



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_39412
Title of the Manuscript:	TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS OF ETHANOLIC LEAVES EXTRACT OF DISSOTHIS THOLLONII (MELASTOMATACEAE)
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>In Text, references are incorrect. Both, Number style and author name are written which indicated very poor write up at author end. Follow journal reference style Why did you use 5000 mg/kg dose? It could be tested under special request by regulatory authorities. Doses for sub acute study, need correction. In abstract and method, dose is written as 18,28 ; 62,50 in place of 18.28, 62.50.</b>	Thank for the observations: abstract is corrected as indicated by the two reviewers  As recommended by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) guideline 425, during toxicity studies we need to minimize the number of animal. During acute toxicology study we must state by the highest dose that is 5000mg/kg and if no died is register, we conclude that the extract is not toxic
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>