



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_31725
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Herbal Medicine Among Adult Residents in Calabar Metropolis, Cross River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	NONE	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 74, should the word be "population" or "populace" The writer should check again if all the references are present. The writer should come out clearly on the questionnaire used in data collection (are they open ended or closed ended questionnaires)	Population and populace are used interchangeably All citations are reflected in the list of references It's a semi-structured questionnaire (both open and closed ended questions were used)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is well written and adds value in scientific world.	Completely agreed with the reviewer