



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_43723
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis on the Physico-Chemical and Mechanical Properties of Agricultural Seeds Oil Modified Alkyd Resin
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Comments to the authors: Title: Ok. Abstract: Introduction: The aim and objectives along with the novelty of this work need to mention in the introduction. The gaps from the previous works were not highlighted. Materials: Page 2/ line 100,101... Why this info here in the materials, even along with ref? Equation 1 is blur, not clear. (All the equations need to present clearly, they are blur). Why two separate sections for Acid Value and Acid Value Determination? Please provide all the testing methods used in this study. Results and Discussion: Please provide reference: Page 7/line 267,268. Ref for 283/284. No relations had been established while analysis the resins' properties in the light of their respective oil properties. Please elaborate the discussions parts for resins' properties for all the subsections. Please reduce the number of Tables (11!!!) Mildew formation: No explanation!!	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Remanul
Department, University & Country	University of Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia