1 Policy Paper

Fundamental Climate Science Error: Concomitant Harm to Humanity and the Environment

9 ABSTRACT

2

3

4

5

The climate science community (CSC) has misrepresented climate change, falsely claiming carbon dioxide causes global warming, and <u>developing</u> computer models of Earth's radiation balance without taking into consideration the tropospheric particulate geoengineering that has been taking place for several decades, thus rendering invalid those models and their interpretations.

The CSC misunderstands the science underlying particulate pollution in the troposphere, typically maintaining that aerosolized particulates cool the Earth.

As described here, pollution particles, including those jet-sprayed into the region where clouds form, reflect some radiation, but also absorb radiation and become heated. The heat is transferred to the surrounding atmosphere, thus increasing its temperature. The increased atmospheric temperature causes loss of heat-transfer efficiency from Earth's surface, and concomitant reduction of Earth's heat loss.

Climate science has been corrupted and coerced by military, commercial, and globalist political agendas. Were the environmentally-devastating geoengineering activities to continue unabated, life on Earth will keep progressing towards the first anthropogenic mass extinction.

One primal right of all human beings is to breathe clean air that has not been deliberately tainted with toxic substances, a right subverted by covert global geoengineering.

Every sovereign nation has the right, and the obligation, to protect the health and welfare of its citizens. The deliberate aerial spraying of pollution particulates constitutes an attack, not only on a nation's citizens, but an attack on the sovereign nation itself, whether that attack originates from treasonous activities within the sovereign nation or from outside. Here I describe five policy proposals, applicable to all sovereign nations, to end geoengineering attacks on citizens.

Keywords: climate science models, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), greenhouse gases, climate change, global warming, geoengineering, air pollution, geoengineering governance

10

11

1. INTRODUCTION

For thirty years, the United Nations' Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and the climate science community (CSC) have made a fundamental error related to the nature of climate change, also known as global warming [1]. Specifically, it falsely claims that anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO₂), via the greenhouse effect, is causing global warming by trapping Earth's heat, that otherwise should be radiated into space [1].

There is evidence that scientific objectivity on weather and climate has been corrupted and powerfully influenced by globalist power politics, military needs, and corporate greed [2]. The highly publicized global warming 'debate' concentrates on two extreme positions, each strikingly deficient in respect to one crucial, overriding fact: Neither position
 takes into account, or even mentions, geoengineering – deliberate large-scale atmospheric manipulation that has taken
 place with increasing frequency and geographic range for decades [3].

One widely promoted extreme position is that global warming due to anthropogenic carbon dioxide is real and that serious consideration must be given to a global geoengineering technological fix to 'cool' planet Earth [4]. The other widely promoted extreme position holds that climate change is a natural phenomenon [5]. Neither, however, is correct. Air pollution, especially particulate pollution, including tropospheric particulate geoengineering pollution, is the principal cause of global warming [3].

The one commonality of each of the two widely-discussed positions is their systematic failure to mention the ongoing tropospheric particulate geoengineering that has been taking place with ever-increasing intensity and geographic range, becoming, since about 2010, a near-daily, near-global activity [6]. The particulate spray-trails (Figure 1) have been witnessed by, and are of serious concern to, many millions of people [7]. These concerns are justified, as the deliberately aerosolized particulate pollution is detrimental to the health of virtually all life on Earth [8-16].



Figure 1. Climate geoengineering particulate trails, from [6]. With photographers' permission. Clockwise from upper left: Paris, France (Patrick Roddie); Karnak, Egypt (author JMH); London, England (author IB); Northern California, USA (Patrick Roddie); Geneva, Switzerland (Beatrice Wright); Yosemite, California USA (Patrick Roddie); Jaipur, India (author JMH)

The IPCC and CSC abrogate long-standing principles of science in making assumption-based computer models of Earth's radiation balance without taking into consideration the widespread tropospheric, jet-emplaced particulate geoengineering that is visibly-obvious (Figure 1). Failure to consider ongoing tropospheric geoengineering renders those models and their

50 interpretations invalid [1]. Concomitantly, ignorance of some of the underlying geophysical science, and eagerness to 51 apply global technical solutions [17], may lead to unanticipated, adverse global catastrophes [18,19].

Neither the IPCC nor the CSC fully understands the science underlying the effects of pollution particulate matter in the atmosphere [1]. They typically maintain that the consequence of aerosolized particulates is to cool the Earth [20-22]. That lack of understanding is evident based on the following statement [22]: "Strong aerosol cooling in the past and present would then imply that future global warming [due to pollution reduction] may proceed at or even above the upper extreme of the range projected by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change." Advocacy of aerosolized particulate geoengineering to 'cool the Earth' is based upon misunderstood climate science by the climate science community, as described below.

61 2. NATURE AND CONSEQUENCES OF AERIAL PARTICULATE SPRAYING

Although the specific compositions and purposes of the ongoing aerial particulate spraying are not publicly discussed, they can be deduced from knowledge of the chemical and physical behavior of the aerosolized particulates [8-16,23].

65 66 67

77

60

62

52

2.1 Evidence Consistent with Toxic Coal Fly Ash as the Main Geoengineering Aerosolized Particulate Pollution

During formation, coal sequestered toxic chemical elements from the environment [24]. When coal is burned industrially, 68 approximately 10% remains as ash, concentrating heavy metals and toxins in the ash [25]. While the heavy ash settles 69 beneath the coal burner, the light ash, called coal fly ash (CFA), forms by condensing and accumulating, in the hot gases 70 71 above the burners [26,27]. Coal fly ash, newly formed above the burner, would exit smokestacks, if it were not trapped 72 and sequestered, as required by many nations [28,29]. Coal fly ash is a major waste product [30] that requires little additional processing to be used as an ideally sized jet-sprayed aerosol, albeit a toxic aerosol [9]. Its particles form in 73 sizes ranging from 0.01 – 50 microns (um) in diameter [31]. Moreover, CFA's chemical elements can be partially extracted 74 75 in atmospheric moisture, thus making moisture droplets more electrically conducting and responsive to electromagnetic 76 radiation [32].

Comparing 11 elements analyzed in post-spraying rainwater to corresponding elements measured in laboratory waterextract analyses of this likely aerosol provided scientific forensic evidence that CFA is consistent with the main particulatepollutant substance being jet-sprayed into the atmosphere [9,23]. Further consistency was demonstrated by comparing CFA elemental analyses to 14 elements measured in air-filter-trapped outdoor aerosol particles [33] and to 23 elements measured in aerosol particles precipitated during a snowfall and released upon melting [8,9,16].

Other substances may occasionally be used for specific purposes or added to the CFA, for example, to minimize clumping caused by van der Waals forces [34,35]. The ubiquitous presence of CFA-extractable elements found in post-spraying rainwater around the world indicates that the main substance sprayed into the regions where clouds form is consistent with CFA [8-16,23]. Coal fly ash – inexpensive, widely available, and with useful properties – is thus an ideal geoengineering aerosol, only if no consideration is given for human and environmental health consequences [12-16].

90 **2.2 Environmental Health Consequences of Tropospheric Particulate Pollution**

Aerosolized CFA sprayed into the region where clouds form, for climate and weather manipulation or other military purposes, mixes with the air we breathe and: (1) puts populations at risk for respiratory disease [14], lung cancer [12], neurodegenerative disease [13] and potentially other health problems [8]; (2) poses a previously unrecognized factor in worldwide forest die-offs [11]; bee and insect die-offs [15]; bird die-offs [16], and (3) contaminates the biosphere with mercury [9], destroys atmospheric ozone that protects us from the sun's deadly ultraviolet radiation [36], and ultimately may cause death and destruction on a global scale [6,10,33].

98

89

91

99 2.3 Tropospheric Particulate Pollution Inhibits Rainfall

100

Aerosol particles, jet-sprayed into the regions where clouds form, are in fact pollution particles [1]. Pollution particles are known to inhibit the fall of rain and snow by effectively keeping droplets and ice-crystals from coalescing to become sufficiently massive to fall to the ground [37,38]. Intensive applications of jet-sprayed particulates can thus artificiallyinduce drought in some areas and concomitant downpours, storms, and flooding in other areas, disrupting natural hydrological cycles and causing unnatural climate chaos [6].

106

107 **2.4 Tropospheric Particulate Pollution Heats the Surface and Changes Surface Albedo**

- Aerosol particles, jet-sprayed into the atmosphere, are circulated by atmospheric convection and winds, eventually settling
 to ground where they absorb solar radiation [39]. If they happen to land on ice or snow they change the reflective
 properties (albedo), causing less light to be reflected and more to be absorbed, thus adding to global warming [40,41].
- 112

114

113 **2.5 Tropospheric Particulate Pollution Heats the Atmosphere**

- Pollution particles, including those sprayed into the region where clouds form, reflect some solar radiation, but they also absorb radiation, both long-wave and short-wave [39], become heated, and then transfer that heat to the atmosphere by collisions with atmospheric molecules [42]. Monsoonal convection can loft particulates into the stratosphere where they remain suspended for long periods of time and absorb both direct solar radiation and solar radiation reflected from cloud tops [43]. Coal fly ash is known to be an efficient radiation absorber [44-46].
- 120

According to Hunt [47]: "A dispersion of small absorbing particles forms an ideal system to collect radiant energy, transform it to heat, and efficiently transfer the heat to a surrounding fluid.... If the characteristic absorption length for light passing through the material comprising the particles is greater than the particle diameter, the entire volume of the particles is active as the absorber. When the particles have absorbed the sunlight and their temperature begins to rise they quickly give up this heat to the surrounding gas...."

Aerosolized particulate pollution is heated by absorbed radiation [42]. That heat is transferred to the surrounding atmospheric gases which increases their temperature. That temperature increase results in loss of heat-transfer efficiency from Earth's surface, and concomitant reduction of Earth's heat loss as described below.

130

152

131 3. REASONS FOR REDUCTION OF EARTH'S SURFACE HEAT LOSS 132

Generally, heat is transported by conduction, convection, and radiation [48]. Each of these modes of heat transport is operant in removing heat from Earth's surface [49]. Specifically, heat loss from Earth's surface occurs via (1) conduction of energy through the interactions of atoms and molecules; (2) mass-transport of energy by massive atmospheric convection; and (3) infrared radiation from the surface. Additional heat removal results from phase changes, namely, the latent heat required to melt ice and to evaporate water. As described below, the near-daily, near-global geoengineering emplacement of particulates reduces heat loss from Earth's surface by several mechanisms.

3.1 Reduction of Surface Heat Loss Caused by Reduced Atmospheric Heat Transfer by Convection 141

Of the three principal modes of heat transfer, thermal convection has been misunderstood by both the IPCC and CSC, and by the geophysics community (in other contexts).

145 Chandrasekhar described convection in the following, easy-to-understand way [50]: The simplest example of thermally 146 induced convection arises when a horizontal layer of fluid is heated from below and an adverse temperature gradient is 147 maintained. The adjective 'adverse' is used to qualify the prevailing temperature gradient, since, on account of thermal 148 expansion, the fluid at the bottom becomes lighter than the fluid at the top; and this is a top-heavy arrangement which is 149 potentially unstable. Under these circumstances the fluid will try to redistribute itself to redress this weakness in its 150 arrangement. This is how thermal convection originates: It represents the efforts of the fluid to restore to itself some 151 degree of stability.

In 1939, Elsasser initiated a series of publications proposing that the geomagnetic field is derived from convection-driven
dynamo action in the Earth's fluid core [51-53]. Ever since, numerous computer models of convection in the Earth's fluid
core have been produced, indicating that many in the geoscience community believe in Elsasser's Earth-core convectiondynamo hypothesis 80 years later [54-56].

- Sustained thermal convection in Earth's fluid core is physically impossible [57], and requires a different site for the convection-driven dynamo origin of the geomagnetic field to work [58-61]. One of the reasons why sustained Earth-core convection is physically impossible is that it requires an adverse temperature gradient [50] to be sustained for millions of years [57]. The core-top must be continually kept cooler than the core-bottom [57]. Heat transported from the core-bottom by mass-flow must be efficiently removed from the core-top, to maintain the adverse temperature gradient, but that is not possible because the core is surrounded by a thermally insulating blanket, Earth's silicate mantle [62].
- The concept of adverse temperature gradient and its effect on convection efficiency is important to understand, and easy to visualize by classroom demonstration [63], but it is difficult to quantify explicitly for the troposphere because of the

167 multifold complexities involved. If a system is capable of convection, the convection efficiency (heat transport efficiency) 168 decreases with reduction of the adverse temperature gradient. Heating the upper convective-regions of the atmosphere, 169 via pollution-aerosol radiation absorption, perturbs the temperature structure of the atmosphere [42], decreases the 170 adverse temperature gradient, and, concomitantly, *leads to reduced convective heat transport from Earth's surface*. 171

The IPCC and CSC seem to be unaware of the geophysical-behavior differences of particulate matter placed (1) into the stratosphere where convection does not take place, and (2) into the troposphere where atmospheric convection takes place, tacitly assuming that each cool the Earth [22,64].

Particulate matter in the stratosphere absorbs in-coming solar radiation as well as some of the radiation that is reflected back into space. Particulate matter in the convecting portion of the atmosphere, as described here, not only blocks sunlight, it also absorbs radiation both from in-coming solar radiation and from out-going terrestrial radiation, heats the atmosphere, and concomitantly reduces convective heat transport from the surface.

3.2 Other Potential Reductions of Earth's Surface Heat Loss by Tropospheric Particulate Geoengineering 182

175

187

210 211 212

183 In addition to tropospheric aerosolized particulate matter reducing the adverse temperature gradient, which then 184 diminishes convective heat transfer efficiency, there are, as one might expect for this complex thermal system, other 185 potential ways in which particulate matter, jet-sprayed into the region where clouds form, might lead to reductions in 186 Earth's surface heat loss. These are briefly described below, and should be further investigated.

As noted in Section 2.3, one principal consequence of aerosolized pollution is prevention of rainfall and snow by effectively keeping droplets and ice-crystals from coalescing to become sufficiently massive to fall to the ground [37,38], causing artificial, but very real drought conditions [6,33]. Eventually, the geoengineered-clouds become overburdened with moisture and discharge their moisture in downpours, torrents, and storms, typically separated geographically from the regions of geoengineered drought [11].

For several years, California has been subjected to artificial drought conditions by near-daily tropospheric jet-sprayed particulates, while downpours and floods have occurred in the Midwest and Eastern United States [10]. Although difficult to quantify, it is reasonable to assume that natural, frequent, widely-spread precipitation will have greater proclivity for latent-heat phase changes than the fewer, heavy downpours and storms resulting from atmospheric particulategeoengineering [11].

Aerosolized coal fly ash tropospheric geoengineering not only causes drought, which damages and desiccates forests and plant-life, but the moisture-extracted CFA toxins, especially aluminum in a chemically mobile form, weakens trees and aids in their demise [10,11]. One consequence of forest die-offs is the reduction of transpired water, which thus reduces the latent-heat phase changes that serve to reduce Earth's surface heat loss.

As noted previously [1], the IPCC and CSC recognize that clouds block incoming solar radiation but underestimate the role of clouds in retaining Earth's heat that should otherwise be radiated into space [65-68]. The possibility should be considered that additional cloud formation caused by aerosolized particulates or overt actions to inject massive quantities of water into the atmosphere may lead to further reductions of Earth's surface heat loss.

3.3 Tabulated Summary of Earth-Surface Heat-Loss Consequences of Tropospheric Pollution Particulates

Table 1. Earth's surface heat loss efficiency caused by particulate pollution geoengineering.

Heat Loss Mode	Manner of Surface Heat Loss Efficiency
Convection	Pollution particulates heat the atmosphere where the upper portions of convection cells occur, thus lowering convection heat transfer efficiency from Earth's surface.
Conduction	Not determined here.
Radiation	Pollution particulates upon falling to ground may absorb solar radiation and become heated. Falling upon ice or snow they may also lower albedo, thus reducing reflected solar radiation.
Latent Heat	Further investigation is required to ascertain the extent that particulate-geoengineered drought may be reducing cooling by aqueous phase-change latent heat.

213

224

235

252

4. GEOSCIENCE PAWNS IN POLITICAL MALFEASANCE 215

216 As described here and previously reported [1], the IPCC evaluations and conclusions are without merit [69]. Since its 217 inception the IPCC has promoted the idea of 'future' geoengineering to compensate for alleged CO₂ global warming [69]. 218 A massive media campaign was launched to convince citizens of the alleged CO₂ global warming planetary threat [70,71]. 219 Then, without public comment, without informed consent, and buttressed by misinformation [72-75], militaries and their 220 contractors from around the world began to jet-spray particulate matter into the region where clouds form on a near-daily, 221 near-global basis, presumably through secret agreement(s) [2,6]. Simultaneously, concerted efforts were initiated to 222 encourage 'governance' to legalize geoengineering so that non-military organizations might participate in geoengineering 223 activities as well [76,77].

Actual and proposed geoengineering have no sound scientific substance: Air pollution, especially particulate pollution, is our planet's real enemy, not carbon dioxide [1,3]. The intense, widespread tropospheric geoengineering activity is not only causing and exacerbating global warming through mechanisms described here but is causing human and environmental destruction on a planetary scale [3,6,8-16,23,33,36,78].

The apparently well-coordinated, continuous media-blitz, public misinformation, military co-opting, etc. is indicative of politically-based direction and motivation. Geoscientists worldwide and the institutions they serve have provided pseudoscientific justification for political operations whose consequences represent a massive assault on humanity and on the planetary environment. There is historical precedent: German laws in the 1930s, under which Nazi crimes against humanity were perpetrated, were enacted based upon pseudo-scientific justification by physicians and scientists [79].

5. CAN IT BE BETTER? CAN IT BE WORSE?

Particle lifetimes in the troposphere are short, days to weeks [80,81]. If all tropospheric geoengineering were halted, and if
all particulate pollution activities were likewise halted, including the massive commercial jet traffic that exacerbates global
warming [82], our planet's surface would almost immediately begin to approach its natural state of thermal equilibrium;
days would be sunnier, but nights would cool off more quickly, restoring temperature equilibrium. Ocean cooling and biota
re-establishment, however, might take years or decades.

But if the geoengineering activities driven by political actors supported by IPCC pseudoscience, and put into practice by 244 245 militaries and their contractors, continue unabated, life on Earth will progress towards the first anthropogenic mass 246 extinction [6]. If those entities decided to put highly reflective matter high into the stratosphere, where convection does not 247 take place and particles' airborne lifetimes are measured in years, such a geoengineering approach may radically cool 248 Earth to an unnatural extent, and perhaps usher in a new anthropogenic-caused ice age. Such a speculation may not be 249 unwarranted, as the entire climate science community has lacked the courage and/or the understanding and/or the 250 integrity to speak out against the ongoing covert, tropospheric, particulate geoengineering activity that is causing global warming and imperiling life on Earth [3,6,8-16,23,33,36,78]. 251

253 6. POLICY PROPOSALS254

The primal right of all human beings is to breathe clean air, air that has not been deliberately tainted with toxic substances. That right has been violated, systematically, covertly, and deceitfully on a global scale, concomitantly, threatening all human and environmental health [8-16,23]. The purported basis for geoengineering (global warming caused by anthropogenic carbon dioxide) is a hoax, justified on the basis of incorrect climate science [1,3,6]. The ongoing particulate geoengineering, as described here, does not counteract global warming, but instead causes and exacerbates global warming.

261 262 Adverse health consequences of particulate air pollution are staggering. We know from epidemiological studies that air 263 pollution particulates (approximately the same size-range as the aerosolized geoengineering particulates) are associated with: Alzheimer's disease [83,84], lung cancer [85], risk for stroke [86], risk for cardiovascular disease [87], lung 264 inflammation and diabetes [88], reduced renal function in older males [89], morbidity and premature mortality [90-92], 265 cognitive decline in older women [93], decreased male fertility [94], low birth weight [95], onset of asthma [96], and 266 increased hospital admissions [97]. Additionally, as noted above, aerosolized coal fly ash, used as geoengineering 267 268 pollution particulates, puts populations at risk for respiratory disease [14], lung cancer [12], neurodegenerative disease 269 [13] and potentially causes serious environmental health problems [9,11,15,16,36].

Recently, the Director General of the World Health Organization warned of the dangers of air pollution, saying the simple
 act of breathing is killing 7 million people a year and harming billions more [98]. Those numbers will certainly escalate if
 covert geoengineering continues.

Every sovereign nation has the right and the obligation to protect the health and welfare of its citizens. The deliberate aerial spraying of pollution particulates constitutes an attack, not only on a nation's citizens, but an attack on the sovereign nation itself, whether that attack originates from treasonous activities within the sovereign nation or from outside it. I propose the following policies that are applicable to all sovereign nations.

• Order immediate cessation without exception of any and all activities that deliberately place pollutant substances into the atmosphere.

• Order full and complete declassification, without redaction, of any and all documents pertaining to atmospheric modification, and make these documents readily available to citizenry so as to facilitate potential criminal prosecutions and civil litigation.

• Recognize that in matters of protecting sovereign nations' citizenry, national sovereignty supersedes multinational alliances, such as the British Commonwealth, the European Union, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, and the United Nations, to name a few.

Enact sanctions against any and all sovereign nations and multi-national alliances that continue or begin to
 deliberately place pollutant substances into the atmosphere because atmospheric mobility does not recognize
 political boundaries.

Enact legislation to prevent atmospheric modification now and in the future.
 296

7. Conclusions

279

282

297

298

306 307

308

311

320

323

326

For thirty years, the climate science community (CSC) has misrepresented the nature of climate change, falsely claiming that carbon dioxide is causing global warming by trapping Earth's heat that should otherwise be radiated into space. The CSC has abrogated long-standing principles of science by making assumption-based computer models of Earth's radiation balance without considering the consequences of tropospheric particulate geoengineering that has been taking place with ever-increasing intensity and geographic scope for decades, thus rendering those models and their interpretations invalid.

REFERENCES

Herndon JM. Science misrepresentation and the climate-science cartel. Journal of Geography, Environment and
 Earth Science International. 2018;accepted for publication.

312 2. <u>http://www.nuclearplanet.com/Evidence_of_Undisclosed_Global_Geoengineering.html</u>
 313 Accessed December 11, 2018.

Accessed December 11, 2018.

315 3. Herndon JM. Air Pollution, Not Greenhouse Gases: The Principal Cause of Global Warming. J Geog Environ
316 Earth Sci Intn. 2018;17(2):1-8.
317

Victor DG, Morgan MG, Apt F, Steinbruner J. The Geoengineering Option-A Last Resort against Global Warming.
 Foreign Aff. 2009;88:64.

5. Tranter B, Booth K. Scepticism in a changing climate: a cross-national study. Global Environmental Change. 2015;33:154-64.

6. Herndon JM, Whiteside M, Baldwin I. Fifty Years after "How to Wreck the Environment": Anthropogenic Extinction of Life on Earth. J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn. 2018;16(3):1-15.

327 7. <u>http://www.nuclearplanet.com/websites.pdf</u> Accessed December 11, 2018.

Herndon JM, Whiteside M. Further evidence of coal fly ash utilization in tropospheric geoengineering: Implications
on human and environmental health. J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn. 2017;9(1):1-8.

331 332 333	9. going c	Herndon JM, Whiteside M. Contamination of the biosphere with mercury: Another potential consequence of on- limate manipulation using aerosolized coal fly ash J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn. 2017;13(1):1-11.
334 335 336	10. geoeng	Herndon JM, Whiteside M. California wildfires: Role of undisclosed atmospheric manipulation and jineering. J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn. 2018;17(3):1-18.
337 338 339 340 341	12.	Herndon JM, Williams DD, Whiteside M. Previously unrecognized primary factors in the demise of endangered bines: A microcosm of global forest die-offs. J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn 2018;16(4):1-14. Whiteside M, Herndon JM. Coal fly ash aerosol: Risk factor for lung cancer. Journal of Advances in Medicine and I Research. 2018;25(4):1-10.
342 343 344	13. Advanc	Whiteside M, Herndon JM. Aerosolized coal fly ash: Risk factor for neurodegenerative disease. Journal of ces in Medicine and Medical Research. 2018;25(10):1-11.
345 346 347	14. Advanc	Whiteside M, Herndon JM. Aerosolized coal fly ash: Risk factor for COPD and respiratory disease. Journal of tes in Medicine and Medical Research. 2018;26(7):1-13.
348 349 350	15. arising	Whiteside M, Herndon JM. Previously unacknowledged potential factors in catastrophic bee and insect die-off from coal fly ash geoengineering Asian J Biol. 2018;6(4):1-13.
351 352 353	16. global o	Whiteside M, Herndon JM. Aerosolized coal fly ash: A previously unrecognized primary factor in the catastrophic demise of bird populations and species. Asian J Biol. 2018;6(4):1-13.
354 355 356	17. change	Teller E, Wood L, Hyde R. Global warming and ice ages: I. Prospects for physics-based modulation of global . Lawrence Livermore National Lab., 1996.
357 358	18.	von Neumann J. Can We Survive Technology. Fortune. 1955:106-8.
359 360	19. (S+ F)/	Fleming JR. Will Geoengineering Bring Security and Peace? What does History Tell us? Sicherheit und Frieden Security and Peace. 2012:200-4.
361 362	20.	Letcher TM. Why do we have global warming? Managing Global Warming: Elsevier; 2019. p. 3-15.
363 364 365	21.	Summerhayes CP, Zalasiewicz J. Global warming and the Anthropocene. Geology Today. 2018;34(5):194-200.
366 367	22. 2005;43	Andreae MO, Jones CD, Cox PM. Strong present-day aerosol cooling implies a hot future. Nature. 35(7046):1187.
368 369 370 371	23. implica	Herndon JM. Aluminum poisoning of humanity and Earth's biota by clandestine geoengineering activity: tions for India. Curr Sci. 2015;108(12):2173-7.
372 373 374	24. 499. 19	Gluskoter HJ. Trace elements in coal: occurrence and distribution. Illinois State Geological Survey Circular no 777.
375 376 377	25. empha	Roy WR, Thiery R, Suloway JJ. Coal fly ash: a review of the literature and proposed classification system with sis on environmental impacts. Environ Geology Notes #96. 1981.
378 379	26.	Berkowitz N. An introduction to coal technology: Elsevier; 2012.
380 381 382	27. filtered	Chen Y, Shah N, Huggins F, Huffman G, Dozier A. Characterization of ultrafine coal fly ash particles by energy TEM. Journal of Microscopy. 2005;217(3):225-34.
383 384	28. 1996;14	Egemen E, Yurteri C. Regulatory leaching tests for fly ash: a case study. Waste Management & Research. 4(1):43-50.
385 386 387	29.	Openshaw SC. Utilization of coal fly ash. FLORIDA UNIV GAINESVILLE, 1992.
388 389 390	30. carbona	Montes-Hernandez G, Perez-Lopez R, Renard F, Nieto J, Charlet L. Mineral sequestration of CO 2 by aqueous ation of coal combustion fly-ash. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2009;161(2):1347-54.

391 392 393		Zhuang Y, Kim YJ, Lee TG, Biswas P. Experimental and theoretical studies of ultra-fine particle behavior in tatic precipitators. Journal of Electrostatics. 2000;48(3):245-60.
394 395		Moreno N, Querol X, Andrés JM, Stanton K, Towler M, Nugteren H, et al. Physico-chemical characteristics of an pulverized coal combustion fly ashes. Fuel. 2005;84:1351-63.
396 397 398 399		Herndon JM. Adverse agricultural consequences of weather modification. AGRIVITA Journal of agricultural . 2016;38(3):213-21.
400 401	34. tropospl	English JM, Toon OB, Mills MJ, Yu F. Microphysical simulations of new particle formation in the upper here and lower stratosphere. Atmos Chem Phys. 2011;11:9303-22.
402 403		Sheng J. Modeling Stratospheric Aerosols Using a Coupled Aerosol-Chemistry-Climate Model. Zurich: Swiss Institute of Technology 2014.
404 405 406		Herndon JM, Hoisington RD, Whiteside M. Deadly ultraviolet UV-C and UV-B penetration to Earth's surface: and environmental health implications. J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn. 2018;14(2):1-11.
400 407 408	37.	http://svs.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/details.cgi?aid=20010 Accessed December 11, 2018.
409 410	38. 6.	Rosenfeld D. Suppression of rain and snow by urban and industrial air pollution. Science. 2000;287(5459):1793-
411 412 413 414 415	and dus	Yang M, Howell S, Zhuang J, Huebert B. Attribution of aerosol light absorption to black carbon, brown carbon, at in China–interpretations of atmospheric measurements during EAST-AIRE. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. 6):2035-50.
416 417		Qian Y, Yasunari TJ, Doherty SJ, Flanner MG, Lau WK, Ming J, et al. Light-absorbing particles in snow and ice: ement and modeling of climatic and hydrological impact. Advances in Atmospheric Sciences. 2015;32(1):64-91.
418 419 420 421	41. Science	Hansen J, Nazarenko L. Soot climate forcing via snow and ice albedos. Proceedings of the National Academy of es. 2004;101(2):423-8.
422 423 424		Koch D, Del Genio A. Black carbon semi-direct effects on cloud cover: review and synthesis. Atmospheric try and Physics. 2010;10(16):7685-96.
425 426 427		Govardhan G, Satheesh SK, Nanjundiah R, Moorthy KK, Babu SS. Possible climatic implications of high-altitude arbon emissions. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. 2017;17(15):9623-44.
428 429 430		Moteki N, Adachi K, Ohata S, Yoshida A, Harigaya T, Koike M, et al. Anthropogenic iron oxide aerosols enhance heric heating. Nature communications. 2017;8:15329.
431 432 433		Stier P, Seinfeld JH, Kinne S, Boucher O. Aerosol absorption and radiative forcing. Atmospheric Chemistry and . 2007;7(19):5237-61.
434 435 436		Ito A, Lin G, Penner JE. Radiative forcing by light-absorbing aerosols of pyrogenetic iron oxides. Scientific . 2018;8(1):7347.
437 438	47.	Hunt AJ. Small particle heat exchangers. University of California, Berkeley Report No. LBL-7841. 1978.
439 440	48.	Whitaker S. Fundamental principles of heat transfer: Elsevier; 2013.
441 442 443		Kiehl JT, Trenberth KE. Earth's annual global mean energy budget. Bulletin of the American Meteorological . 1997;78(2):197-208.
444 445	50.	Chandrasekhar S. Thermal Convection. Proc Amer Acad Arts Sci. 1957;86(4):323-39.
446 447	51.	Elsasser WM. On the origin of the Earth's magnetic field. Phys Rev. 1939;55:489-98.
448	52.	Elsasser WM. Induction effects in terrestrial magnetism. Phys Rev. 1946;69:106-16.

449		
450 451	53.	Elsasser WM. The Earth's interior and geomagnetism. Revs Mod Phys. 1950;22:1-35.
452 453 454	54. 2013;7	Roberts PH, King EM. On the genesis of the Earth's magnetism. Reports on Progress in Physics. (6(9):096801.
455 456 457	55. Earth's	Huguet L, Amit H, Alboussière T. Geomagnetic dipole changes and upwelling/downwelling at the top of the score. Frontiers in Earth Science. 2018;6:170.
458 459	56.	Glatzmaier GA. Geodynamo simulations - How realistic are they? Ann RevEarth Planet Sci. 2002;30:237-57.
460 461	57.	Herndon JM. Geodynamic Basis of Heat Transport in the Earth. Curr Sci. 2011;101(11):1440-50.
462 463	58.	Herndon JM. Nuclear georeactor generation of the earth's geomagnetic field. Curr Sci. 2007;93(11):1485-7.
464 465	59. 2009;9	Herndon JM. Nature of planetary matter and magnetic field generation in the solar system. Curr Sci. 6(8):1033-9.
466 467 468 469	60. Magne	Herndon JM. Uniqueness of Herndon's Georeactor: Energy Source and Production Mechanism for Earth's tic Field. arXiv: 09014509. 2009.
470 471 472	61. geophy	Herndon JM. Terracentric nuclear fission georeactor: background, basis, feasibility, structure, evidence and ysical implications. Curr Sci. 2014;106(4):528-41.
473 474	62.	Herndon JM. Scientific basis of knowledge on Earth's composition. Curr Sci. 2005;88(7):1034-7.
475 476	63.	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O-V3yR2RZUE Accessed December 11, 2018.
477 478 479	64. Society	Curry JA, Webster PJ. Climate science and the uncertainty monster. Bulletin of the American Meteorological y. 2011;92(12):1667-82.
480 481 482	65. clouds	Pan Z, Mao F, Gong W, Min Q, Wang W. The warming of Tibetan Plateau enhanced by 3D variation of low-level during daytime. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2017;198:363-8.
483 484 485	66. Letters	Duan A, Wu G. Change of cloud amount and the climate warming on the Tibetan Plateau. Geophysical Research a. 2006;33(22).
486 487	67.	Stephens GL. Cloud feedbacks in the climate system: A critical review. Journal of Climate. 2005;18(2):237-73.
488 489 490	68. temper	Dai A, Trenberth KE, Karl TR. Effects of clouds, soil moisture, precipitation, and water vapor on diurnal rature range. Journal of Climate. 1999;12(8):2451-73.
490 491 492 493 494 495	69. 70. Testing 611.	http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/ Accessed December 11, 2018. Feldman L, Myers TA, Hmielowski JD, Leiserowitz A. The mutual reinforcement of media selectivity and effects: g the reinforcing spirals framework in the context of global warming. Journal of Communication. 2014;64(4):590-
496 497 498	71. Enviro	Bolin JL, Hamilton LC. The News You Choose: news media preferences amplify views on climate change. nmental Politics. 2018;27(3):455-76.
499 500	72.	http://www.nuclearplanet.com/public_rejection.pdf Accessed December 11, 2018.
501 502	73.	http://www.nuclearplanet.com/Public_Deception_by_Scientists.html Accessed December 11, 2018.
503 504	74.	http://www.nuclearplanet.com/explainretractions.pdf Accessed December 11, 2018.
505 506	75.	http://www.nuclearplanet.com/American%20Science%20Decline.html Accessed December 11, 2018.
507 508	76. Philoso	Lin AC. International legal regimes and principles relevant to geoengineering. Climate Change Geoengineering: ophical Perspectives, Legal Issues, and Governance Frameworks: Cambridge University Press; 2010.

510 77. Virgoe J. International governance of a possible geoengineering intervention to combat climate change. Climatic 511 Change. 2009;95(1-2):103-19. 512 513 Herndon JM. Evidence of variable Earth-heat production, global non-anthropogenic climate change, and 78. 514 geoengineered global warming and polar melting. J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn. 2017;10(1):16. 515 Friedlander H. The Origins of Nazi Genocide: From Euthanasia to the Final Solution: Chapel Hill, North Carolina, 516 79. USA: University of North Carolina Press; 1995. 517 Liu D-Y. Rutherford D. Kinsev M. Prather KA. Real-time monitoring of pyrotechnically derived aerosol particles in 518 80. the troposphere. Analytical chemistry. 1997;69(10):1808-14. 519 520 Williams J, Reus Md, Krejci R, Fischer H, Ström J. Application of the variability-size relationship to atmospheric 521 81. aerosol studies: estimating aerosol lifetimes and ages. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. 2002;2(2):133-45. 522 523 524 82. Burkhardt U, Kärcher B. Global radiative forcing from contrail cirrus. Nature Climate Change. 2011;1(1):54. 525 526 83. Calderon-Garciduenas L, Franko-Lira M, Mora-Tiscareno A, Medina-Cortina H, Torres-Jardon R, al. e. Early 527 Alzheimer'd and Parkinson's diese pathology in urban children: Friend verses foe response - it's time to face the evidence. BioMed Research International. 2013;32:650-8. 528 529 Moulton PV, Yang W. Air pollution, oxidative stress, and Alzheimer's disease. Journal of Environmental and 530 84. Public Health. 2012;109(8):1004-11. 531 532 Beeson WL, Abbey DE, Knutsen SF. Long-term concentrations of ambient air pollutants and incident lung cancer 533 85. in California adults: Results from the AHSMOG Study. Environ Health Perspect. 1998;106(12):813-22. 534 535 536 Hong YC, Lee JT, Kim H, Kwon HJ. Air pollution: A new risk factor in ischemic stroke mortality. Stroke. 86. 537 2002;33:2165-9. 538 Haberzetti P, Lee J, Duggineni D, McCracken J, Bolanowski D, O'Toole TE, et al. Exposure to ambient air fine 539 87. 540 particulate matter prevents VEGF-induced mobilization of endothelial progenitor cells from bone matter. Environ Health Perspect. 2012;120(6):848-56. 541 542 Potera C. Toxicity beyond the lung: Connecting PM2.5, inflammation, and diabetes. Environ Health Perspect. 543 88. 544 2014;122(1):A29. 545 546 89. Mehta AJ, Zanobetti A, Bind M-A, C., Kloog I, Koutrakis P, Sparrow D, et al. Long-term exposure to ambient fine 547 particulate matter and renal function in older men: The VA Normative Aging Study. Environ Health Perspect. 548 2016;124(9):1353-60. 549 Dai L, Zanobetti A, Koutrakis P, Schwartz JD. Associations of fine particulate matter species with mortality in the 550 90. United States: A multicity time-series analysis. Environ Health Perspect. 2014;122(8):837-42. 551 552 Dockery DW, Pope CAI, Xu XP, Spengler JD, Ware JH, et al. An association between air polution and mortality in 553 91. 554 six U. S. cities. N Eng J Med. 1993;329:1753-9. 555 Pope CAI, Ezzati M, Dockery DW. Fine-particulate air polution and life expectancy in the United States. N Eng J 556 92. 557 Med. 2009;360:376-86. 558 559 Weuve J, Puett RC, Schwartz J, Yanosky JD, Laden F, Grodstein F. Exposure to particulate air pollution and 93. 560 cognitive decline in older women. Archives of internal medicine. 2012;172(3):219-27. 561 562 94. Pires A, de Melo EN, Mauad T, Saldiva PHN, Bueno HMdS. Pre- and postnatal exposure to ambient levels of urban particulate matter (PM2.5) affects mice spermatogenesis. Inhalation Toxicology: International Forum for Respiratory 563 Research: DOI: 103109/089583782011563508. 2011;23(4). 564 565 Ebisu K, Bell ML. Airborne PM2.5 chemical components and low birth weight in the northeastern and mid-atlantic 566 95. regions of the United States. Environ Health Perspect. 2012;120(12):1746-52. 567

568
569 96. Tetreault L-F, Doucet M, Gamache P, Fournier M, Brand A, Kosatsky T, et al. Childhood exposure to ambient air
570 pollutants and the onset of asthma: An administrative cohort study in Quebec. Environ Health Perspect.
571 2016;124(8):1276.
572

573 97. Bell ML, Ebisu K, Leaderer BP, Gent JF, Lee HJ, Koutrakis P, et al. Associations of PM2.5 constituents and 574 sources with hospital admissions: Analysis of four counties in Connecticut and Massachusetts (USA). Environ Health 575 Perspect. 2014;122(2):138-44.

576 577 98. Carrington D, Taylor M. Air pollution is the 'new tobacco', warns WHO head. The Gaurdian. 27 October 2018.

UNDERPETRATION