



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_BJPR_25433</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Anti-hemorrhoid Evaluation of Selected Medicinal plants used in North-East Nigeria for the Treatment of Hemorrhoids (Pile)</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>  |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b><br/>REVISION<br/>comments</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Line No. 4 –‘It ‘ to be changed to <b>‘Its’</b>.</li> <li>• No. 21 – 25: To be rewritten with grammatical correctness.</li> <li>• No. 27- To be corrected as <b>‘Heredity’, ‘sex and pregnancy’</b>.</li> <li>• No. 28 –‘<b>Diarrhoea</b>’ (Sp.)</li> <li>• No. 29-30 – The statement lacks clarity - has to be reconstructed</li> <li>• No.32-‘Delete ‘The’</li> <li>• No. 35- 37 – The statement lacks clarity - has to be reconstructed</li> <li>• No.44- Change to <b>‘Rectum’</b></li> <li>• No.45- 46- Reconstruct the sentences as - <b>‘ ....using different plant species as concoction, decoction and macerated parts.’</b></li> <li>• No. 46- 48- Delete the sentence starting from’ <b>This research....antihemorroid properties’</b> (Since it is already mentioned as the objective)</li> <li>• No. 48 – 49- The highlighted part should be reconstructed because clarity is lacking in the statement.</li> <li>• No.52 – To be changed to <b>‘ ‘Aqous plant extract was prepared for..&amp; other plant extracts were prepared using.....’</b>.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The research value of the paper is very good.</li> <li>• The authors need to take care of the grammatical correctness of the sentences</li> <li>• Usually in ‘Discussion’, the references of the related work get figured . Here nothing has been mentioned about it.</li> </ul> <p>So, the authors have to relate their present research with the earlier related works done in the field</p> |



SDI Review Form 1.6

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No. 56 – to be changed to.. <b>‘5 each in accordance with the 5 test groups.’</b></li><li>• No. 66- 67 – <b>Remove the repeated words which are highlighted.</b></li><li>• No. 74 – insert <b>‘Which are’</b> at the highlighted region</li><li>• No. 93 – Add <b>‘out’</b> at the highlighted part</li><br/><li>• No.98 – In Table 1-<b>‘Put dot after ‘No’</b></li><li>• No. 115- Remove <b>‘a’</b></li><br/><li>• No. 129- <b>Delete ‘s’.</b></li><li>• No. 132 -133 – The highlighted portion may be deleted.</li><li>• No. 134- Remove <b>‘a’</b></li><li>• No.137 – Rewrite as <b>‘Ingredients’</b></li><li>• No. 139- Rewrite as <b>‘Possess’</b></li><li>• No. 140- <b>‘Used’</b></li><li>• No. 141 – <b>‘Conventional ‘</b> is a better word than ‘Orthodox’</li><li>• No. 142 –Rewrite as <b>‘..which is normally....’</b></li><li>• In Discussion, <b>none of the references mentioned at the end of the manuscript are figuring.</b></li></ul> |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                     |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION<br>comments   | <b>No. 48 – 49 – Something about the '<u>Study of Ecology &amp; method of preparation of drug</u>' are mentioned the explanation of which do not figure anywhere in the text .</b><br>Please clarify |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b><br>comments | The topic is good. But needs a lot of corrections before publishing , as mentioned above.  |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b><i>Mini N. Vijayan</i></b>  |
| Department, University & Country | <b><i>Department of Botany, Carmel College of Arts, Science &amp; Commerce(Goa), India</i></b> |