



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_44148
Title of the Manuscript:	California Wildfires: Role of Undisclosed Atmosphere Manipulation (Geoengineering)
Type of Article:	Review Paper

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The main of what this and the other studies it is founded on contributed and could concluded on anthropogenic global warming and the climate change it is causing are their findings which show presence of coal fly ash in rain water and snow samples, and suspicious indications that deliberate aerial particulate spraying could be a source of it.</p> <p>These are not one of the research findings which enable to conclude that a deliberate aerial cfa-particulate spraying which is a significant cause of the global warming also causing the climate change also causing the wildfires of California is going on, and therefore to justify the blaming of deliberate aerial cfa-particulate spraying for the wildfires of California, and as well as its non-consideration in the climate models AGU, EGU, and IPCC.</p> <p>What could enabled this and the other studies it is founded on to conclude as they did are the follow-up studies which generate a model of the variations of global warming fit on correspondent variations of global fossil fuels consumption and its natural vegetations depletion. This is founded on belief that the growth of global population is the cause of most or all anthropogenic global warming, and it is mostly through the global consumption of fossil fuels and depletion of the natural vegetation cover of the planet.</p> <p>The follow –up studies would be ended-up with conclusion that deliberate aerial cfa-particulate spraying is not responsible for global warming and it's destructive when the model shows that the global consumption of fossil fuels and depletion of its natural vegetation cover are the only significant causes of the anthropogenic global warming. Meaning, this is what could justified the non-consideration of deliberate aerial cfa-particulate spraying in the climate models AGU, EGU, and IPCC.</p>	



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

Otherwise, the follow-up studies would continue to establish all possible causes of the presence of cfa in the skies from which the analyzed samples of rain water and snow were collected from in terms of their comparative significances by taking into consideration that a cfa build-up in a sky could also sourced from several different cfa generators within its (ground and air space) territory and/or have been brought in by the winds travelling through it from faraway cfa laden skies. This is the follow-up research stage which enable to conclude that deliberate aerial cfa-particulate spraying is or not a significant cause of the global warming also causing wildfires such as the wildfires of California. I hope the author will reconsider consider the conclusion of this study cooingly.

But, I wouldn't hesitate to endorse it publication as it because s it is would attract many more of the concerned to share opinions n/or follow-up studies which would enhance the understanding of the problem welt on.

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Antipas T. S. Massawe</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Department of Chemical and Mining Engineering, University of Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania</i></b>