



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_45787
Title of the Manuscript:	MECHANISM OF ACTION OF ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF BIOSYNTHESIZED ZINC OXIDE NANOPARTICLES - A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	+++Red highlight indicates; introduce +++Yellow highlight indicates; delete +++blue highlight indicates; revisit. -Conclusion comments on the objectives but fails to state with clarity the accomplishment of these objectives. Therefore it is necessary that the conclusion section be revisited. -Ambiguous situations were noticed and related suggestions have been made on the manuscript. -lines 144-145 the sentence seems ambiguous, please revisit. -lines 133-134 sentence contradicts the principal idea on which nanoparticles operate. Please discard	We accept all the correction carried out by the reviewer. It will increase the quality of the paper. Also helpful for our research journey.
Minor REVISION comments	Reference XXX1 and XXX2 have been suggested to ensure the clarity of the ideas in related sections. -The use of language many suggestions have been indicated on manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	--------------------	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>