

## Response to Referees Comments

We're very much thankful to the referees for their deep and thorough reviews. We have revised our present paper in the light of their useful suggestions and comments. We hope my revision has improved the paper to a level of their satisfaction.

Manuscript Ref.: 2015\_JGEESI\_22146

Page 1 Line 2	Sentence was modified.
	Some more valuable remarks were added to the abstract.
Page 2 Line 7	The previous sentences were deleted.
Line 10	This sentence was reframed.
Line 16-24	This paragraph was added.
Line 40-54	This paragraph which is the main motivation of this study was added.
Page 3 Line 56-60	This paragraph which was hypothesis of this study was added.
Line 68-72	The paragraph that was mentioned about WFC tool was added.
Line 77-81	'to evaluate' was deleted and 'basing on' was changed as 'based on'.
Page 4 Line 103-109	The paragraph was added.
Page 5 Fig 1	All legend was completed
Page 6 Line 6-8	This sentence was completed.
Page 7 Line 21-28	These sentences were completed.
Line 37	This word was edited
Line 38-40	Sentence was modified.
Line 42-43	Sentence was edited.
Line 61-81	These paragraphs weren't added to paper. They were written as answer of reviewer's comment. Author's thoughts were endorsed by Chapagain AK. and Hoekstra AY
Page 8 Line 101-104	This sentence was rewritten.
Page 9 Line 116-119	This sentence was edited
Page 9 Line 144-151	This entire paragraph can be shortened and to be clubbed with the previous one.
Page 10 Fig 5	The Bray-Curtis similarity index was changed and added Spain.
Page 11 Line 25-29	This paragraph was shortened
Page 12 Line 58-60	The limitations of the present study has to be mentioned specifically. The subject was mentioned in discussion part.
References	References were edited

The text was checked and has been read by a native English speaker Mr. Oğuz KUZU. All the details were examined and revised the article. All references were checked one by one in text and in list.