SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART	1.
	.

FART I.	
Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_4003
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative hepatoprotective potential of Tinospora cordifolia,
	Tinospora sinensis and Neem-guduchi
Type of the Article	Research paper

<u>General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:</u>

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

• This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	 a. Author can put a word on why only male rats were selected in the methods section b. 84/85 - 'As T cordifolia is easily available and used in major proportion' - is either incomplete/needs grammer correction c. 186/187 - 'the estimation of serum bilirubin associated normal liver function' - is either incomplete/needs grammer correction 	
Minor REVISION comments	In many places 'Healthy' starts with upper case 'H". Is upper case necessary? same with 'Hepatocytes' – Is upper case required?	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well written – precise	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer