



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_44148
Title of the Manuscript:	California Wildfires: Role of Undisclosed Atmosphere Manipulation (Geoengineering)
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This one could be very misleading because it is also founded on unproven belief that a geo-engineering which is seeding chemtrails of coal fly ash where clouds form in the atmosphere is a main cause of the global warming also blamed for the increasing occurrence of wildfire explosions in California and elsewhere in the world.</p> <p>Its conclusions generated from misleading arguments that the trails forming behind the exhausts of jet engines are made of coal fly ash (cfa) sprayed in the atmosphere by the flying jets rather than the ice cloud forming from the hot invisible water gases generating from the combustion of hydrocarbons in the jet engines of the jets flying where clouds form in the atmosphere.</p> <p>Simply because the invisible hot water gases are a main product of the combustion of hydrocarbons in the jet engines; and that, these hot water gases releasing from the exhausts of the jet engines as streams of invisibles would always quickly cool down and condense into water vapours which would also quickly transform into the visible ice cloud rather than chemtrails trails observed forming behind the exhausts of the jet engines of the jets flying where clouds form in the atmosphere.</p> <p>And that, figure 3, 5 and 6 are a proof of this counterargument as they show that the visible trails are evolving from the streams of substances which are releasing from the exhausts of the jet engines as invisibles which quickly transform into the visible trails observe on the photographs. Chemtrails of cfa would released from the jets as visible trails straightaway</p>	<p>Thanks for your review.</p> <p>There is abundant evidence that the U.S. Government wants to control the weather and evidence cited in this manuscript that the spraying of particulates (which inhibits rainfall and heats the atmosphere) goes back at least 60 years. There is abundant evidence of deliberate attempts to deceive the public, for example HERE, and to unwarrantedly prevent publication of the health risks HERE. Western scientists (most of whom are government-funded) fail to tell the full truth about the aerial particulate spraying or to take that into consideration in their climate models HERE. There are reasons underlying the science community's lack debate HERE. More than anyone else scientists should tell the truth and engage in open debate on matters of concern HERE. Millions of people worldwide have expressed concern HERE. That concern is already reaching high levels, for example, in a case presently pending before Canada's second highest Federal Court HERE. In fifteen peer-reviewed papers HERE we have addressed the misrepresentations about the aerial spraying and have presented evidence as to the nature of the aerial spraying and its adverse human and environmental health consequences. In the present manuscript we have presented additional evidence. Again, thanks for reviewing.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>rather than as trails of invisible substances which would transform into visible trails of cfa faraway from the points of exit from the jets.</p> <p>Therefore, Let the author (s) consider and clarify, also taking into consideration the arguments others made on the same HERE1, HERE2 and HERE3, as well as on aerosols and their relation to global climate and climate sensitivity HERE4.</p> <p>Again, the blaming of the increasing occurrence of wildfire explosions in California and elsewhere in the world on global warming should also considered that in the absence of global warming, the wilds would continue to generate combustible biomasses which would tend to catch fire and transform into wildfires increasingly where there is ignition sources and adequate supplies of oxygen n/or other oxidizers; moreover, as global populations continue to grow, and the presence of humans and human activities in the wilds continue to increase in the absence of adequate measures against their wildfires causing potentials. Let the author (s) also clarify this taking into consideration that human factors are causes of about 90% of the wildfires of USA as claimed HERE; and that, the cautious geoengineering which seeds clouds for rainfall where desired, as well as for the management of the flows of air masses in the atmosphere could contribute in the global war against the increasing occurrence of wildfire explosions in the world.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	