

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_36766
Title of the Manuscript:	Zanthoxylum species in Uganda: a novel wound healing alternative
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed
		highlight that part in the manua
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	INTRODUCTION	
	It is well written and appopireate litereatures are also cited. But plant X should be written as zanthoxylum species	
	It would be more better to mention phytochemical profile of this plant from same country of origin.	
	MATERIALS AND METHODS Plant material identification should be mentioned with herbarium record no if any. Rewrite the process to getting extract on petroleum ether, ethanol and water.	
	RESLTS	
	It is interesting to see terpenoids in water extract, repeat or check it properly.	
	There is no methodology of isolation separation and identification. Have you isolated these compounds of fig 4 to 8 ? 'Five previously known alkaloids were detected in the plant'. If you have not isolated yourself, then delete it.	
Minor REVISION comments	Discussion part should be more specific and comparative of your research with previous similar research on same plants either from same origin or abroad.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rejendra gyawali
Department, University & Country	Pharmacy, Kathmandu university, Nepal

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write