



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_22146
Title of the Manuscript:	The Importance of Individual and Territorial Differences on Water Footprint Calculations
Type of Article:	Original Research Paper

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Minor spelling mistakes are still observed;</p> <p>First page: this passage is confusing: "In 2030, in the light of the scenarios prepared by considering economic developments and effective use without considering other mechanisms, in addition to the current 4,500 km<sup>3</sup> of the global water demand forecast to rise to 6,900 km<sup>3</sup>."</p> <p>Page 7: According to the passage ... "Water footprint values for vegetable-based, fruit-based, <b>milk-based and meat based</b> dietary were respectively 944, 959, <b>1299 and 993</b> m<sup>3</sup>/year...", WF value is highest for milk or meat-based consumptions?</p> <p>Page 9: Third paragraph: the differences between the lowest and the mean GNP for Bulgaria and Ukraine are up to 50%. Thus, how the minimum and mean GNP values of these countries can be considered very close to each other in order to explain the small WF differences obtained? Is there another possible reason for that?</p> <p>Page 10: Figure 5 – Spain was not included in the graph.</p>	<p><b>The sentence was edited.</b></p> <p><b>The sentence was edited and added "milk based consumption".</b></p> <p><b>At middle of this sentence was added the cause of GNP "There are various numerical indices for measuring economic inequality."</b></p> <p><b>The graph was edited</b></p>