



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>MS :2013_BJPR_3256</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECTS OF <i>CAPSICUM FRUTESCENS</i> SUPPLEMENTED DIET (C.F.S.D) ON FASTING BLOOD GLUCOSE LEVEL AND BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS IN ALLOXAN INDUCED DIABETIC WISTAR RATS.</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:**

**(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)**

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### **PART 2:** Review Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The abstract should be brief, at the "experimental design" is not necessary to place which analyzes were performed. Therefore I suggest that the phrase "Indexes investigated include ...." is withdrawn.</p> <p>Also not to be quoted in the table at the description of the results of the abstract.</p> <p>In some of the material should be placed on methods the protocol number of the Ethics Committee in animal experimental.</p> <p>Alloxan was used to induce type 1 diabetes, placing data on type 1 diabetes in the introduction.</p> <p>Why had no control group (normal animals) with supplementation of 1% and 2%?</p> <p>The conclusion should be improved, not just a repetition of the results already discussed.</p>	<p>The abstract has been revised again, and the comments of the reviewer taken into account.</p> <p>The results have been better presented and explained, please see revised manuscript.</p> <p>The conclusion has also being revised.</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		