



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_40245
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTREME ULTRAVIOLET PENETRATION TO EARTH'S SURFACE: HUMAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH IMPLICATIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Research article is quite innovative, informative and technically sound but needs further confirmatory investigations by Other researchers to disprove the conventionally held view by other Authoritative groups so as to fully accept the findings on the UV –B/C radiation Absorption by the Earth surface Secondly the format of the abstract is unconventional with the prefixing of the Stages unless is otherwise an acceptable format.</p> <p>Please clarify the ethical issue if any.</p> <p>The ethical issue is that this work needs further verification by other researchers since it tends to disprove widely held conventional views by specialists groups and individuals so that a midpoint of the veracity of such claims can be reached for scientific interest and benefits.</p>	Thank you for your thoughtful review. We have addressed all of your concerns, including two new paragraphs relating to ethical issues. The formatting of the Abstract is appropriate for this journal.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 16 : Reference of the definition of concept Line 19: should read dates back to 1948 Line 20: should read in the year 2010 Line 53 and 54: Please begin sentences with the full meaning of CFA Line 64: Meaning of EPA in full Line 76: Ozone O₃ in parenthesis Line 164; reads D"Anthione et al(2007)</p>	We addressed all of these; note the word approximate with respect to your Line 20 suggestion. Again, many thanks. Our manuscript benefitted from your suggestions.
Optional/General comments		