



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_40245
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTREME ULTRAVIOLET PENETRATION TO EARTH'S SURFACE: HUMAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH IMPLICATIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	ABSTRACT: The veracity of our data instead of The veracity our data LINE 25: Use a more polite word to replace deception in the sentence IPCC, has deceived the public Flint et al. [27] Are these references similar or different? If similar please use one. References are not alphabetically arranged. Re-arrange please	We made these corrections and explained the Flint et al. reference better. The order of references, not alphabetical but numerical, is appropriate for this Journal.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work is worthwhile	Many thanks for the review.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>