



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_41248
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical screening and in vitro antiproliferative activity of the fruit of <i>Annona muricata</i> and <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> pods against selected cancer cell lines.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Paragraph line	Comments	8. Word plants removed 84. These sentence has been addressed 92. The location and GPS have been indicated 101. Responded 106 .500ml flat bottomed conical flask was used and not the plant extract 117. References has been included 146. ATCC number given 162. Recent reference used 164. Brand and manufacturer mentioned 168 to 170. Only the needed formula used 189 The study on phytochemical screening was qualitative not quantitative (either present or absent) 220. A.esculentus on Hela was chosen because of the best activity on cervical cancer cell the highest .Positive control has been added y-axis well aligned 225. IC ₅₀ corrected Reference addressed as per the journal format
	8	<i>Annona muricata</i> and <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> plants have commonly been.....	
	84	'However, there is limited number of published data that illustrate the anti-proliferative potential of <i>A. muricata</i> extracts on cancer cells'..... <i>Is this statement is true? Till date no reports about it? Kindly check again</i>	
	92	Please state the location with GPS and year of collection	
	101	Drying in room temperature? Does the fruit dried without spoilt?	
	106	500ml 500 ml. Please standardized for the rest of your units in this article. Inconsistent presentation in term of format!	
	117	No reference stated for the phytochemical screening	
	145	No ATCC numbers for the cell lines stated!	
	162	The reference year used is too old. Try to used something latest	
	164	Brand and manufacturer for ELISA reader	
	168 to 170	Where is the reference for the formulas? Why need many formulas?	
	189	Table 1: You only categorized present or absence. Is it highly or moderate or less? Kindly state	
	220	Why figure only for Hela? Other cell lines? Add lines for positive control used as well! The Figure 1, y-axis, please align properly. Check format!	
	225	Figure 2: scientific names should be italic, IC₅₀ IC ₅₀	
	269	For references, do you need to bold the authors? Please check the format given!	
Minor REVISION comments			
Optional/General comments	Please check your format, alignment, English used. Please adhere strictly to the guidelines given by the Journal.		The journal format has been used