



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_43347
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis of Mn₃O₄ Microflowers Anode Material for Lithium -ion Batteries with Enhanced Performance
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This paper deals with the synthesis and anode in li-batteries application of Mn₃O₄ microflower. It is an important anode material for this applications suggest published in the journal after minor revision.</p> <p>1, In figure 3, the green line and red line have not indicated which one is first or second?</p> <p>2, in Figure 5, the discharge line shown two peaks, why?</p> <p>3, in Fig 3,6, the unit is mA.g⁻¹, but not mAg⁻¹</p>	<p>Thank you very much for your good advice.</p> <p>1, The green and blue lines were marked with back and (1,2) and (1',2').</p> <p>2, In Figure5, the discharge line shown two peaks are because Mn(III) was reduced to Mn(II) and Mn(0) for Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles.</p> <p>3 mAg⁻¹ was revised to mA.g⁻¹ in Fig.3,6. All mAg⁻¹ was revised to mA h g⁻¹.</p>
Optional/General comments		