



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_46033
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on oxide bonded porous SiC ceramics: Processing, properties and applications
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript focused on the "Processing, properties and applications of oxide bonded porous SiC ceramics", which is very complete and useful in ceramics applications. The manuscript is an overview manuscript. It is recommended to accept after minor revision. However, some parts need to revise, which are listed below as follows. The main points need to revise before publication. [1] The new relate references are needed to add in the revised manuscript. [2] The grammar of English should be written more carefully in the manuscript; English must be checked and improved by Native English speaker. [3] The scale bar of Fig. 2 is not clear and the text is too small. Please improve them. [4] The scale bar of Fig. 3 is not clear and the text is too blurry. Please improve them. Sincerely yours.	1. Following the suggestions of the learned reviewer most recent articles on the subject area has been provided in the revised manuscript [Ref. 29, 167-172] 2. Following the suggestions of the reviewer English has been checked carefully in the revised manuscript. 3. The authors are thankful to the reviewer for pointing the visibility of scale bar. Visible scale bar is given in the revised Fig 2 4. The comment is appreciated by authors and visible scale bar is given in the revised figure
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No