



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_29318
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION BETWEEN OXIDATIVE STRESS MARKERS AND ATHEROGENIC INDICES IN TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study showed the relationship between oxidative stress markers and atherogenic indices of plasma in type 2 diabetes mellitus, using conventional clinical laboratory techniques. Although the showed results are not new, it represents a contribution in the context of particular population.</p> <p>This work lacks rigor, particularly in cites, a lot of them do not have any relation with the text; moreover the authors overuse a reviews. I find drafting errors in references, I suggest use a reference manager software.</p> <p>Methodology to determination of oxidative stress markers should be better described</p> <p>Statistical analysis must include the test used. Why authors did not include a correlation graphs instead of table?</p> <p>All tables must include a footnote.</p> <p>Why authors said that "albumin were significantly lower in diabetic subjects compared with control group". I did not see any asterisk in table 2.</p> <p>The discussion section has to discuss more about of atherogenic risk and oxidative stress. How explain the association between acute inflammatory response and albumin? Why pulse parameter was including?</p>	<p>Thank you for your observation.</p> <p>I don't agree with the idea of writing all your full methodological analysis in the manuscript in as much as the reference is sited.</p> <p>The test tools used had been included as suggested. We prefer using tables because it was able to capture all the required information.</p> <p>The footnote had been included as suggested. All the necessary corrections had been done. This is just preliminary study and we couldn't link it in details with other acute inflammatory markers because we didn't analyse them. We only explained albumin's roles as negative acute inflammatory marker.</p> <p>Pulse is just a part of anthropometric indices obtained along.</p> <p>It is noted sir.</p> <p>Thank you so much all your observations and suggestions.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	There are a misspellings such as mls (millilitres), the text should be checked in its spelling and grammar	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		