



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_46113
Title of the Manuscript:	Fundamental Climate Science Misunderstanding: Concomitant Harm to Humanity and the Environment
Type of the Article	Policy Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The conclusion made here that main cause of global warming is particulate pollution, not CO2 should be founded on solid evidence that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All types of aerosol particles cause global warming; that is, none of them cause global cooling; 2. There is no buildup of atmospheric CO2 and other greenhouse gases going on in the conditions of depleting Earth's vegetation cover/ the buildup of atmospheric CO2 and other greenhouse gases going on in conditions of depleting Earth's vegetation cover does not cause global warming/ the global warming caused by the buildup of atmospheric CO2 and other greenhouse gases going on in conditions of depleting Earth's vegetation cover is not much of the global warming caused by the buildup of particulate pollution in the atmosphere 	<p>Thanks for the review.</p> <p>I focused on the radiation absorbing aerosols characteristic to what is evidenced being sprayed for geoengineering, which is similar to or virtually identical to un-trapped output from industrial coal burning, which is similar, but not identical to the particulate pollution from massive jet-traffic.</p> <p>We have published evidence of particulate pollution harming vegetation which we cite.</p> <p>The extent that vegetation die-off is contributing to reduction in phase-change latent heat, as stated, should be further investigated.</p> <p>Again, many thanks for the review.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	