

Editor's Comment:

These we did in order to avoid a cumbersome scenario of how VEH compares with all others [EME (250), EME (500), EME (1000), EAF (250), EAF (500), EAF (1000), AF (250), AF (500), AF (1000) & DZP] and how each of these eg. EME (250), EME (500), EME (1000), EAF (250), EAF (500), EAF (1000), AF (250), AF (500), AF (1000) & DZP compares to each other since our results could as well be presented with the Dunnett (compare all vs vehicle) post hoc analysis. However, for the results of the mechanism of action, the Student- Newman-keuls test post hoc analysis was used.

These are the rationale for our decisions and we are hopeful that the evaluator will condescend with us, since his concern is for us to communicate our results better and improve the quality of our manuscript.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Stefano Manfredini
Professor of Pharmaceutical Chemistry and Toxicology, Department of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Faculty of Medicine Pharmacy and Prevention,
University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy