

Editor comments-

I had carefully read the final manuscript, reviewer's advice, and the authors response. At present manuscript, the authors present the regulated effects of Capsicum frutescens supplemented diet (C.F.S.D.) on fasting blood glucose level and biochemical parameters in alloxan induced diabetic wistar rats. Although the research was novel for Capsicum frutescens, however, the following question should be seriously consider:

1. abstract: At present research, there is not involving in the oxidative stress, however, the authors confirmed the ameliorated the oxidative stress in conclusion and the recommendation. This should be widely revised.
2. To improve sentence making and language used. Many sentences are complex to understand, can be simplified.
3. How many did the diet administer to the rat? At present research, the authors provide the 1% and 2% Capsicum frutescens diet, and twice daily for 21 day. However, I can get the dose of present information.
4. Discussion, the present discussion involved in too many in alloxan, please concentrating on the reseach topic, Capsicum frutescens.

So, I am seriously consider the present revision does not receive. Please carefully revise the present manuscript by native English pharmacologist.

AUTHORS FEEDBACK ON EDITORIAL COMMENTS

- 1) Though the anti-oxidant was not carried out in the present study, lots of other research on capsicum frutescens have established the anti-oxidant potentials of the plant. The authors in this work made reference to such studies in discussing the ameliorating ability of the plant in metabolic conditions the results in oxidative stress. The abstract therefore has being revised to reflect this position.
- 2) The sentences and languages have been revised following the input of the editorial board.
- 3) The dose used was 1g and 2g (1% and 2%) respectively.
- 4) We agree with the editorial comment regarding the discussion and have revised the discussion to properly reflect the research topic.

