SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JGEESI_42125**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Water management and irrigation governance in the Anthropocene: moving from physical solutions to social involvement**
Type of the Article	**Review Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Lack of table or figure makes this manuscript boring and not concrete. In section 5.1, it would be better is some major projects the CNDP determined as well as some of their detailed effect can be listed out in a table.	I added a table on section 5.1 (French case study) in order to provide some examples of tools used to promote social involvement.
Minor REVISION comments	The benefit of the social involvement strategy is not concretely illustrated. Please choose an actual case to explain the concrete benefits by social involvement.	I have provided more details about the benefits and limitations of including social involvement in water management.
Optional/General comments	This manuscript gave a review on water management and irrigation governance. The focus changing from physical solutions to social involvement is detailed illustrated. Quantities of citations and three concrete cases are used to support the point. The quality of the manuscript is "Average".	Thank you for your positive words, very friendly. I have added a third case study from Spain in order to provide another example about the relevance of social involvement in irrigation water management. I have added 4 new references.