



## SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

### PART 1:

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_25433
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-hemorrhoid Evaluation of Selected Medicinal plants used in North-East Nigeria for the Treatment of Hemorrhoids (Pile)
Type of Article	Original research article

### PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Although the manuscript has improved after the first revision, the authors displayed a dismal commentary to the review comments raised with a sweeping "correction done". Authors should be more detailed in their review response for clarity. Below are few correction to be made (also check "2. Revised-ms_BJPR_25433_v1.pdf")</p> <p><b>Line 64: Grouping of Swiss albino rats</b> This section is not necessary. Add the grouping information to the "experimental animals" section</p> <p><b>Line 52:</b> Move this paragraph to become the first sentence of the last paragraph in the introduction (<b>Line 41</b>)</p> <p><b>Result and discussion.</b> The writing should be improved.</p> <p><b>Line 109-121.</b> This paragraph (and the table) should be moved to become the second paragraph of the discussion.</p> <p><b>Line 122:</b> This should be the first paragraph of your discussion.</p>	<p>The author have taken his time to effect all corrections as suggested. However, no additional information was provided by the author in results and discussion sections. This is because, the information provided by the author is sufficient enough to achieve the aim of the research.</p> <p>Lines, 52, 64, 109-121, 122 have been corrected also.</p>