



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JMSRR_43664</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nitrate Electrochemical Reduction using Modified Boron Doped Diamond Electrode from Copper Electroless</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>It is not appropriate to discuss a result without having the evidence (SEM, XRD, FTIR and RAMAN result) to indicate the statement.</b></p> <p><b>Statement for Fig 2, need a supporting evidence :</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) RAMAN graph to indicate a heavy doped film</li> <li>ii) SEM/AFM images to show a small grain are distributed on the sample and high density of Cu particles</li> </ul>	<p>As mentioned in the first paragraph of the Results, the SEM images and Raman analysis have already been presented in our previous work (Pereira CF, Couto AB, Baldan MR, Ferreira NG. Copper Electroless Process Optimization to Modify Boron Doped Diamond at Different Boron Levels. ECSTransactions. 2015; 64: 15-22). Therefore, it is not appropriate to show them again.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		