1	<u>Original Research Article</u>
2	Geochemical and statistical approach to assessing trace
3	metal accumulations in Lagos Lagoon sediments, South
4	Western, Nigeria
5	Author details: Popoola Samuel Olatunde1*, Titocan Mark Imhansoeleva 2, Ibitola
6	Mayowa Philiphs2, Appia Youpele Juliano2
7	1 department of Physical and Chemical Oceanography, Nigerian Institute for
8	Oceanography and Marine Research PMB, 12729, Victoria Island, Lagos,
9	E-mail,lekanpopesam@gmail.com, popoolaos@niomr.gov.ng
10 11	2uepartment of Marine geology and geophysics, Nigerian Institute for Oceanography and Marine Research PMR 12729 Victoria Island Lagos
12	E-mail: lekannonesam@amail.com .nonoolaos@niomr.aov.na
13	popoolaos@niomr.aov.na
14	
15	Abstract
16	
17	The study areas is located in the Northern, central and the southern part of Lagos Lagoon, South
18	western Nigeria, longitude 3° 22' 27.97" to 3° 28' 58.60"East and latitude 6° 27' 41.44" to 6° 35'
19	42.60" North. Sediment samples were collected from bottom sediments in twelve sampling
20	stations, with the aid of van-veen grab during the wet-season period, from May to July 2014, on
21	a monthly basis. Sediment samples were air dried and disaggregated, 70 grams each of sediment
22	samples were oven dried for 8 hours, and its grain size fractions determined. The result of the
23	grain size analysis range from; coarse to very fine sand, moderate to well sorted, finely skewed
24	to mesokurtic, while the visually described major clay fractions range from; sandy, plastic,
25	whitish brown clay to brownish, shary, plastic clay with occasional sitt. The bi-modal peaks on the particle size plots suggest multiple source of sediment contaminants in: Unileg waterfront
20	Liora and Ibeshe, and a unimodal peaks: single source of contaminants in, of the sediments of:
27	Atlascove Anana and Ikorodu The sieved sediments were further leached with
29	Nitric/Hydrochloric acid (1:3), agua regia, using APHA method and its trace metal contents
30	analysed with Argillent 200 A model, Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS). The
31	analysed concentrations in mg/kg showed; Ni(Nd-17.55), Mn, (12.50-1180.25), Pb(Nd-15.37),
32	Zn(51.68-659.55), Cu(Nd-35.55) and Cr(Nd-53.00). The major element (Fe) used as the
33	normalizer showed a concentration of 832.64-25206.00mg/kg Potential contamination
34	benchmarks; contamination factor (CF), enrichment factor (EF), geoaccumulation factor (Lgeo)
35	and pollution load index (PLI), were used to assess whether, the observed concentrations
36	represent background or contaminated levels. The result affirmed the elevation of Zn, Pb and
37	Mn and moderate contamination of zinc metals at; Iddo, Okobaba, Majidun and Ijora stations
38	and the crustal influence in the deposition of; Cu, Cr and Ni. Multivariate statistical analysis
39 40	employed also affirmed these potential contamination benchmarks. Based on the results it can be
40 //1	Lagos Lagoon sediments to the aquatic ecosystem is low
4-1 4-1	Lagos Lagoon seaments to the aquate ecosystem is low.
42	

44 45	<b>Keywords:</b> Lagos Lagoon, bottom sediment samples, grain size fractions, potential contamination benchmarks, multivariate statistical methods.
46	
47	
48	
49	
50 51 52 53	1 Introduction
54	The behaviour of metals in aquatic systems in coastal environment requires a serious attention
55	due to the global anthropogenic alteration of trace metal cycles [1-2]. Aquatic animals are
56	exposed to trace and heavy metals;. Most of these metals are toxic environmental pollutants, with
57	well-identified adverse effect on aquatic ecosystems [3]. These pollutants could be transferred to
58	humans via ingestions, dermal contact or breathing [4].
59	The origin of metals that accumulate in sediments is partly from natural sources through the
60	weathering of rocks and partly arising from a variety of human activities including; sand mining,
61	smelting, electroplating, chemical manufacturing plants, as well as domestic discharges, shipping
62	and boating activities, wood logging, saw dust input and marine debris. All these human induced
63	effluents are predominant in Lagos Lagoon [5-8]. It had been established that; several
64	anthropogenic induced activities may cause trace elements contaminations, many researchers
65	have indicated the need for a better understanding of sediment status in coastal environments [9].
66	Hence, sediment analysis is a good proxy for the assessment of the "geochemical status" and
67	"environmental quality" in such marine environment [10].
68	This paper presents trace/heavy metals' contaminations on selected stations in Lagos Lagoon
69	sediments. The major objectives of this study are: assessment of the potential contamination and
70	the identification of the different sources that contribute to trace element concentrations in
71	bottom sediments of the selected stations in Lagos Lagoon. To evaluate the extent of trace metal
72	contaminations and the degree to which trace metals are influenced by natural and anthropogenic
73	factors in Lagos Lagoon. Identification of different causes of enrichment and trace metals
74	associations in Lagos Lagoon via the application of multivariate statistics and lastly, to determine
75	the variation in grain size distributions with trace metal concentrations across the selected
76	stations in Lagos Lagoon.

#### 78 2 Material and methods

#### 79 **2.1** Study area

80

- The study areas are located Lagos, Southwestern Nigeria in between longitude 3° 22' 27.97" to 81
- 3° 28' 58.60"East and latitude 6° 27' 41.44" to 6° 35' 42.60" North. It traverses the south Eastern 82
- part of the Lagos Lagoon (Atlascove, Apapa stations), to the central part of the Lagoon and 83
- Northwestern part of the Lagos Lagoon (Ikorodu and Egbin stations figure1). Geologically, it 84
- falls within the eastern part of the Dahomey Basin, bounded to the north by then Precambrian 85
- Basement complex of southwestern Nigeria (figure2) [11]. 86





Figure1: Map of the study area showing the 12 stations



Figure2: Geological Map of the Eastern Dahomey Basin [12]

93

# 94 **2.2 Data collection and analysis**

All sampling equipments were washed with nitric acid 1N) before sampling. Sample containers 95 were placed in clear plastic bags to minimize sediments cross-contamination. Before each 96 sampling period, sample containers were labeled with the station name, date, time of collection, 97 and the name of the sample collector and/or other information specified by the laboratory 98 99 [13]. Average shale concentration according was used as control [14]. Sediment samples were collected from twelve sampling stations with the aid of van-veen grab, from May to July 2014, 100 on a monthly basis and kept in black polythene bags, air dried, disaggregated to remove large 101 debris and shell fragments, pulverized in agate mortar. 70 grams of each sample was oven dried 102 103 at 50°C for 8 hours in order to remove its moisture content. The dried and weighed sediments were transferred carefully to the uppermost (coarsest) of a stacked series of graded sand sieves, 104 105 sieves were gently brushed of all material from the container. A 62 µm sieve was placed at the 106 bottom of the stack of sieves and care was taken by using a pan below the finest sieve to catch the last of any fine material which may still pass. The stacked column of sieves was now 107 transferred to a Rotap sieve shaker for a period 10-15 minutes. When the finality of sieving was 108 109 checked, the fraction of samples retained on each sieve was emptied on to a sheet of glazed paper and grains lodged in the sieve were removed with a sieve brush. The fractions were then 110

111	transferred to a pre weighed dish for weighing. The analysis continues sieve by sieve through the
112	series until, finally, the material passing through the last (62 $\mu$ m) sieve and retained in the pan
113	was also recorded. The sieved sediments were leached with Nitric/Hydrochloric acid (1:3), aqua
114	regia using standard digestion procedure [11]. Trace metal contents were analysed with Argillent
115	200A model, Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS). QA and QC were assessed using
116	duplicates, method blanks and standard reference materials according to EPA standard
117 118 119	<b>3</b> Results and Discussion
120	
121 122	<b>3.1</b> Sediment transportation patterns
123	The statistical parameters of grain size distribution have been a major parameter in delineating
124	depositional processes [15]. The mean value is a reflection of the competence of the mechanism
125	of transportation. The result of the granulometric analysis of representative samples from the
126	bottom sediment of Lagos Lagoon is presented in the appendix. The cumulative curve and
127	individual particle size of each sample from which grain size parameters were calculated(figure
128	8-13). The sediment distributions of ; Unilag water front, Atlas cove, Ijora, Ikorodu port, Apapa
129	port and Ibeshe stations range; from coarse to very fine sand, moderate to well sorted, finely
130	skewed with mesokurtic(appendix). The mean, which is a reflection of the overall size of the
131	sediment, has values ranging from (0.02 to 2.00 mm) which represents coarse grained – very fine
132	sand (see appendix). The bottom sediments of Ibeshe and Ijora are majorly very fine grained-fine
133	grained sand; these attributes attracted incessant sand mining in the area. However, other
134	sediment samples from the central part of the Lagos Lagoon (mid Lagoon), Agboyin, Majidun,
135	Iddo, Egbin and Okobaba range from; sandy, plastic, whitish brown clay to brownish, shaly,
136	plastic clay with occasional silt. A graph of cumulative weight percent against sieve size was
137	plotted on the grain size results. And from the cumulative frequency curve obtained, grain size
138	parameters such as; average size (mean), spread of the sizes about the average (standard
139	deviation) symmetry of preferential spread to one size of the average (skewness) and kurtosis or
140	degree of concentration of the grains to the central size were determined with matlab
141	applications. Pearson correlation analysis (table1-3), Principal Component Analysis (PCA,
142	table4), and Cluster Analysis (CA, fig 15) were carried out using; matlab, Microsoft excel

descriptive tools and software statistical 7, to identify the association of metals and geochemical
parameters [16-17]

- 145
- 146**3.2Trace/heavy metals geochemistry**

147 The concentration in mg/kg of some trace metals in the Lagos Lagoon sediments showed Ni 148 concentration from Nd-17.55, Mn from 12.50-1180.25, Pb (Nd-15.37), Zn (51.68-659.55), Cu 149 range(Nd-35.55) and Cr(Nd-53.00). Comparing the observed concentration with the Average 150 Shale Concentration (ASC) as proposed by [14,18], Zn, Pb and Mn, were observed to contain 151 elevated concentration in reference to the average shale concentration(ASC) in the Lagos 152 Lagoon(figure 3-4), in stations such as: Iddo, Okobaba, Majidun, Ijora, and Egbin; an indication 153 of human-induced effluents accumulations. These accumulations might not be unconnected with; 154 155 population increase, commercial centers and industrial activities known for the generation of 156 huge volume of liquid and solid wastes' sink to the adjourning sediments in Lagos Lagoon. This 157 is in agreement with the work of [19] on sediment quality ratios of six industrial sites in Lagos metropolis that eventually drain into the Lagos Lagoon. Metals such as Cu, Cr and Ni were 158 159 observed to have elevated concentrations relative to their corresponding ASC at stations such as; Ijora, Majidun, Iddo, Agboyin, Okobaba, Egbin and the central part of the lagoon. These might 160 be connected to the binding nature of the dominant clay and colloidal particles in these stations; 161 thereby making the trace metals to be non-bioavailable. The average concentration of the trace 162 163 elements in all the stations is in the descending order of: Mn > Zn > Cr > Cu > Pb > Ni(figure 4).

- 164
- 165



166 167 168

Figure 3: Trace metal enrichment showing zinc, manganese and Pb as enriched metals (anthropogenic source)



173 Figure 4: Showing the mean concentration of trace metals in the study area

175

176

## 3.3 Application of proxies in the assessment of Lagos Lagoon Sediments

Sediment analysis is a good proxy for the assessment of the "geochemical status" and "environmental quality" in such marine environment [10]. The quality and potential environmental implication(s) of trace metals were evaluated using contamination indices such as; contamination factor, geo-accumulation indices, pollution load indices and contamination degrees. These methods have been used successfully by various workers to determine the quality of various environmental media [9, 20-21].

**3.3.1** Contamination Factor (CF) is calculated as the ratio between the sediment metal content at a given station and the normal concentration levels. Concentration factor,  $CF^{=}C$  / Cn (1) CF = contamination factor; C = mean concentration of each metal in the sediments; Cn=background value. The contamination factor modified by [20] showed the following classes: CF<1, low contamination, 1<CF<3, moderate contamination and 3<CF<6, considerable contamination. Zinc metal falls within the moderate contamination ratio (figure5).



191 Figure 5: The contamination factor plot of the Lagos Lagoon sediments

3.3.2 Geoaccumulation Index (lgeo). The lgeo is used to understand the current environmental
status and trace metal pollution extent with respect to natural environment. It is distinct from
Enrichment factor(EF) because the factor 1.5 is introduced to include possible variations of the
background values that are due to lithogenic variations [21]. The lgeo (equation2) classes are:

$$I_{geo} = \log_2 \left( \frac{C_{HM}}{1.5 \times B_{HM}} \right)$$
(2)

198

199  $C_{HM}$  = concentration of metals,  $B_{HM}$  = background level of metals.

 $0 \le |geo \le 1$ , unpolluted to moderately polluted,  $1 \le |geo \le 3$ , moderately to strongly polluted and  $3 \le |geo \le 5$ , strongly to very strongly polluted. The six metals examined all fall within the geochemical benchmarks for unpolluted to moderately polluted ratio, this affirmed that the pollution arising from trace metals/heavy metals have not undergone a progressive pollution state in all the stations (figure 6).

- 206 207
- 208
- 209
- 210







- Fig7: Showing the pollution load index and degree of contamination
- 236 237
- 238

# **3.4 Multivariate statistical methods**

A correlation matrix was used to understand the relationship among the metals. Principal component analysis was applied to transform the correlation matrix, with an aim of explaining the relationships between the different factors. The resulting factors were then rotated using varimax method, for deriving more significant information on the distribution of the weights of the variables on the factors [23]. The factors are presented as factor 1 (F1) and factor 2 (F2) for l2 sediment samples (figure14, table4). Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) was performed to create the data into groups, based on pattern and closeness.

247

## 248 3.4.1 Particle size plots

The plot of individual particle size against phi size for the various samples shows bi-modal peaks 249 in bottom sediments of Unilag water front, Ijora and Ibeshe .This suggests multiple source of 250 sediment contamination, however, the bottom sediments of Atlascove, Apapa and Ikorodu 251 exhibited a uni-modal peaks an evidence of a single pollution source. The low trace metal 252 concentration in the coarse sand texture of Apapa and Ikorodu Port, coupled with the medium 253 sand texture at the Unilag water front and Atlascove sediments affirmed low affinity of coarse 254 fractions with trace metals. However, the high concentration of Mn in Ibeshe station confirmed 255 256 the great affinity of trace metals to fine sand fractions [24-25].



Fig8 : The plot of sediments particle size at UWF station against phi



Fig9 : The plot of sediments particle size at ATC station against phi







Fig11 : The plot of sediments particle size at IKP stations against phi





Fig12 : A: The plot of sediments particle size at APP stations against phi



### Fig 13:The plot of sediments particle size at IBS stations against phi

### 278 279

281

### 280 **3.4.2** Pearson correlation analysis

All the metal pairs in the sediments exhibit positive relations and some of them were significant 282 283 at the 95% confidence levels. The Pearson correlation coefficient shows the existence of similar geochemical association for these metals; Fe-Mn, Fe-Cr-Zn, Fe-Ni-Pb-Zn and Pb-Zn-Cu pairs. 284 285 Pb and Zn are significantly positively correlated with each other, which may suggest a common pollution sources or a similar geochemical behaviour [26], this is also in agreement with the 286 287 enrichment factor geochemical benchmarks of the Lagos Lagoon sediments [27]. Fe-Cr and Fe-Mn are significantly correlated(r=0.63, 0.88, P=0.06, 0.0001) table1-3; this may suggest a similar 288 289 terrigenous source or a result of similar mechanisms of transport and accumulation within the sediments. They are Ferro-allied metals and are associated with mafic-ultramafic rock 290 291 provenance [28]. However, Cr-Zn and Fe-Ni-Pb-Zn are none significantly correlated. Positive 292 correlation between all metal studied with Fe confirmed that Fe has a higher affinity with most 293 elements.

Table1 :Pearson Correlation coefficient result Table							
R	Ni	Mn	Pb	Zn	Fe	Cu	Cr
Ni	1						
Mn	0.4804	1					
Pb	0.6107	0.0891	1				
Zn	0.5484	0.4539	0.4266	1			
Fe	0.5489	0.884	0.2974	0.5229	1		
Cu	0.7651	0.2175	0.8038	0.755	0.4363	1	
Cr	0.1302	0.5021	0.2536	0.5603	0.6364	0.3878	1
Table 2 :       Statistical Test at 5% significant figure (P-value)							
L		Allour rest	ut 3/0 3161			ucj	
P-VAL	Ni	Mn	Pb	Zn	Fe	Cu	Cr
P-VAL Ni	<b>Ni</b> 1	Mn	Pb	Zn	Fe	Cu	Cr
P-VAL Ni Mn	Ni 1 0.1139	Mn 1	Pb	Zn	Fe	Cu	Cr
P-VAL Ni Mn Pb	Ni 0.1139 0.0349	Mn 1 0.783	Pb 1	Zn	Fe	Cu	Cr
P-VAL Ni Mn Pb Zn	Ni 1 0.1139 0.0349 0.0649	Mn 1 0.783 0.1383	Pb 1 0.1666	<b>Z</b> n 1	Fe	Cu	Cr
P-VAL Ni Mn Pb Zn Fe	Ni 1 0.1139 0.0349 0.0649 0.0645	Mn 1 0.783 0.1383 0.0001	Pb 1 0.1666 0.3479	<b>Zn</b> 1 0.0811	Fe 1	Cu	Cr
P-VAL Ni Mn Pb Zn Fe Cu	Ni 1 0.1139 0.0349 0.0649 0.0645 0.0037	Mn 1 0.783 0.1383 0.0001 0.497	Pb 1 0.1666 0.3479 0.0016	<b>Zn</b> 1 0.0811 0.0045	Fe 1 0.1562	Cu 1	Cr
P-VAL Ni Mn Pb Zn Fe Cu Cr	Ni 0.1139 0.0349 0.0649 0.0645 0.0037 0.6868	Mn 1 0.783 0.1383 0.0001 0.497 0.0962	Pb 1 0.1666 0.3479 0.0016 0.4265	<b>Zn</b> 1 0.0811 0.0045 0.0581	Fe 1 0.1562 0.0261	Cu 	Cr
P-VAL Ni Mn Pb Zn Fe Cu Cr	Ni 1 0.1139 0.0349 0.0649 0.0645 0.0037 0.6868	Mn 1 0.783 0.1383 0.0001 0.497 0.0962	Pb 1 0.1666 0.3479 0.0016 0.4265	<b>Zn</b> 1 0.0811 0.0045 0.0581	Fe 1 0.1562 0.0261	Cu 1 0.2129	Cr 

R <sup>2</sup>	Ni	Mn	Pb	Zn	Fe	Cu	Cr
Ni	1						
Mn	0.2308	1					
Pb	0.3729	0.0079	1				
Zn	0.3007	0.206	0.182	1			
Fe	0.3013	0.7815	0.0884	0.2734	1		
Cu	0.5855	0.0473	0.6461	0.5701	0.1904	1	
Cr	0.0169	0.2521	0.0643	0.3139	0.405	0.1504	1



299





### Table4: Summary of Principal component analysis of some trace metals in Lagos Lagoon sediments

	PC1	PC2
Ni	0.009	0.01
Mn	0.94	-0.33
Pb	0.005	0.031
Zn	0.33	0.937
Cu	0.003	0.043
Cr	0.08	0.093
Eigen value	12.01	3.063
% variance	78.71	20.07
Cumulative %	78.71	98.77

**Bold values: loadings > 0.5** 

309

# 308 3.4.3 Principal component analysis

The statistical analysis revealed that the trace metals can be grouped into two identities PC1 and 310 PC2 (figure 14, table4). All the % variance that are less than 20% were eliminated (see 311 appendix). The first group identity is Zn and this account for 79% of the total variance of the 312 313 variables with Eigen value of 12.05. This metal is believed to be majorly contributed from anthropogenic source (industrial effluents from electroplating, paints, fertilizers, vehicular 314 emissions and others); the enrichment factor, contamination factor and Pearson correlation 315 relationship corroborate this (figure 3-6). The second identity Mn account for approximately 21% 316 of the total variance with an Eigen value of 3.06. Mn is believed to have been contributed to the 317 sediments of the Lagos Lagoon from washed down automobile aerosols, worn-out vulcanized 318 products such as tyres, brake linings as well as expended paints and paint products. It is used as 319 additives and alloys in chemical and metallurgical industries and is believed to have been 320 contributed to the sediments from the leaching of industrial, chemical and domestic wastes. This 321 322 is also in agreement with the enrichment factor, contamination factor and Pearson correlation relationship. 323 324

325

326

327

328



## **3.4.4** Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA)

This is the most important cluster analysis method most commonly used for environmental analysis. It identifies groups of samples according to their similarities. The results obtained were presented in a two-dimensional plot called dendrogram using Matlab R2009b software. The dendrogram based on the linear pair coefficient of correlation between the variables indicate different clusters for the bottom sediments of Lagos Lagoon (Fig.15). Three groups were distinguished in the dendrogram, performed using the Ward method, which used the squared Euclidean distance as a similarity measure. The domination of Zn, Cr, Mn with Fe indicates their association with the Fe oxides. These corroborate the significant geochemical relationship as described in the Pearson correlation analysis (table 1-3). 

- 349
- 350 351

4

# CONCLUSION

The study highlights the; importance of environmental quality evaluation and trace metals' 352 353 contamination in sediments, spatial variations in elemental distributions, iron utilization in trace metals' nominalizations, vis-à-vis background levels and human-induced metal enrichment. 354 Trace metal distributions in Lagos Lagoon sediments have been affected by various factors, such 355 as; marine debris, industrial and domestic effluents discharges, human-induced/anthropogenic 356 effluents. These are in agreement with past researchers in the selected stations 357 (appendix). Moreover, it was observed that; Zn, Mn and Pb distributions are significantly 358 359 enriched from anthropogenic source, mostly prevalent at; Ijora, Iddo, Okobaba, Majidun and Egbin sediments. These enrichments might not be unconnected to the prevalent, domestic and 360 industrial effluents from the adjourning communities around the stations. On the basis of the 361 calculated contamination risk assessment; it was adduced that the analysed sediments of the 362 363 Lagos Lagoon stations are; unpolluted to moderately polluted by trace metals (Pb, ,Zn, Cu Cr, Ni, Mn and Fe), moderately contaminated by Zn (especially at Ijora, Iddo, Okobaba, Majidun and 364 365 Egbin stations). Nonetheless, the integrated toxicity assessment of the study area (PLI and DC) falls within background geochemical benchmarks, therefore exhibited a synthetic low toxic 366 367 effect on the aquatic ecosystem.

The pearson correlation coefficient exhibit positive relations in all the metals, the trace metals geochemical associations suggest a similar; terrigenous source or mechanisms of transport and accumulations within the sediments. Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA), Pearson correlation analysis and the Principal component analysis all corroborate; zinc and manganese as an anthropogenically enriched metals and, Ni, Fe and Cu background status in the Lagos Lagoon sediments.Cr however, exhibit a mix source of deposition in the Lagos Lagoon sediments.

374

375

376

377

378

381	
382	
383	
384	
385	
386	References
387	
388	1. Forstner U, Wittman G. Metal Pollution in the Aquatic Environment, 2nd Revised
389	Edition, Springer Verlag, New York, 1981. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-69385-4
390	2. Solomons, Forstner U. Metals in the Hydrocycle. Springer-Verlag, New
391	York,1984, p. 349. <u>doi:10.1007/978-3-642-69325-0</u>
392	3. Sellinus O,Alloway B.J,Centeno J.A,Finkel-man,R.B,.FugeR.J, Lindh,V. and
393	Smedley P.Essentials of medical Geology. Impact of the Natural environment on
394	Public Health.Elsievier, Amsterdam, 2005, pp.812.
395	4. Madrid F, Biasioli M.J, Ajmone-Marson F.Availability and Bioaccessibility of metals
396	fine particles of some urban soils. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 2008.55, 21.
397	5. Ajao E.A .Review of the state of pollution of the Lagos Lagoon. NIOMR Tech.
398	Paper No. 106.1996.
399	6. Don-Pedro, K.N, Oyewo, E.O and Otitoloju, A.A. Trend of heavy metal concentrations
400	in Lagos Lagoon Ecosystem, Nigeria. West African journal of Applied Ecology
401	5.2004,pp 103-114.
402	7. Olushola, M. A., C.A.Albert, and Aderonke A. O. The Physicochemical Quality of
403	Groundwater in Relation to Surface Water Pollution in Majidun Area of Ikorodu,
404	Lagos State, Nigeria. International Journal of Environmental Protection Nov. 2012,
405	Vol. 2 11, 2014,pp. 8-16.
406	8. Popoola S.O, Nubi O.A, Oyatola O.O, Adekunbi, F.O, Fabunmi, G.I, Nwoko C.J.
407	Vertical profiling and contamination risk assessment of some trace metals in a part of
408	Lagos Lagoon axis. International Journal of Science Technology and society, Vol. 3,
409	No. 4, pp. 186-193. doi, 0.11648/j.ijsts.20150304.19.
410	9. Olatunji, A.S, Abimbola A.F, Afolabi O.O. Geochemical Assessment of Industrial
411	activities on the Quality of Sediments and Soils Within the Lsdpc Industrial Estate,
412	Odogunyan, Lagos, Nigeria.Global Journal of Environmental Research IDOSI
413	Publications.2009,3(3): 252-257.
414	10. European Commmision, "Establishing a Framework for Community Action in the
415	Field of Water Policy. Euro- pean Commission," 2000.
416	11. Olatunji, A.S., Abimbola, A.F. Geochemical Evaluation of the Lagos Lagoon
417	Sediments. World Applied Sciences Journal 9 (2): 178-193, 2010.
418	12. Agagu, O.A. A geology guide to the Bituminous sediments in Southwestern Nigeria,
419	University of Ibadan Press.1985.
420	13. EPA, "Sediment Sampling Guide and Methodologies," 2001, p. 35.
421	14. Turekian, K.K, Wedepohl K.H. Distribution of elements in some major units of the
422	earth's crust. Geological Society America Bulletin, 1961, 72, 175 – 192.
423	15. Folk, R.H (1966) A review of grain size parameters sedimentology vol. 6, pp73-93.
424	16. Chork C.Y, Govett G.J.S, Comparison of interpretations of geochemical soil data by
425	some multivariate statistical methods, Key Anacon, N.B., Canada. Journal of
426	Geochemical exploration, 1985, 23, 213 – 242.
427	17. Aitchison, J. The statistical analysis of compositional data, Wiley, New York, 1998.

428	18. Abrahim G.M.S, Parker R.J. Assessment of heavy metal enrichment factors and the
429	degree of contamination in marine sediments from Tamaki Estuary, Auckland, New
430	Zealand. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 2008, 136, 227–238.
431	19. Ajibola, V.O, Ladipo, M.K. Sediment Quality of Effluent Discharge Channels from
432	six Industrial Sites in Lagos, Nigeria. Int. J. Environ. Res, 2011, 5(4):901-908,
433	autumn.
434	20. Hakanson L. An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution controls sediment logical
435	approach. Water Res.14, (2), 1980.pp 975.
436	21. Taylor S.R, McLennan, S.M. The geochemical evolution of the continental crust.
437	Reviews of Geophysics, 1995,33, 241-265.
438	22. Tomlinson D.L, Wilson, J.G, Harris C.R., Jeffney D.W. Problems in the assessment of
439	heavy metals levels in estuaries and the formation of pollution index. Helgol. Wiss.
440	Meeresunters, 1980, pp33, 566.
441	23. Davis, J.C. Statistics and data analysis in geology. Wiley, New York, 550 pp, 1986.
442	24. Stumm W. Morgan J.J. Aquatic chemistry, Wiley, New York, 1981.
443	25 Calvert S E. Pedersen T F. Thunell R C. Geochemistry of the surface sediments of the
113	Sulu and south china seas Marine Geology 1993 114 207-231
444 115	26  Abdy HHH Khaled A Heavy metals contamination in sediments of the Western Part
445	of Egyptian Mediterranean Sea, Aust I Basic Appl Sci. 200, 3(4): 3330
440	27 Popoola S O Ovatola O O Appia V I Distribution sources and contamination risk
447	27.1 Opoola 5.0, Oyatola 0.0, Appla 1.J. Distribution, sources and containination fisk
448	assessment of some trace metals in bottom sedments of Lagos Lagoon. IOSK Journal
449	of environmental toxicology and food technology. (In-press), 2015, 155N 2521-0902.
450	28. Popoola S.O, Appla Y.J and Oyalola O.O. The Depositional Environments and
451	provenance Characteristics of Selected Sediments, South of Yewa River, Eastern
452	Dahomey Basin, South Western Nigeria. International Organisation of Scientific
453	Research (IOSR) Journal of Applied Geology and Geophysics (IOSR-JAGG), 2014,
454	PP12-107.
455	
456	
457	
458	
459	
460	
461	
462	
463	
464	
465	
466	

# Sampling stations and its associated anthropogenic activities [5}

<b>Station</b>	Latitude	Longitude	Anthropogenic	Full name
Number /Name			activities	
1 ATC	<mark>6° 25'</mark>	<mark>3° 23' 51.93"</mark>	<b>Accidental</b>	Atlascove
	<mark>19.063"</mark>		<mark>petroleum</mark>	
			<mark>discharge,</mark>	
			dredging Oil	
			and Grease,	
			lead combustion	
<mark>2 APP</mark>	<mark>6° 26' 43.03"</mark>	<mark>3° 22' 57.18"</mark>	Dredging(oil	<mark>Apapa Port</mark>
			and Grease,	
			spillages, ship	
			garbage.	
<mark>3 IJR</mark>	<mark>6° 27' 41.44"</mark>	<mark>3° 22' 27.97"</mark>	Residential,	<mark>Ijora</mark>
			sewage and	
			industrial	
			effluents, oil	
			and grease.	
<mark>4 IDD</mark>	<mark>6° 27' 58.96"</mark>	<mark>3° 22' 56.45"</mark>	<b>Domestic</b>	<mark>Iddo</mark>
			sewage	
			discharges,(Bio	
			degradable	
			organic matter.	
<mark>5 OKB</mark>	<mark>6° 28' 57.37"</mark>	<mark>3° 23' 40.98"</mark>	Wood logging,	<mark>Okobaba</mark>
			<mark>saw dust input</mark>	
			(Biodegradable	
			organic matter.	
<mark>6 UWF</mark>	<mark>6° 31' 11.72"</mark>	<mark>3° 24' 16.76"</mark>	Marine debris.	University of
				Lagos
				waterfront
7 MDL	6° 30' 57.12	3° 26' 34.03"	Recent	Middle/central
			residential	Lagoon
			effluents	
			accumulation.	
8 AGB	<mark>6° 33'</mark>	<mark>3° 25'</mark>	Local dredging	<mark>Agboyin</mark>

	<mark>39.211"</mark>	<mark>55.333"</mark>		
9 MJD	<mark>6° 35' 26.54"</mark>	<mark>3° 27' 29.52"</mark>	Local dredging,	<mark>Majidun</mark>
			dumpsite/reside	
			ntial discharges.	
10 IKP	<mark>6° 35' 42.60"</mark>	<mark>3° 28' 58.60"</mark>	Industrial	<mark>Ikorodu Port</mark>
			effluents	
11 IBS	6° 32' 58.32"	<mark>3° 28' 17.71"</mark>	Dredging	<mark>Ibeshe</mark>
12 EGB	<mark>6° 33' 20.96"</mark>	<mark>3° 36' 19.60"</mark>	<b>Thermal</b>	<mark>Egbin</mark>
			pollution,	
			Elevated water	
			temperature.	

<mark>Station</mark>		Description
UNILAG	Medium sand(0,02-2.0)	Medium sand
		Poorly sorted
		Strongly fine skewed
		Mesokurtic
ATLASCOVE	Medium sand(0,02-2.0)	Medium sand
		Moderately well sorted
		Fine skewed
		<mark>Mesokurtic</mark>
<mark>IJORA</mark>	Fine sand(0,02-0.2mm)	Fine sand
		Poorly sorted
		Strongly skewed
		<mark>Platykurtic</mark>
IKORODU PORT	Intertidal environment	Coarse sand
	Coarse sand(0.2-2.0mm)	Moderately sorted
		Coarse skewed
		Mesokurtic

<mark>APAPA PORT</mark>	Coarse sand(0.2-2.0mm)	Coarse sand
		Moderately sorted
		Near symmetrical
		<mark>Mesokurtic</mark>
<mark>IBESHE</mark>	Intertidal environment	Very fine sand
	<mark>Silt(0.002-0.02mm</mark>	Moderately well
	<mark>(diameter)</mark>	sorted
		Strongly coarse
		skewed
		Very platykurtic

# Table1: Result of Granulometric analysis of Lagos Lagoon sediments 474

Station .	<mark>Mean</mark>	<mark>Standard Dev</mark>	<mark>Skewness</mark>	<mark>Kurtosis</mark>	Description
UNILAG	<mark>1.76</mark>	<mark>1.11</mark>	<mark>0.33</mark>	<mark>0.98</mark>	Medium sand
					Poorly sorted
					Strongly fine skewed
					<mark>Mesokurtic</mark>
ATLASCOVE	<mark>1.46</mark>	<mark>0.51</mark>	<mark>0.17</mark>	<mark>1.02</mark>	Medium sand
					Moderately well sorted
					Fine skewed
					<mark>Mesokurtic</mark>
IJORA	<mark>2.01</mark>	<mark>1.39</mark>	<mark>0.38</mark>	<mark>0.81</mark>	Fine sand
					Poorly sorted
					Strongly fine skewed
					Platykurtic
IKORODU PORT	<mark>0.71</mark>	<mark>0.96</mark>	<mark>-0.19</mark>	<mark>1.09</mark>	Coarse sand
					Moderately sorted
					Coarse skewed
					<mark>Mesokurtic</mark>

ſ	<mark>APAPA PORT</mark>	<mark>0.58</mark>	<mark>0.93</mark>	<mark>0.008</mark>	<mark>0.93</mark>	Coarse sand
						Moderately sorted
						Near symmetrical
						Mesokurtic
-	IBESHE	<mark>3.05</mark>	<mark>0.63</mark>	<mark>-0.55</mark>	<mark>0.59</mark>	Very fine sand
						Moderately well sorted
						Strongly coarse skewed
						Very platykurtic

# 478 Principal Component Analysis of the sampling stations from which PC1 and PC2 was chosen

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6
<mark>Ni</mark>	<mark>0.0099</mark>	<mark>0.0114</mark>	<mark>-0.0533</mark>	<mark>0.2509</mark>	<mark>0.0617</mark>	<mark>0.9645</mark>
<mark>Mn</mark>	<mark>0.9418</mark>	<mark>-0.3327</mark>	<mark>-0.0451</mark>	<mark>0.0133</mark>	<mark>-0.0065</mark>	<mark>-0.0113</mark>
<mark>Pb</mark>	<mark>0.0049</mark>	<mark>0.0306</mark>	<mark>0.0221</mark>	<mark>0.6591</mark>	<mark>0.7191</mark>	<mark>-0.2167</mark>
<mark>Zn</mark>	<mark>0.3258</mark>	<mark>0.9369</mark>	<mark>-0.1186</mark>	<mark>-0.0442</mark>	<mark>-0.0007</mark>	<mark>-0.0094</mark>
<mark>Cu</mark>	<mark>0.0034</mark>	<mark>0.0426</mark>	<mark>0.0983</mark>	<mark>0.7033</mark>	<mark>-0.6899</mark>	<mark>-0.1339</mark>
<mark>Cr</mark>	<mark>0.0824</mark>	<mark>0.0932</mark>	<mark>0.9854</mark>	<mark>-0.0761</mark>	<mark>0.0556</mark>	<mark>0.0687</mark>

# 

		<b>Percentage</b>	Cumulative	
		<mark>Variance</mark>	Percentage	
<mark>Principal</mark>	<mark>Eigenvalue</mark>	Explained	<mark>Variance</mark>	
<mark>Component</mark>	<mark>(Variance)</mark>	<mark>(%)</mark>	<mark>(%)</mark>	
PC1	<mark>120115</mark>	<mark>78.7061</mark>	<mark>78.7061</mark>	
PC2	<mark>30631</mark>	<mark>20.0712</mark>	<mark>98.7773</mark>	
PC3	<mark>1586</mark>	<mark>1.0392</mark>	<mark>99.8165</mark>	
PC4	<mark>213</mark>	<mark>0.1396</mark>	<mark>99.9561</mark>	
PC5	<mark>57</mark>	<mark>0.0373</mark>	<mark>99.9934</mark>	
PC6	<mark>10</mark>	<mark>0.0066</mark>	<mark>100</mark>	