



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_41658
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review Investigation on outdoor and indoor propagation models
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In this paper, authors tell that they have gone through the 40 journals but in the paper, they don't mention any research findings and no literature review for this paper. Authors just reviewed types of indoor and outdoor propagation model Authors should add some propagations model applications No Future scope for this paper	I disagreed with this point 1, the conclusion was summarised and concluded as one, concluding each papers will take a lot of space noting that all the findings were 90-98% the same. Kindly refer to the concluding part of this manuscript. My aim was to review indoor and outdoor propagation models and arrived at a conclusion. The future scope is what you have just mentioned "propagation model application"
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		