

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT ETCHING TIME ON BOND STRENGTH OF COMPOSITE RESIN TO DENTIN

ABSTRACT:

Aim: The aim of this study is to evaluate the bond strength of composite resin to dentin surface with various etching protocol.

Methodology: Three sample groups, each consisting of ten permanent mandibular first molar teeth were established. The buccal surfaces of the samples were grinded with the help of straight fissured diamond abrasive removing enamel layer. Samples of Group A, B and C were etched for 15, 30 and 60 seconds respectively, with 37% phosphoric acid.. All the samples were washed for 1 min and then dried. Samples were bonded for 15 seconds and restored with composite resin and cured. Samples were subjected under universal testing machine for bond failure.

Results: Bond strength was found to be much higher in group B than in group C and A with a confidence of more than 98.828% (P value : 0.0675).

Conclusion: Within the limitations of this *in vitro* study; it can be concluded that 30 seconds of etching time gave better bond strength due to longitudinal tubules which influenced the dentin hybridization process in the sense of forming more resin tags that, in turn, contributed to bond strength.

KEYWORDS: Etching, adhesives, 37% phosphoric acid, universal testing machine, dentin adhesives.

INTRODUCTION:

Over the years, phosphoric acid etching has become the standard procedure for bonding to improve the surface characteristics before the application of adhesive bonding agents and fissure sealant [1]. The penetration of adhesive resin monomers into the porous zone results in the formation of resin tags,

31 thereby establishing micromechanical interlocking within the etched surface.
32 Therefore, regardless of the adhesive system, use of phosphoric acid supports
33 achievement of a strong and durable bond [2]. Phosphoric acid etching gel is
34 applied to dentin substrate to remove the smear layer. After rinsing, the dentin
35 surface becomes demineralized with exposure of the collagen fibers. This would
36 leave collagen fibers exposed and susceptible to hydrolysis, which may weaken
37 the bonding [3]. Thus, it is speculated that as shallow a demineralization as
38 possible might give the adhesive system a better chance to diffuse into the entire
39 collagen network [4]. For that, acid-etching time of 15 seconds has been
40 suggested by various authors, aiming at an adequate bond to normal dentin.
41 Dentin hybridization is a modern dental adhesion procedure, which was first
42 described by Nakabayashi et al. [5]. Hybridized dentin begins under the dentin
43 surface after surface and subsurface demineralization and adhesive monomer
44 infiltration into exposed collagen network [6]. Thus, the result of this
45 revolutionary method, discovery by Nakabayashi and colleagues has opened
46 new horizons of restorative dentistry. Over the years, phosphoric acid etching
47 has become the standard procedure for adhesive dentistry. In 1954 Buonocore
48 introduced acid etching procedure as a pre-treatment method that enhances the
49 bonding strength of composite resins for the first time [7]. Its clinical
50 application has been presented in 1976 by Cueto and Buonocore []. The dentin
51 surface becomes demineralized with exposure of the collagen fibers [8]. To
52 obtain adequate resin-dentin bonding, resin monomers must penetrate this
53 demineralized surface dentin in order to produce hybridization. The clinical
54 success of restorative material depends upon a good adhesion with dentinal
55 surface so as to resist various dislodging forces acting within the oral cavity [9].

56 The need for restorative material, with better bond characteristics and strength
57 to withstand the stress of masticatory forces, leads to the recent advances in the
58 restorative dentistry. The composite resins are one of the commonest restorative


59 materials used now-a-days due to its aesthetic appearance but they have some
60 imperfections such as polymerization shrinkage [10].

61 The present study was done to evaluate the bond strength of universal sub
62 micron hybrid composite resin BRILLIANT EverGlow-Coltene, to dentin
63 surface with various etching protocol.

64 **MATERIALS AND METHOD:**

65 A total of 30 extracted human permanent mandibular molar teeth with neither
66 carious lesions nor restorations, were selected for this *in vitro* study. Each tooth
67 underwent scaling and root planning with an ultrasonic device to remove
68 residual organic tissues. Then, the teeth were immersed in 2.5% sodium
69 hypochlorite solution and rinsed with running water for 10 min.

70 Acrylic blocks were prepared by cold cure acrylic resin material. The selected
71 molars were embedded into the blocks. The blocks were then put in water to
72 avoid expansion of the material. The buccal surfaces of the samples were
73 grinded with the help of straight fissured diamond abrasive up to 3mm depth of
74 the dentinal surface.



75
76 Acrylic Mould Prepared For Each
77 Samples



78
79 The buccal surfaces of the samples
80 grinded with the help of straight
81 fissured diamond abrasive up to dentin
surface

80 Dentinal surfaces were acid etched with 37% phosphoric acid into following
81 groups:

82 Group A: 15 seconds

83 Group B: 30 seconds

84 Group C: 60 seconds



37% Phosphoric Acid



Etching of Dentin Surface

88 Etching was done to make the surface smear free. Samples were then bonded
89 with One coat Bond SL by Coltene and light cured with LED light for 20
90 seconds and restored with BRILLIANT-EverGlow-Coltene submicron hybrid
91 composite light cure composite resin. The specimens were stored in distilled
92 water for 24hrs.



Application of Bond



Curing After Application of Bond

96 Specimens were then transferred to the Universal testing machine with a
97 crosshead speed of 0.5mm/min until fracture with tip diameter 1.5mm. It was
98 subjected to compressive test determination which created buckling of the
99 restoration. It resulted in formation of a tensile stress in the dentinal walls.



Specimens transferred to the UTM with a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min until fracture with tip diameter 1.5mm.

Universal Testing Machine

The load required to debond the specimen was recorded. The specimen was placed in the lower assembly of the machine and the force was applied with the help of a knife-like mandrel which engaged the blocks and dislodged it. Bond strength was calculated according to the following formula and expressed in kilonewton:



The load required to debond the specimen was recorded


(KN):

Stress = Failure load (N)/surface area (mm²)

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

One-way ANOVA was used for data analysis.

Descriptive



Results

Bond strength was found to be much higher in group B than in group C and A with a confidence of more than 98.828% (P value -0.0675).

Groups	Mean deviation	Standard deviation	Sum	Average	Variance
A	4.88	3.15	16.7543	2.347	0.858
B	8.85	0.37	21.664	3.76	1.839
C	6.63	0.73	17.663	2.66	0.676

SOURCE OF VARIATION	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between groups	2.33	1	2.4457	21.5674	0.0675	2.5567
Total	2.49	17				

DISSCUSION:

Acid etching technique using phosphoric acid is well accepted for various applications in dentistry. Among mechanical properties, bond strength of restorative materials is important because it provides sufficient strength to resist intraoral compressive and tensile forces that are produced in function and parafunction [11]. Different etching duration with the same phosphoric acid concentration resulted in different morphologic changes of demineralized dentin surface. A direct correlation between etching time and the depth of demineralized zone was observed. The hybrid layer thickness correlated directly to the etching time [12]. Increased etching time demineralizes dentin surface to a depth greater than that to which resin monomers can penetrate, producing a thick, poorly infiltrated hybrid layer [13].

The recommended acid etching time for dentin with 37% phosphoric acid gel commonly employed with etch and rinse system is 30 seconds.

Ustunkol et al., Batra et al. and Taschner et al. [...,...]claimed that, etching process had a significant effect on bond strength of methacrylate-based composite.

Adebayo et al. showed higher bond strengths of the nano hybrid composite [14].

Koliniotou-Koumpia et al and Sampaio et al. mentioned that, there was no difference of bond strength between nano hybrid composite and bulk fill composite on etching [15]. Dentin is heterogeneous, consisting of hydroxyapatite and collagen. The degree of mineral content in dentin is quite variable, depending on whether it is near the DEJ or deeper in close proximity to the pulp. Acidity of monomer also caused changes in the surface chemistry and morphology of dentin, which in turn can influence the bonding. A significantly thicker hybrid layer was noted in areas with perpendicular tubule orientation than in areas with parallel tubule orientation [16].

Mechanical behaviour depends upon the concentration and particle size of the inorganic filler. For evaluating the bond strength, the study samples were stored in distilled water with few thymol crystals. The purpose was to maintain aseptic conditions before cavity system, with hydrophilic components, which can dislodge moisture from the conditioned dentin. It would also attain an intimate interaction at the demineralized intertubular and peritubular dentin, creating the hybrid layer, which is essential for an ideal bond to dentin which is similar to studies conducted by Kallenos et al. and Gupta et al [17].

The present study showed that 30 seconds acid etching with 37% phosphoric acid resulted better bond strength than 60 seconds and 15 seconds. Hence, these findings confirmed that the different etching times with the same phosphoric acid concentration resulted in different morphologic changes of demineralized dentin surface. This was very evident in the striking changes in the number, diameter and surface area of dentinal tubules, intertubular surface area, appearance of the dentin surface porous zone containing smear layer and demineralized residual collagen particles with dentin demineralization products in acid globules, and the completely dissolved peritubular dentin cuff that happened after prolonged etching time [18].

CONCLUSION:

1. When 37% phosphoric acid was applied, a dentin substrate, free of smear layer was not created for the etching time of 15 seconds.
2. Within the limitations of this *in vitro* study; it can be concluded that 30 seconds of etching time gave better bond strength due to longitudinal tubules which influenced the dentin hybridization process in the sense of forming more resin tags that, in turn, contributed to the bond strength.

REFERENCES:

1. Shahram Mosharrafian et al. Effect of Etching Time and Preparation on Push-Out Bond Strength of Composite to Intracanal Dentin of Primary Anterior Teeth
J Dent (Tehran) 2016; Vol.13, No. 5
2. Martignon S, Ekstrand KR, Gomez J, Lara JS, Cortes A. Infiltrating/sealing proximal caries lesions: A 3-year randomized clinical trial. J Dent Res 2012;91:288-292.

- 189 3. McComb D. Conservative operative management strategies. *Dent Clin*
190 *N Am* 2005;49:847-865.
- 191 4. Perdigão J. Dentin bonding-variables related to the clinical situation
192 and the substrate treatment. *Dent Mater.* 2010;26:e24-37.
- 193 5. Pashly EL, Agee K, Pashly DH, Tay F. Effect of one versus two
194 applications of an unfilled, all-in-one adhesive on dentine bonding. *J*
195 *Dent.* 2002;30:83-90.
- 196 6. Meerbeek B, Yoshida Y, Lambrechts P, Vanherle G, Duke E, Eick J.
197 Study of two water-based adhesive systems bonded to dry and wet
198 dentin. *J Dent Res.* 1998;77:50-9.
- 199 7. Tay F, Sano H, Tagami J, Hashimoto M, Moulding K, Yiu C. Ultra
200 structural study of a glass ionomer-based, all-in-one adhesive. *J Dent.*
201 2001;29:489-98.
- 202 8. Choi K, Condon J, Ferracane J. The effect of adhesive thickness on
203 polymerization contraction stress of composite. *J Dent Res.*
204 2000;79:812-17.
- 205 9. Nagayassu MP, Shintome LK, Arana-Chavez VE, Fava M. Micro-
206 shear bond strength of different adhesives to human dental enamel. *J*
207 *Clin Pediatr Dent.* 2011;35:301-4.
- 208 10. Yoshida Y, Van Meerbeek B, Nakayama Y, Snauwaert J, Hellemans
209 L, Lambrechts P, et al. Evidence of chemical bonding at biomaterial-
210 hard tissue interfaces. *J Dent Res.* 2000;79:709-14.
- 211 11. Inoue S, Van Meerbeek B, Abe Y, Yoshida Y, Lambrechts P,
212 Vanherle G, et al. Effect of remaining dentin thickness and the use of
213 conditioner on micro-tensile bond strength of a glass-ionomer
214 adhesive. *Dent Mater.* 2001;17:445-55.
- 215 12. Camps J, Pashley DH. Buffering action of human dentin in vitro. *J*
216 *Adhes Dent.* 2000;2:39-50.
- 217 13. De Munck J, Van Landuyt K, Peumans M, Poitevin A, Lambrechts P,
218 Braem M, et al. A critical review of the durability of adhesion to tooth
219 tissue: methods and results. *J Dent Res.* 2005;84:118-132.
- 220 14. El-din AK, Miller BH, Griggs JA. Resin bonding to sclerotic,
221 noncarious, cervical lesions. *Quintessence Int.* 2004;35:529-540.
- 222 15. Eliguzeloglu E, Omurlu H, Eskitascioglu G, Belli S. Effect of surface
223 treatments and different adhesives on the hybrid layer thickness of
224 non-carious cervical lesions. *Oper Dent.* 2008;33:338-345.

- 225 16.Georgescu A, Iovan G, Stoleriu S, Topoliceanu C, Andrian S. Atomic
226 force microscopy study regarding the influence of etching on affected
227 and sclerotic dentine. *Rom J Morphol Embryol.* 2010;51:299–302.
- 228 17.Khoroushi M, Rafizadeh M, Samimi P. Bond strength of composite
229 resin to enamel: Assessment of two ethanol wet-bonding techniques. *J*
230 *Dent (Tehran)* 2014;11:150–60.
- 231 18.Cardoso MV, de Almeida Neves A, Mine A, Coutinho E, Van
232 Landuyt K, De Munck J, et al. Current aspects on bonding
233 effectiveness and stability in adhesive dentistry. *Aust Dent J.*
234 2011;56(Suppl 1):31–44.
- 235 19.Chiba Y, Rikuta A, Yasuda G, Yamamoto A, Takamizawa T,
236 Kurokawa H, et al. Influence of moisture conditions on dentin bond
237 strength of single-step self-etch adhesive systems. *J Oral Sci.*
238 2006;48:131–7.