

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_26688
Title of the Manuscript:	A three-dimensional statistical model of karst flow conduits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



## SDI Review Form 1.6

#### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul> <li>This paper is focused on developing a three-dimensional statistical model of karst flow conduits. In this context, the author(s) provide a report on their development applications regarding to the model. From a general perspective, the paper comes with a remarkable subject. But before it can be published at the JGEESI, some minor revisions should also be done. The related revisions are:</li> <li>1- The Abstract may be a little shortened by discussing about background, objective of the work and the obtained results.</li> <li>2- Organization of the titles should be like this: 1. is for the main title; and 1.1., 1.2., 1.3etc. are for its sub-titles.</li> <li>3- There are blanks after main titles and before the first sub-titles. It will be better to provide some brief sentences explaining the content; in order to improve readability and ensure a good content organization.</li> <li>4- Number of total references is OK. But in order to keep the content up-to-date, there should be a few more references from 2015, and 2016.</li> <li>Thanks the author(s) for their valuable efforts to form this paper.</li> </ul>	
Optional/General comments	-	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Utku Kose	
Department, University & Country	Computer Sciences Application and Research Centre, Usak University, Turkey	