#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

| Journal Name:            | British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research   |
|--------------------------|--|
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_BJPR_25433  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Anti-hemorrhoid Evaluation of Selected Medicinal plants used in North-East Nigeria for the Treatment of Hemorrhoids (Pile) |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

|                              | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol> <li>The manuscript describes the screening results of plant extracts without pharmacological details, hardly justified as a full paper.</li> <li>The authors should consider inclusion of further data and discussions such as doseresponse, histological observation and other parameters.</li> <li>In the Experimental section, it says that inflammatory cytokines were measured but no such data are presented.</li> <li>The significance of the findings diminishes by using the i.p. route of administration. It is not consistent with the usual way of taking herbal medicines.</li> </ol> |   |
| Minor REVISION comments      | <ol> <li>Mention the plant part used for each plant.</li> <li>Explain why one plant was extracted with water while others with ethanol?</li> <li>The proportions of plant extracts in making up the KEP preparation should be given, with reasons.</li> <li>The Result section should be expanded in text form, instead of giving only tabular form.</li> </ol>   |   |
| Optional/General comments    | NIL   |   |

# **Reviewer Details:**

| Name:                            | Chun-Tao Che   |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Department, University & Country | Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, USA |