and 195 clinical evidences that the generation of reactive oxygen species is increased in both types of diabetes 196 and that the onset of diabetes is closely associated with oxidative stress [32]. Free radicals are formed 197 disproportionately in diabetes by glucose autoxidation, polyol pathway and non-enzymatic glycation of 198 proteins [33]. Abnormally high levels of free radicals and simultaneous decline of antioxidant defense 199 systems can lead to the damage of cellular organelles and enzymes, increase lipid peroxidation and 200 development of complications of diabetes mellitus [34].

From the results obtained in this study, it is evident that the diabetic patients had much higher glucose and lipids levels (TChol and TAG) when compared with non-diabetic subjects. Increased of theses indices in this work is consistent with Whiting et al. [35] which reported that chronic hyperglycemia could influence the generation of free radicals, which might eventually lead to increase lipid peroxidation and depletion of antioxidants. Significant lipid peroxidation, higher levels of lipids and lipid risk factors (such as increase in BMI, SBP & DBP) in diabetic subjects in this study are indicators for atherogenic changes

207 [36]. The products of lipid peroxidation are harmful to most cells in the body and are associated with a208 variety of diseases, such as atherosclerosis and brain damage [37].

The major finding of this study was that antioxidant levels, both enzymatic and non-enzymatic, were either significantly reduced or increased in diabetic subjects. Significantly decrease in albumin levels and elevated levels of uric acid in the diabetic subjects when compared with the corresponding control groups are reflective of the acute phase response. Acute-phase reactants are plasma proteins that alter in concentration sequel to an inflammatory stimulus [38]. Thus, decrease in plasma levels of albumin may be used as a marker of negative acute phase proteins in type 2 diabetic subjects.

215 Significantly increased mean levels of plasma Uric acid in diabetic cases when compared to controls is 216 associated with higher risk of type 2 diabetes, independent of obesity, dyslipidemia and high blood 217 pressure as reported by Dhengan et al. [39]. In humans, uric acid is the main plasma antioxidant followed 218 by vitamin C and thus, it stabilizes vitamin C in plasma and protects it from oxidation [40, 41]. Besides 219 that hyperuricaemia was presumed to be consequence of insulin resistant [39], Uric acid in the blood had 220 also been documented to scavenge superoxide radicals, hydroxyl radicals, singlet oxygen and could 221 chelate transition metals [42]. Thus increase in plasma levels of Uric acid in cases compared to controls 222 might be a compensatory mechanism to mump up free radicals generated in diabetic condition.

This study shows a significant increase in plasma MDA levels in type 2 diabetics when compared to controls indicating increase lipid peroxidation. Malondialdehyde (MDA) is a product of lipid peroxidation and provides a means of assessing the extent of lipid peroxidation. Our data show plasma levels of MDA had significant positive correlation with FBS and TChol. This finding is in agreement with previous report by Suchitra et al. [36] who also reported significant positive correlation of MDA with FBS and TChol in diabetic subjects. This correlation analysis also suggests that hyperglycemia per se is greatly involved in oxidative stress resulting in increased lipid peroxidation.

The significant reduction in activity of serum antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, CAT and GPx was recorded in this work among diabetic subjects when compared to controls. This observation is consistent with most invivo and invitro studies which demonstrated that the levels of antioxidant enzymes are altered

233 in chronic conditions [43]. Catalase catalyzes the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide to water and 234 oxygen. It is a very important enzyme in protecting the cell from oxidative damage by reactive oxygen 235 species (ROS) [44]. Superoxide dismutases are important antioxidant defense systems in nearly all cells 236 exposed to oxygen, they are proteins co-factored with copper and zinc, or manganese, iron, or nickel, 237 while GPx is a selenium dependent enzyme with peroxidase activity whose main biological role is to 238 protect the organism from oxidative damage. The biochemical function of glutathione peroxidase is to reduce lipid hydroperoxides to their corresponding alcohols and to reduce free hydrogen peroxide to 239 240 water [45]. Oxidative stress results when there is increased production of free radicals or decreased 241 activity of counter-actors, antioxidants or both in a combination [36]. These observations provide evidence 242 why there is increased in the oxidative stress among type 2 diabetes.

## 243 4.0 Conclusion and recommendations

The findings of this study show significant differences in dyslipidemia, lipid peroxidation and increasing of oxidative stress markers from naïve type 2 diabetic subjects through controls. Thus, early diagnosis and management of this condition is necessary in order to incorporate antioxidant supplement as a supportive therapy for adequate glycaemic control. This would go far in preventing development of oxidative stressassociated diabetic complications.

## 249 **REFERENCES**

- Shi Y and Hu FB. The global implications of diabetes and cancer. The Lancet. 2014; 383(9933):
   1947–1948. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60886-2.
- Flaxman AD, Lim SS, Vos T, Danaei G, Shibuya K and Adair-Rohani H *et al.* A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors clusters in 21 regions.
   The Lancet. 2015;380 (9858): 2224-2260.
- Verrotti A, Scaparrotta A, Olivieri C and Chiarelli F. Seizures and type 1 diabetes mellitus: current
   state of knowledge. European journal of endocrinology. 2012;167(6): 749–758.
- Kitabchi AE, Umpierrez GE, Miles JM, and Fisher JN. Hyperglycemic crises in adult patients with
   diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2009;32 (7): 1335–1343.

Sarwar N, Gao P, Seshasai SR, Gobin R, Kaptoge S, Di-Angelantonio E, et al. Diabetes mellitus,
 fasting blood glucose concentration, and risk of vascular disease. A collaborative meta-analysis
 of 102 prospective studies. The Lancet. 2015;375 (9733): 2215–2217.

Radford MJ, Tamis-Holland JE, Tommaso CL, Tracy CM, Woo YJ and Zhao DX et al. Guideline
 for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of
 Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines.
 Circulation. 2013;127 (4):362–425.

- Picot J, Jones J, Colquitt JL, Gospodarevskaya E, Loveman E, Baxter L and Clegg AJ. The
   clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of bariatric weight loss surgery for obesity a
   systematic review and economic evaluation. Health technology assessment (Winchester,
   England). 2009;13 (41):1–190, 215–357.
- Rippe JM edited by Irwin RS (2010). Manual of intensive care medicine (5th edn). Philadelphia:
   Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2010;549. ISBN 9780781799928
- Singh N, Dhalla AK, Seneviratne C and Singal PK. Oxidative stress and heart failure. Molecular
  and Cellular Biochemistry 1995; 147 (1): 77–81.
- 274 10. Pohanka M. Alzheimer's disease and oxidative stress: a review. Current Medicinal Chemistry.
  275 2013; 21 (3): 356–364.
- 11. Melnyk S, Pogribna M, Pogribny I, Hine RJ and James SJ. A new HPLC method for the
   simultaneous determination of oxidized and reduced plasma aminothiols using coulometric
   electrochemical detection. Journal nutr biochem. 2004;19 (10):490-497.
- 279 12. Spence VA, McLaren M, Hill A, Underwood C and Jill JF. Oxidative stress levels are raised in
   280 chronic fatigue syndrome and are associated with clinical symptoms. Free radical biology &
   281 medicine. 2005;39 (5): 584–589.
- 282 13. Gems D and Partridge L. Stress-response hormesis and aging: that which does not kill us makes
  283 us stronger. Cell Metab. 2008;7 (3): 200–203.
- 14. James SJ, Cutler P, Melnyk S, Jernigan S, Janak L and Gaylor DW. Metabolic biomarkers of
   increased oxidative stress and impaired methylation capacity in children with autism. Am J Clin
   Nutr. 2004;80(6): 1611–1617.

- 15. Kala C, Ali SS, Abid M, Rajpoot S and Khan NA. Protection Against FCA Induced Oxidative
   Stress Induced DNA Damage as a Model of Arthritis and In vitro Anti-arthritic Potential of Costus
   speciosus Rhizome Extract. International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical
   Research. 2015;7(2); 383-389.
- 16. Olooto EW, Ogundahunsi AO, Amballi AA, Onakomaya AO and Olawale OO. Modification of
   cardiovascular disease risk predict or (atherogenic and coronary risk indices) in type 2 diabetes
   mellitus by aqueous cocoa powder extract. Der Pharmacia Lettre. 2014;6 (4):261-266.
- 17. Noroozi M, Zavoshy R and Jahanihashemi, N. The effect of low calorie diet with soy protein on
   cardiovascular risk factors in hyperlipidemic patients. Pakistan journal of biological sciences.
   2011;10 (14):282-287.
- 297 18. Saio G, Recoba R. Barron H, Alvarez C and Favari L. Atherosclerosis, the major complication of
   298 diabetes, Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 2012;189: 277–297.
- 299 19. Einsenberg MJ, Afilalo J, Lawler PR, Michal A, Richard H and Pilote L. Cancer risk related to low
   300 dose ionizing radiation from cardiac imaging in patients after acute myocardial infarction. CMAJ.
   301 2011;10:1-7.
- 302 20. Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Després JP and Hu FB. Sugar Sweetened Beverages, Obesity,
   303 Type 2 Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease risk. Circulation. 2010;121(11): 1356–1364.
- 304 21. Schafer FQ and Buettner GR. Redox environment of the cell as viewed through the redox state of
   305 the glutathione disulfide/glutathione couple. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2001;30 (11): 119–121.
- 22. Lennon SV, Martin SJ and Cotter TG. Dose-dependent induction of apoptosis in human tumour
   cell lines by widely diverging stimuli. Cell Prolif. 1991;24 (2): 203–214.
- 308 23. National Population Commission: National and State population and housing tables: Priority
   309 tables; 200; 1:1-347.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Diabetes Mellitus and its complications. Report of WHO
   consultation (part 1): Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus; 1999;99 (2):1-58.
- 25. Cheesbrough M. Clinical Chemistry tests. In: District laboratory practice for tropical countries.
   Part 1, Cambridge University Press. 2009;310-392.
- 26. Sinha KA. Calorimetric assay of catalase. Analytical. Biochemistry. 1971;47:389-394.

- 27. Reddy KP, Subhani SM, Khan PA and Kumar KB. Effect of light and benzyl adenine and darktreated graving rice (Oryza sativa) leaves- changes in peroxidase activity. Plant Cell Physiol.
  1995;26:987-994.
- 28. Doumas BT, Watson WA and Biggs HG. Clinical Chemistry. Clin. Chem. Acta. 1971;31: 87-96.
- 29. Ohkawa H, Ohisi N and Yagi K. Assay for lipid peroxidesin animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid
   reaction. Anal. Biochem. 1979;95(2):351-358
- 30. Tietz NW. Clinical Guide to Laboratory Tests. Edited by W.B.Saunders. Philadelphia, PA.
   1995;518-519.
- 323 31. Fang YZ, Yang S, and Wu G. Free radical, antioxidant and nutrition. Nutrition. 2002;18:872–890.
- 32. Johansen JS, Harris AK, Rychly DJ and Ergul A. Oxidative stress and the use of antioxidants in
   diabetes: Linking basic science to clinical practice, Cardiovascular Diabetology. 2005;4: 5–9.
- 32. 33. Obrosova IG, Vanlteysen C, Fathallah L, Cao X, Greene DA and Stevens MJ. An aldose
   reductase inhibitor reverses early diabetes-induced changes in peripheral nerve function. FASEB
   J. 2002;16: 123–125.
- 329 34. Maritim AC, Sanders RA and Watkins JB. Diabetes, oxidative stress, and antioxidants: a review.
  330 J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol. 2003;17(1): 24-38.
- 331 35. Whiting PH, Kalansooriya A, Holbrook I, Haddad F and Jennings PE. The relationship between
   332 chronic glycaemic control and oxidative stress in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Br J Biomed Sci.
   333 2008;65:71–74.
- 334 36. Suchitra MM, Seshadri RV, Deepthi K, Alok S, and Srinivasa RP. An association of
   hyperglycemia with plasma malondialdehyde and atherogenic lipid risk factors in newly
   diagnosed Type 2 diabetic patients. J Res Med Sci. 2013;18(2): 89–93.
- 337 37. Acworth IN, Mccabe DR and Maher T. The analysis of free radicals, their reaction products, and
  antioxidants, in: S.I. Baskin, H. Salem (Eds.), Oxidants, Antioxidants and Free Radicals, Taylor
  and Francis, Washington, DC. 1997;140-145.
- 340 38. Hedo CC, Aken'ova YA, Okpala IE, Durojaiye AO and Salimonu LS. Acute phase reactants and
   341 severity of homozygous sickle cell disease. Journal of Internal Medicine. 1993;233: 467- 470.

346

342	39. Dhengan A, Van Hoel M, Sijbrands EJ, Hofman A and Witteman JC. High serum uric acid as a
343	novel risk factor for type 2 diabetes. Diabetes care. 2008;31(2): 361-362.
344	40. Squadrito GL, Cueto R, Splenser AE, Valavanidis, A, Zhang H, Uppu RM. Reaction of uric acid
345	with peroxynitrite and implications for the mechanism of neuroprotection by uric acid. Arch

- 347 41. Kumari MK and Devi MU (2016). Evaluation of Oxidative Stress in Type 2 Diabetics with Vascular
  348 Complications Dr. M. Kusuma Kumari1, Dr. M. Uma Devi2. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical
  349 Sciences. 2016;15(2):28-32.
- 350 42. Simie MG and Jovanovich SV. Antioxidation mechanisms of uric acid. Journal of America
   351 Chemistry Society. 1989;111:5778-5782.
- 43. Oberley TD. Oxidative Damage and Cancer. Am J Path. 2002;60: 403-408.

Biochem Biophy. 2000;376:333-337.

- 44. Chelikani P, Fita I and Loewen PC. Diversity of structures and properties among catalases. Cell.
   Molec. Life Sci. 2004; 61: 192–208
- 45. Igharo GO, Anetor JI, Osibanjo O, Osadolor HB, David MO and Agu KC. Oxidative Stress and
  Antioxidant Status in Nigerian E-waste Workers: A Cancer Risk Predictive Study. BJMMR.
  2016;13(2):1-11.