



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_26688
Title of the Manuscript:	A three-dimensional statistical model of karst flow conduits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Article is very interesting and authors have develop a three-dimensional model about the early formation of karst flow conduits. Authors claim that model is different and has different grounds than existing model.</p> <p><i>Authors are required to add / amend followings:</i> Page 2: under 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS: subtitle 1. Presentation of the system and the parameters describing it <i>Suggested to be changed as: 2.1. Presentation of the system and the parameters describing it</i> <i>Similarly 2 as 2.2 , 2.3 and so on...</i> Page 2: under 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS: subtitle 1. Symmetric and isotropic systems <i>Suggested to be changed as: 3.1. Symmetric and isotropic systems</i> <i>Similarly 2, 3, 4.. as 3.2 , 3.3 and 3.4 so on...</i></p>	I agree with that, this renders things clearer.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Article is properly structured and recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestions.	