



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_41397
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT ETCHING TIME ON BOND STRENGTH OF COMPOSITE RESIN TO DENTIN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Ethical issues: It is necessary to mention in the methodology that the teeth were obtained with free and informed consent by the patient. Just mention in the text.	Ok noted.
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: line 9: permanent mandibular first molar teeth line 11: upto line 11: etched with 37% phosphoric acid for..... Results and Conclusions in abstract are required. Materials and Methods: line 57: Thirty extracted permanent... line 81: Which composite resin was used in the study? This is part of the title of the study!! Results: The item results and statistical analysis need to be more detailed. There is no reference on which statistical method was used. The table is confusing, needs to be redone with all values. What are the original values obtained?	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is reasonably written but needs a thorough review to be considered feasible for publication. I congratulate and encourage the authors to deepen the scientific theme described and correct the manuscript.	