



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_43547
Title of the Manuscript:	MALDI MS study of complexed alkaloid from Colchicum species
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Per requested, I have reviewed the manuscript, in which the authors attempted to use MALDI mass spectrometry to analyze a number of colchium metal complexes. In addition to address the comments and questions that I inserted in the attached document, the authors should include some rationale on choosing MALDI mass spectrometry over other analytical techniques. This manuscript is acceptable providing all the above comments and questions are addressed properly.	MALDI MS mass spectrometry was used to analyse a number of colchicine complexes with alkali metals salts (iodides, perchlorates) as a newer method than ESI MS mass spectrometry. We wanted to know if these kind of compounds are easy detectable by this method. It is somehow continuation of our previous study on other colchicine compounds [reference 14]. All mentioned in article complexes were analysed by other analytical techniques previously [reference 9] in this article we wanted to describe in details MALDI MS mass spectrometry. According suggestion text of article was changed: 1. Fig to Figure. 2. Carbon atoms numbers were removed from picture, because they are not so important and also A, B and C which were described ring system in colchicine molecule, they were no mentioned in the text. 3. Title of the manuscript was changed 4. Suggested corrections have been made.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		There were no comments to response.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		There were no comments to response.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	