



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_44482
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization studies of Adsorptive Tendency of Flamboyant Pod Bark in Wastewater Treatment of 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol using Response Surface Methodology.
Type of the Article	Original research paper.

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The major problem with this manuscript is the usage of English Language. Language correction is mandatory, for example in abstract in line 12 to line 15. There are many mistakes in the manuscript, for example, in Line 105, Ct is what? There is no Ct in equation 1. The manuscript should carefully check and rewrite. The manuscript lacks characterization of self-made activated carbon.	Done
Optional/General comments	It is noted that 3D Surface Plot part of the manuscript needs clearly editing so that the results of the study are easier for readers to understand.	The plot has been slightly expanded. The axis has both abbreviation and name of the variables under consideration. The authors cannot alter the alphabet used and that is why name allotted to each of the variables are also written in-front of the variable(s).

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	