



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_25433
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-hemorrhoid Evaluation of Selected Medicinal plants used in North-East Nigeria for the Treatment of Hemorrhoids (Pile)
Type of Article	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>A number of the questions raised in previous review have not been answered or explained. The authors are requested to refer back to the original comments to either provide responses or make changes in the manuscript. It would be appreciated if they can clearly list detailed replies and/or actions done, point by point, in the Response section of the form.</p> <p>Additional comments:</p> <p>In the Plant Collection and Identification section (last sentence), it is said that "concoction, decoction and maceration" preparations were tried. What are the concoction and decoction preparations they refer to? What is the difference between concoction and decoction?</p> <p>The English language requires improvement throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>In summary, a substantial revision is needed for this manuscript.</p>	<p>The use of water to extract one of the plants was because water is the solvent used by traditional medical practitioners.</p> <p>The author did not mentioned that concoction or decoction was used in the experiment. The only section, these were mentioned was in the introduction part cited by author on previous works.</p> <p>The English has been improved all through.</p>