



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_45639
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Calorific values of coconut shell and cocoanut husk
Type of Article:	Original research papers

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. please consider this issue very carefully. When authors mentioned that this bomb calorimeter locally fabricated, therefore it should be mentioned clearly in the manuscript. And also since this instrument is locally made, authors need to at least show that the quality is on par with those that are available commercially. Therefore have you test with sample of known value? For example, test using benzoic acid? If not then I suggested authors should at least proved that the instrument is precise and accurate and comparable to the commercial grade product. https://www.ddscalorimeters.com/the-use-of-benzoic-acid-in-bomb-calorimeters/	Please, calibration was done using benzoic acid tablets. This was not done by us since we performed the experiment at a different lab in a different University. The owners do calibrate it periodically.