



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_4003
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative hepatoprotective potential of <i>Tinospora cordifolia</i>, <i>Tinospora sinensis</i> and <i>Neem-guduchi</i>
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. P2, Result: The abstract should be written in an informative style permitting its use, give essential details of research findings without further reference to the text, and avoid generalizations and nonessential Information.</p> <p>2. P15, Figure 1: The title of figure should be under the picture.</p> <p>3. P16, Table 1: "Normal control" or "Healthy control" ?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1.P2, line 38: "total bilirubin" or "BIL".</p> <p>2. P9, line 196: what is the "PCM".</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript report the comparative hepatoprotective potential of <i>T. cordifolia</i>, <i>T. sinensis</i> and <i>Neem-guduchi</i>, the methodology used is consistent and the results are very interesting. However, the chemical constituent of <i>T. sinensis</i> and <i>Neem-guduchi</i> are not clear.</p>	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer