



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_45503
Title of the Manuscript:	AVAILABILITY AND UTILIZATION OF INFORMATION COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES BY SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS TO ENHANCE INCLUSIVE EDUCATION GOALS IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are some similarities between the current work and the works done by two other workers (see the attached research papers) e.g. The first paragraph has been as it is without acknowledging the work of others. Review the models of ICT integration and adopt one for the present study. Neither the literature review nor the empirical studies have been found in the entire manuscript. The gap & contributions of the study have been mentioned. Link your results with the results of related past studies whether or not they are the same. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The title is too long. Good title has less than 15 words. ICTs (Line 8) should be mentioned in full for the first time. After that you may use the abbreviated form so the reader will know what these abbreviations refer to. Write out numbers in words (Line 14) . Go through your work again and double check your citations, spacing, formatting, punctuation & capitalization as many issues have been obviously appeared in the whole work. . 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>NO</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Luqman Rababah</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Jadara University, Jordan</i>