



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_46113
Title of the Manuscript:	Fundamental Climate Science Misunderstanding: Concomitant Harm to Humanity and the Environment
Type of the Article	Policy Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript will be ready for publication once the comments are incorporated. The author(s) make statements on the motives and capabilities of a segment of the scientific community. Unless those statements/assertions are supported with references from the scientific literature, they should be removed. All statements with specific claims must be supported by empirical data, theoretical data, of facts. Specific comments are contained in revised manuscript.	Many thanks for the review. Wherever possible I have incorporate reviewer comments. I note a few exceptions below. Abstract: This journal does not permit references in the abstract. Primal is the correct word for a right innate to survival and protection of one's family. Treasonous is the correct term in the context used regarding internal attack on a sovereign nation. The sentence about mass extinction is supported by publications cited in the literature. I have made many of the corrections indicated. Some, however, I cannot provide references when those are in the nature of a "fundamental statement". That is a term used by Hans Suess in describing to Bevin French the following paper of mine: http://www.nuclearplanet.com/prsl1979.pdf It refers to something new in the scientific literature for which no previous reference exists. I have come to look forward to this reviewer's reviews as they are sincerely meant to improve the paper and they do. Thanks
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	