Original Research Article

Effects of dilutions of *Apis mellifera* on mice treated with antiretroviral therapy

ABSTRACT

Aims: Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (HAART) is associated with significant reductions in mortality and risk of progression to AIDS but complications of long-standing HIV infection and treatment have become increasingly important. Such complications include to hepatic and nephrotoxic effects of HAART. Studies with honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) venom have been shown to anticancer effect, antimicrobial activity, immunomodulatory and vasoconstrictor effects. **Objective**: Current study evaluated the effect of dilutions of *Apis mellifera* on mice treated with antiretroviral therapy (HAART). **Material and methods**: Considered 10 animals per group of experimentation: (I) animals treated with HAART diluted in 1.2 mL water gavage/day, (II) animals treated with HAART diluted in 1.2 mL water gavage/day + *Apis mellifera* diluted $1x10^{12}$ in water 1.0 mL once daily added to the drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*, (III) animals treated with HAART diluted in 1.2 mL water gavage/day + *Apis mellifera* diluted $1x10^{60}$ in water 1.0 mL once daily added to the drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum,* (IV) untreated (control group) received 1.2 mL water by gavage/day. The experimental groups were treated for 15 days. Clinical evaluation (body weight, water intake and ration, excretion products, behavior) was performed before and after treatment and the serum cholesterol, triglycerides; hepatic enzymes (AST, ALT) and creatinine were assessed by specific methods. Results were analyzed with Graph Pad Prism using Student´s t test. **Results**. Animals treated with HAART and *Apis mellifera* diluted (II and III) had higher body weight gain, lower levels of triglycerides (20%), cholesterol (20%) and creatinine (50%) when compared to animals treated with antiretroviral therapy. **Conclusion**: Renal dysfunction is common in HIV-patients and studies are consistent with HAART inhibiting creatinine secretion. *Apis mellifera* diluted $1x10^{12}$ and $1x10^{60}$ showed a significant effect on creatinine levels compared to HAART group demonstrating possible effect on kidney injury.

Keywords: Apis mellifera, HIV/AIDS, antiretroviral, metabolic abnormalities

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the introduction of Highly Active Antirretroviral Therapy (HAART) has led to a dramatic decline in morbidity and mortality associated with human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) infection and acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS), several complications of long-standing infection and long-term treatment have been recognized with increasing frequency. These noninfectious comorbidities include a variety of renal diseases, liver toxicity, lipodystrophy, pancreatitis, hyperlipidemia, lactic acidosis and insulin resistance [1].

In spite of the evident benefits of antiretroviral therapy and suppresses viral replication on renal function, some antiretroviral drugs can occasionally induce a reversible or irreversible renal damage.

The occurrence of various kinds of nephrotoxicity has been reported in patients treated with nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) or protease inhibitors (PIs), but the pathogenetic mechanism of renal damage remains usually unknown. Only 3 antiretroviral agents have a well-established association with direct renal toxicity sustained by several case reports and cohort studies, namely tenofovir, indinavir and atazanavir [2][3][4].

Must drugs and their metabolites are excreted through the kidneys by glomerular filtration and tubular secretion. Particularly, drug and toxin excretion usually involves the proximal tubule where there is a

high rate of blood plow, and consequently this part of the nephron is at increased risk of developing drug-related injury. Moreover, proximal tubule dysfunction may be caused by a crystal-induced obstruction or by severe mitochondrial abnormalities induced by specific PIs or NRTIs. Otherwise, renal toxicity may be occur in the context of an idiopathic, systemic hypersensitivity reaction. Finally, chronic metabolic complications such as diabetes mellitus and dyslipidaemia) associated with life-long antiretroviral treatment might increase the risk of vascular chronic renal disease [5][6][7]

Several cases of renal tubular acidosis, Fanconi syndrome and nephrogenic diabetes insipidus have been described in patients receiving didanosine, stavudine, lamivudine or abacavir. [8][9]

The traditional use of animals or their products for medicinal purposes has been documented throughout history in ancient documents such as papyri, archives, and several classical medicinal compendiums, even going back to the practices of the ancient Mesopotamian, Assyrian and Babylonian civilizations [10]. Some of the best known medicinal compendiums contain animal simples are those from Hippocrates (Greece, V-IV century BC). About 10% of the medicinal simples included in the main classical works from animals [11].

Zootherapy, or use of animal products for the treatment of human or animal diseases, seems prevalent in certain areas of the world, particularly where traditional medicines are very important, more than allopathic medicine. This is case for areas such as Brazil [10], Middle East [11], Turkey [12], India [13], China [14] and Korea [15]. Few studies have been undertaken on the medicinal use of animal products in Europe [16].

The study of medicinal compounds derives from animals in traditional medicines is very important, since it has been estimated that over 80% of the global population has a health system based on traditional medicine, using mainly plants and animals [17].

Honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) venom contains a number of enzymes, peptides and vasoactive amines [18]. Melittin is the main component in the venom of the honey bee. It was multiple effects including antibacterial, antiviral and anti-inflammatory activities in various cell types [19].

Park et al.[20] demonstrate that honeybee venom possess a potent suppressive effect in anti-apoptotic responses of TNFα/ actinomycin D treated hepatocytes and suggest that these compounds may contribute substantial therapeutic potential for treatment of liver diseases.

Current study assessed the capacity of honeybee venom diluted in experimentally induced antiretroviral toxicity in mice.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Animals

Four-week old male Swiss Webster mice, weighing approximately 28-30 g, provided by the Central Animal Laboratory of the State University of Maringá, were used in the experiments. The Committee for Ethics in Animal Experiments of the State University of Maringá approved the experiments (Protocol number 3998020517/2017).

The animals, kept in cages with food and water *ad libitum*, were monitored daily, for 7 days, for clinical evaluation. They were kept in a vivarium of the Laboratory of Parasitology / DBS/UEM under ideal conditions: temperature 22^{o}C ± 2^{o}C, 70% humidity and photoperiod (light / dark cycle 12 h).

2.2 Preparation of *Apis mellifera*

The drug in the form of mother tincture and prepared from the lives Honeybees (10 unit) was mixed in 10 mL grain alcohol (P.A.) obtained from laboratory HN CRISTIANO, São Paulo, Brazil. The mother tincture contains not only the components of the bee venom but also those of the sac and glands with venom besides parts of the whole animal. As potent allergens the preparations for administration were diluted in water. The mother tincture was then diluted $1x10^{12}$ and diluted in $1x10^{60}$ of water. The

method for drug preparation followed the Brazilian Homeopathic Pharmacopoeia [21]. The dilution was considered free from any toxicity.

2.3 Preparation of HAART

Protocol was based on a standard therapeutic regimen of patients from Brazil. The calculation of the dose used was proportional to weight of animals, as employed in humans. The animals received treatment consisting of 167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) + zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water and tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water. Treatment period lasted 15 days and drug was administered at 09:00 h.

2.4 Treatment Schedule

Four experimental groups were used with 10 animals each were distributed as follows: (I) animals treated with HAART diluted in 1.2 mL water gavage/day, (II) animals treated with HAART diluted in 1.2 mL water gavage/day + *A. mellifera* diluted $1x10^{12}$ in water 1.0 mL once a day, added to the drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*, (III) animals treated with HAART diluted in 1.2 mL water gavage/day + *A. mellifera* diluted in $1x10^{60}$ in water 1.0 mL once a day, added to the drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*.(IV) animals untreated (control group) received 0.2 mL water by gavage/day. The experimental groups were treated for 15 days.

2.5 Evaluation

2.5.1 Assessment of body weight: Animals were weighed on a semi-analytical balance BL320H Mars Shimadzu before the start of the treatment and at the end of the experiment. Results were given in mean of group.

2.5.2 Clinical evaluation: qualitative parameters, such as physical appearance of the animals during the treatment (hair bristling and irritability).

2.5.3 Laboratory evaluation: Performed by plasma levels of aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) were evaluated by the kinetic colorimetric method; triglycerides, total cholesterol and creatinine were evaluated by enzymatic colorimetric method, both provided by GOLD ANALISA DIAGNÓSTICA LTDA.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Group-comparing statistics were performed by Graph Pad Prism 6.0 (Graph Pad, San Diego, CA, USA) with Student´s *t* test; $p<0.05$ was statistically significant.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The antiretroviral lopinavir / ritonavir and zidovudine / lamivudine and tenofovir were used in the assays, following protocol routinely used with patients.

The experiments demonstrated agreement with the literature regarding the adverse effects of HAART, with lower weight gain in animals treated with HAART, higher levels of liver enzymes, cholesterol and triglycerides, and higher plasma creatinine levels.

On the other hand the results obtained in the groups treated with HAART + *Apis mellifera* showed that these alterations were smaller.

Assays revealed that the HAART group presented a weight gain lower than that of control group. Slight weight gain in animals may be related to the already known adverse effects of the antiretroviral therapy. On the other hand, animals treated with *Apis mellifera* in diluition $1x10^{12}$ presented similar weight gain when compared to control (Table 1).

The weight loss associated with the use of HAART is mentioned by several authors being observed in the patients who use this therapy. Absorption deficiencies and increased energy needs are indicated as causes [22] [23].

Table 1 - Weight gain (g) of Swiss mice from the experimental and control groups after 15 days of treatment.

Experimental Group	Weight gain (g)	
HAART + Apis mellifera	**$1x10^{12}$**	**$1x10^{60}$**
	8.200 ± 2.589	6.450 ± 1.866**
HAART	7.650 ± 2.327**	
Control	8.487 ± 2.495**	

Table 1. Weight Gain (g) of Swiss mice after 15 days. Comparison between experimental groups: treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water+ tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water r; treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water + tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water**+** *Apis mellifera*$1x10^{12}$ once a day, added to drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*; treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water + tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water**+** *Apis mellifera*$1x10^{60}$ once a day, added to drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*;and non-treated group (control group). Results are given as mean ± SD of 10 animals. *p<0,05 **p<0,01 ***p<0,001

Current studies have shown that the melittin component of bee venom has an anticancer effect on gastric cancer by stimulating the death of necrotic cells [24]. In this way the beneficial effect of *Apis mellifera* could be through a direct effect on the cells of the digestive tube once they are injured by the use of HAART.

Lopinavir, an HIV protease inhibitor, is active against HIV-1 and HIV-2. The medicinal product is only available together with low dose ritonavir formulation, to increase lopinavir concentrations and inhibit CYP3A4 metabolism [25]. According to Tavares [26], the drug is poorly tolerated at the beginning of treatment since it causes high serum triglycerides in more than 20% of patients. The most common adverse reactions are nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, tingling or numbness in the hands, feet, around the lips, headache, feeling weak or tired, or unpleasant taste in the mouth, loss of appetite, loss of appetite. Allergic reactions including mild skin rashes, bronchospasm, angioedema, and rarely anaphylaxis and allergic rhinitis, have been reported. High hepatic transaminases, exceeding five times the upper limit of normality, clinical hepatitis and jaundice occurred in patients who received ritonavir alone or combined to other antiretroviral medicinal products [27].

The literature reports several reactions caused by lamivudine: nausea, vomiting, stomach pain, diarrhea, pancreatic inflammation, headache, numbness, tingling sensation or weakness in the legs, fever, respiratory, nasal, cough and Pharyngitis, tiredness, generalized feeling of discomfort, rash (red spots and plaques from the body, itching), hair loss. Joint pains, muscle disorders including rare reports of muscle tissue rupture, anemia, neutropenia, and platelet reduction have been reported in addition to the frequent increase of liver enzymes. A case of lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis (including fatal cases) have been reported with the use of lamivudine in the treatment of HIV infection [28].

In general, tenofovir is well tolerated by patients; some of the usual adverse effects are nausea (11 to 16%), vomiting (3 to 7%), abdominal pain, diarrhea (6 to 11%), flatulence, dyspepsia and anorexia (4%). It may induce mitochondrial toxicity, lactic acidosis, and elevation of transaminases, nausea and vomiting. With prolonged use it can cause changes in liver fat, lipodystrophy, headache, neuropathy, pancreatitis and anemia [1].

The evaluation of weight gain and clinical evaluation demonstrated in the experiments that animals treated with HAART + *Apis mellífera* $1x10^{12}$ presented similar results to the control group without HAART therapy which suggests a beneficial / protective effect of *Apis mellífera.*

The evaluation of metabolic parameters showed a significant difference in levels of plasma triglycerides and total cholesterol in animals treated with HAART (Table 2 and 3).

Dyslipidemia is a major complication of antiretroviral treatment. HIV infection has adverse effects on lipid profiles and cardiovascular risk of HIV-positive patients. Since antiretroviral therapy increases biosynthesis and reduces hepatic clearance of serum cholesterol, the impact of antiretroviral

treatment on serum lipoprotein levels should be evaluated [29]. Current results demonstrate a beneficial effect of *Apis mellifera* diluted above parameters. Regarding the levels of triglycerides the $1x10^{60}$ dilution demonstrated the reduction of the levels to near the control without HAART whereas for the total cholesterol levels both the two dilutions of *Apis mellifera* showed the same levels of reduction near the control (table 2 and 3).

Table 2 - Total cholesterol levels in the experimental groups.

Experimental Group	Total Cholesterol (mg/mL)	
HAART + Apis mellifera	**$1x10^{12}$**	**$1x10^{60}$**
	97.15 ± 29.97***	91.00 ± 43.14**
HAART	146.0 ± 20.14*	
Control	94.3 ± 16.04	

Table 2. Total cholesterol levels in the experimental groups after 15 days. Comparison between experimental groups: treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water+ tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water r; treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water + tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water**+** *Apis mellifera*$1x10^{12}$ once a day, added to drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*; treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water + tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water**+** *Apis melliera*$1x10^{60}$ once a day, added to drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*;and non-treated group (control group). Results are given as mean ± SD of 10 animals. *p<0,05 **p<0,01 ***p<0,001

Table 3 - Serum levels of triglycerides in experimental groups.

Experimental Group	Total Cholesterol (mg/mL)	
HAART + Apis mellifera	**$1x10^{12}$**	**$1x10^{60}$**
	290.15 ± 71*	176.00 ± 63.3**
HAART	328..2 ± 53.3*	
Control	199 ± 30.4	

Table 3. Serum levels of triglycerides in experimental groups after 15 days. Comparison between experimental groups: treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water+ tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water r; treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water + tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water**+** *Apis mellifera*$1x10^{12}$ once a day, added to drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*; treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water + tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water**+** *Apis melliera*$1x10^{60}$ once a day, added to drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*;and non-treated group (control group). Results are given as mean ± SD of 10 animals. *p<0,05 **p<0,01 ***p<0,001

Liver disease has emerged as the most common cause of death among HIV infected patients accounting for 14-18% of all deaths [30]. Highly active antirretroviral therapy can damage liver function. Nearly half of deaths among hospitalized HIV infected patients in the HAART era have been attributed to liver disease [31].Liver cirrhosis is a more serious consequence with an estimate overall prevalence of 8.3% in HIV infected persons [32]. Liver disease is often reflected by biochemical abnormalities of liver function. Many authors agree that elevated serum activity of the two commonly used liver enzymes (alanine aminotransferase-ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase-AST) that are involved in breakdown of amino acids reflects liver cell injury [33].

Current experiments with animals demonstrate the effect of HAART on liver enzymes whose levels have been elevated when compared to the control group. On the other hand, in the groups of animals submitted to HAART + *Apis mellífera* $1x10^{12}$ a lower alteration in these parameters was observed, and ALT levels in the group HAART + *Apis mellífera* $1x10^{60}$ presented levels close to the

control (Table 4). These experiments demonstrate the need for dilution of *Apis mellifera* and the most diluted formulation (*Apis mellífera* 1x10^{60}) showed a beneficial effect.

Melitin is the principal toxic component in the venom of the European honey bee *Apis mellifera* and is a cationic, hemolytic peptide. It is a small linear peptide composed of 26 amino acid residues in wich the amino-terminal region is predominantly hydrophobic whereas the carboxy-terminal region is hydropholic due to the presence of a stretch of positilively charged amino acids. Melitin was reported to have inhibitory effects on hepatocellular carcinoma and inhibits tumor cell metastasis by reducing cell motility and migration via the suppression of rac-1dependent pathway [34]. Melitin can induce apoptosis of human hepatocellular carcinoma cells by activating Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase. In presence of melitin apoptosis is significantly increased in human hepatocellular carcinoma [35].

Death of hepatocytes is a characteristics feature of chronic liver disease for various causes. Bee venom inhibited the apoptotic cell morphology and increased the cell viability in ethanol-induced hepatocyte apoptosis [36].Low concentration Apis mellifera venom possess a potent suppressive effect on anti-apoptotic responses of TNF-alpha/Act D-treated hepatocytes and suggest that these compounds may contribute substantial therapeutic potential for treatment of liver diseases[20].

Table 4 - Serum levels of hepatic enzymes (AST / ALT) in experimental groups.

Experimental Group	AST/ALT (U/L)	
HAART + Apis mellifera	**1x10^{12}**	**1x10^{60}**
	62.0 ± 26.02/33.1±7.78*	50.6 ±17.14/27.9±20.17*
HAART	56.972 ± 23.78/35.82± 15.02*	
Control	43.35 ±8.36/28.10 ±15	

Table 4. Levels of hepatic enzymes (AST / ALT) in experimental groups after 15 days. Comparison between experimental groups: treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water+ tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water r; treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water + tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water**+** *Apis mellifera*1x10^{12} once a day, added to drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*; treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water + tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water**+** *Apis melliera*1x10^{60} once a day, added to drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*;and non-treated group (control group). Results are given as mean ± SD of 10 animals. *p<0,05 **p<0,01 ***p<0,001

Our experiments demonstrate that diluted *Apis mellifera* improves plasma creatinine levels in animals treated with HAART (Table 5 and Figure 1).

Table 5 - Serum levels of creatinine (mg /dL in experimental groups.

Experimental Group	Creatinine (mg/dL)	
HAART + Apis mellifera	**1x10^{12}**	**1x10^{60}**
	0.450 ± 0.121*	0.330±0.177*
HAART	0.702 ±0.303*	
Control	0.302 ±0.105	

Table 5. Levels creatinine in experimental groups after 15 days. Comparison between experimental groups: treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water+ tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water r; treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water + tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water**+** *Apis mellifera*1x10^{12} once a day, added to drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*; treated with HAART (167mg / kg/day of lopinavir+ritonavir(LPV/r) and zidovudine/lamivudine (AZT/3TC)15mg / kg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water + tenofovir 300mg/day diluted in 1.2mL of water**+** *Apis melliera*1x10^{60} once a day, added to drinking water (1:10 mL) available *ad libitum*;and non-treated group (control group). Results are given as mean ± SD of 10 animals. *p<0,05 **p<0,01 ***p<0,001

Acute kidney and chronic kidney disease are more common in the HIV-infected population than in the general population. Renal dysfunction is common in HIV-positive patients who receive antiretroviral therapy. Glomerular and tubular diseases are often identified in HIV-infected patients Several antiretrovirals have been associated with kidney disease progression, inhibition of renal tubular transporters that mediate creatinine secretion or impaired reabsorption of phosphate and low-molecular wight proteins. Tenofovir and atazanavir may be cause acute tubular injury, tubule-intersticial nephritis or nephrolithiasis [37]. Tenofovir is associated with severe acute kidney injury in small percentage of patients and with subclinical abnormalities in many more[38]. Some antiretroviral agents are related to kidney disease, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus and hypertension which may intensify the risk of incidence of chronic kidney disease [39].

Human envenomation caused by bee stings has been reported to cause acute renal failure. Renal failure by bee venom may be related to a malfunction of renal transporters. Bee venom inhibit, in part, alpha –MG, Pi and Na(+) uptakes through its melittin which increased Ca(2+) uptake and arachidonic acid release in primary cultured rabbit renal proximal tubule cells. Bee venon (1µg/ml) decreased the cell viability and increased lactate dehydrogenase activity over 30-min treatments. However, there was no effect on cell viability at a concentration of 0.01µg/ml of bee venom [40]. Bee venom is also a complex mixture of enzymes and proteins and its diluted form suggests an improvement in renal function which could be related to the potent effect of mediators in the venom.

4. CONCLUSION

Renal dysfunction is common in HIV-patients and studies are consistent with HAART inhibiting creatinine secretion. *Apis mellifera* diluted $1x10^{12}$ and $1x10^{60}$ showed a significant effect on creatinine levels compared to HAART group demonstrating possible effect on kidney injury.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

All authors hereby declare that "Principles of laboratory animal care" (NIH publication No. 85-23, revised 1985) were followed, as well as specific national laws where applicable. All experiments have been examined and approved by the appropriate ethics committee.

REFERENCES

1. Calza L. Renal Toxicity associated with antiretroviral therapy. HIV Clin Trials 2012; 13(4):189-211.

2. Cooper RD, Tonelli M. Renal disease associated with antiretroviral therapy in the treatment of HIV. Nephron Clin Pract. 2011; 118:262-268.

3. Gupta SK, Eustace JA, Winston JA. Guidelines for the management of chronic kidney disease in HIV-infected patients: recommendations of the HIV medicine Association of the Infections Disease Society of America. Clin Infec Disease. 2005; 40;1559-1585

4. Izzedine H, Harris M, Perazella MA. The nephrotoxic effects of HAART. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2009;5:563-573

5. Kalaylian RC. Renal issues in HIV infection. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2011; 8:164-171.

6. Izzedine H, Launay- Vacher , deray G. Fanconi syndrome associated with didanosine therapy. AIDS. 2004; 19:844-45
7. Ahmad M. Abacavir induced reversible Fanconi syndrome with nephrogenic diabetes insipidus in a patient with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. J Postgrad Med 2006.; 52:296-97
8. Lev, E. Traditional healing with animals (zootherapy): medieval to present day Levantine practice. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2003, 85, 107-118
9. De Vos. European material medica in historical texts: Longevity of a tradition and implications for future use. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2010; 132, 28-47.
10. Alves, RRN, Rosa IL. Zootherapeutic practices among fishing communities in North and Northeast Brazil: A comparation. J of Ethnopharmacology. 2007; 111, 82-103.
11. Lev E, Amar Z. Ethnopharmacological survey of traditional drugs sold in the kingdom of Jordan. J of Ethnopharmacology, 2002; 82:131-145.
12. Sezik E, Yesilada E, Honda G, Takaishi Y, Takeda Y, Tanaka T. Traditional medicine in Turkey X. Folk medicine in Central Anatolia . J of Ethnopharmacology, 2001; 75:95-115.
13. Mahawar MM, jaroli DP. Traditional zootherapeutic studies in India: a review. 2008; Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine 4,17, http://www.ethnobiomed.com/content/4/1/17.
14. Still J. Use of animal products in traditional Chinese medicine: environmental impact and health hazards. Complementary Therapies in Medicine. 2003, 11, 118-122.
15. Pemberton RW. Insects and other arthropods used as drugs in Korean traditional medicine. J of Ethnopharmacology;1999, 65:207-216.
16. Pieroni A, Quave CL. Traditional pharmacopoeias and medicines among Albanians and Italians in southern Italy: a comparation. J of Ethnopharmacology;2005, 101:258-270.
17. WHO/IUCN/WWF. Guidelines on conservation of medicinal plants, switzerland. 1993
18. Habermann E. Bee and wasp venoms. Science 1972. N.Y. 177,314
19. Lhang YC, Chung H, Park YY, Lee ML, Park KK. The protective effects of melitin on propionibacterium acnes-induced inflammatory responses in vitro and in vivo. J Invest Dermat 2014; 134(7): 1922-30.
20. Park JH, Kim KH, Kim SJ, Lee WR, Park KK. Bee venom protects hepatocytes from tumor necrosis factor –alpha and actinomycin D. Arch Pharm res 2010; 33(2) 215-23.
21. CRFB. Comissão Permanente de Revisão da Farmacopeia Homeopática Brasileira. 2ª edição. Editora Ateneu. São Paulo, Brazil; 1997. Portuguese
22. Barbosa R M R.; Fornes N S. Avaliação nutricional em pacientes infectados pelo vírus da Imunodeficiência Adquirida. Revista de Nutrição. Campinas, vol. 16, n. 4, Out/Dez 2003.Portuguese http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1415-52732003000400009
23. Highleyman L. Nutrition and HIV. BETA 2006; 18(2): 18-32.
24. Mahmoodzadeh A, Zarrinnahad H, Bagheri KP, Moradia A, Shahbazzadeh D. First report on the isolation of melitin from Iranian honey bee venom and evaluation of its toxicity on gastric cancer AGS cells. J Chin Med Assoc 2015; 78(10) 574-83.
25. Raffanti S, Haas DW. Antimicrobianos: Agentes Antirretrovirais In:GOODMAN & GILMAN. As bases Farmacológicas da terapêutica. 10º ed. Rio de Janeiro: Mcgraw-Hill, cap. 51, p.1011,2001.Portuguese
26. Tavares, W. Manual de Antibióticos e Quimioterápicos Antiinfecciosos. 2º ed. São Paulo: Editora Atheneu, 1996.Portuguese
27. Sethi ML. Antiviral Agents and Protease Inhibitors, FOYE'S. Principles of Medicinal Chemistry. 5º ed. Philadelphia, 2002
28. Johnson MA, Moore KHP, Yuen GJ, Bye A, Pakes GE. Clinical pharmacokinetics of lamivudine. Clin. Pharmacokinet.1999; 36(1): 41-66.
29. Uysal S, Tuncel Basoglu M, Boydak B, Gokengin D. Dyslipidemia and cardiovascular risk assessment in HIV-positive patients. Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars. 2017; 45(2):145-152.
30. Palella FJ, Baker RK, Moorman AC. Mortality in the highly active antiretroviral therapy era: changing Causes of death and disease in the HIV outpatient study. Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes. 2006; 43(1):27-34.
31. Bica B, McGorven R, Dhar R. Increasing mortality due to end stage liver disease in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. Clinical infectious Diseases. 2001; 32(3):492-497.
32. Castellares C, Barreiro P, Martin-carbonero L. Liver cirrhosis in HIV infected patients: prevalence, aetiology and clinical outcome. Journal of Viral Hepatitis, 2008; 15(3):165-172.
33. Ogedegbe AO, Sulkowski MS. Antiretroviral associated liver injury. Clinics in Liver Disease, 2003; 7(2):475-499.

34. Liu S, YU M, He Y, Xiao L, Wang F, Song C, Sun S, Ling C, Xu Z. Melitin prevents liver cancer cell metastasis through inhibition of the rac1-dependent pathway. Hepatology, 2008; 47(6):1964-73.
35. Wang C, Chen T, Zhang N, Yang M, Li B, Lu X, Cao X, Ling C. Melittin a major component of bee venom, sensitizes human hepatocellular carcinoma cells to tumor necrosis factor related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL)-induced apoptosis by activating CaMKII-TAK-JNK/p38 and inhibiting ikappaBalpha Kinase-NFKappaB; J Biol Vhem, 2009; 284(6):3804-13.
36. Kim KH, Kum YS, Park YY, Park JH, Kim SJ, Lee WR, Lee KG, Han SM, Park KK. The protective effect of bee venom against ethanol-induced hepatic injury via regulation of mitochondria related apoptotic pathway. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol, 2010; 107(1):619-24.
37. Post F. Adverse events: ART and Kidney:alterations in renal function and renal toxicity. J Int AIDS Soc 2014; 17(4Suppl 3): 19513.
38. Wyatt CM. Antiretroviral therapy and the kidney.Top Antivir Med, 2014; 22(3):655-8.
39. Daugas E, Rougier JP, Hill G.. HAART-related nephropathies in HIV-infected patients. Kidney, 2005; 67:393-403.
40. Han HJ, Lee JH, Park SH, Choi HJ, Yang IS, Mar WC, Kang SK, Lee HJ. Effect of bee venom and its melittin on apical transporters of renal proximal tubule cells.Kidney Blood Press Res, 2000; 23(6):393-9.