



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_43347
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis of Mn₃O₄ Microflowers Anode Material for Lithium -ion Batteries with Enhanced Performance
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript it worth publishing</p> <p>But the abstract it too short (I am not sure if it is the format of this journal to be followed)</p> <p>In line 96: do you mean Fig 1 e, f?.</p> <p>Please make sure Mn₃O₄...3 and 4 should be subscript for all</p> <p>Line 141..mAhg-1...should be ⁻¹</p>	<p>Thank you for your good advice. The abstract has been extended by adding a sentence "It is important to prepare novel micro-nanostructures of Mn oxides for energy storage".</p> <p>It is Fig 1 e, f in line 96.</p> <p>Mn₃O₄ has been subscript for all as well as mAhg⁻¹ in line 141.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Only minor revision needs to be done	
Optional/General comments		