



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_45323
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS MILITATING AGAINST THE INTEGRATION OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES FOR EFFECTIVENESS OF TECHNICAL AND VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The researcher to correct in text citation as well as reference</p> <p>Correct According to Prakash (2009), no technology can transform on its own. For technology to be impactful in education, teachers must integrate technology in the curriculum in such a way that it aligns with students' learning goals.</p> <p>And not</p> <p>According to Anjlee (n.d), no technology can transform on its own. For technology to be impactful in education, teachers must integrate technology in the curriculum in such a way that it aligns with students' learning goals.</p> <p>Correct reference</p> <p>Prakash, D. A. (2009). Effective Integration of ICT in Education: 21 st Century Skills Based Sustained Professional Development for Teachers. Retrieved June, 9, 2010.</p> <p>And not</p> <p>Anjlee, P., (n.d). <i>Effective integration of ICT in education: 21st century skills based sustained professional development for teachers learning</i>. India: Links Foundation.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	N/A	
Optional/General comments	N/A	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Susan Chepkonga
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya