



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_42814
Title of the Manuscript:	Gmelina arborea Root Extract as Eco-friendly Inhibitor of Mild Steel Corrosion in Acidic Medium
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consistent writing of words at entitle 2. Added novelty 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is entitle? We don't understand the reviewer's comment. 2. If it is novelty of the work that is implied, this work is quite novel since there has been no reported work on mild steel corrosion inhibition by <i>Gmelina arborea</i> root extract in 1 M H₂SO₄ solution. Also the data in the manuscript have not been published anywhere.
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract, conclusion, title should be interrelated	The abstract, conclusion and the title of the work are interrelated.
Optional/General comments	Check in the paper	Authors' comments have also been made.