



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_41658
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review Investigation on outdoor and indoor propagation models
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The paper is written is well and easy to follow. However, the English of the paper must be improved by following Journal standards. Abstract need to be more focused not general like written as 40 papers, etc. Moreover, the literature review section is missing must be added. All terms used in the mathematical modelling must be defined clearly. In addition, the conclusion must be enhanced and the authors must provide the outcomes in detail in points.	Actually, the abstract was focus but I only used 40 papers when I could have been more direct to the point as such I have effect the correct by stating some of the models reviewed. Regarding the conclusion, I think I concluded it right anyways, some facts have been added.
Optional/General comments		