SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JMSRR_45639**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Determination of Calorific values of coconut shell and cocoanut husk**
Type of the Article	**Original research papers**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Abstract: Rewrite the abstract and capture it basic components (Introduction, Methods, Results, Conclusion)** **2. Literature Review: Increase the number of literatures especially with recent findings in this area (Calorific values of coconut shell and cocoanut husk), ensure that sources of information or documents are adequately acknowledged**	1. The Abstract has been rewritten to conform to the suggested approach. The literature has been re-reviewed
Minor REVISION comments	1. Introduction: Organize the introduction in the following order a) General information (Preamble), b) Issues and Challenges, c) others' approaches or contributions to the issues and challenges, d) research gaps (grey areas this current work intends to handle), e) background information on coconut shell and coconut husk, f) aim and or objective (s) of this research 2. Materials and Methods: This section is strictly for procedures used in obtaining results and not literature review. Provide concise stepwise procedures which should include quality and quantity of the reagents, quality and quantity of the sample used, conditions necessary for obtaining the results (Temp, Pressure, Time, etc), instrument/apparatus used and their calibrations, reagents/instrument/apparatus handling and necessary caution observed, formulae, tools and equations used in computing results, etc. 3. Results and discussion: Harmonize results in formatted table (s) for ease of referencing, this section should be basically used to provide details of your finds and sufficient inferences and implications of your result (Justifying why this research was embarked upon), compare the results with other scholarly results, Note: Details of calculation could be in appendix (ices) 4. References: More recent work in this area is needed to enhance this work	1. The introduction has been reorganized 2. This section has been rewritten 3. Results format has been redone. ii. The results compared favourably with the calorific values of some wood species in literature.
Optional/General comments		