



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_45323
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS MILITATING AGAINST THE INTEGRATION OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES FOR EFFECTIVENESS OF TECHNICAL AND VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This research identify the factors affecting against the integration of ICT in effective teaching and learning TVET and proposed</p> <p>The abstract of this paper should be summarized and improved by rewriting the problem which consist of objective to achieve, method used, contribution and future work.</p> <p>It is advisable to change the sub-section Title to -> Introduction, Related Work in ICT and TVET, Proposed Factors in militating the integration of ICT in TVET and Conclusion and Future Work. Hence, the formatting has to be rectified. The Future work should be placed in Conclusion.</p> <p>The major problem with this paper is that there is no data involved. The content in each section is informative, however this journal is reporting. Should have some data related in each proposed factor (some statistic data) and analysis element presented so that proposed factors is meaningful. Hence the significant contribution of these research are not really justified. Mostly due to no analysis element.</p> <p>It is also advisable if the factors can be summarized in a table and put it at the end of Proposed sections, so that the issues can be clearly highlighted in the discussion.</p> <p>Overall, this paper is based on some good ideas and certain information are very useful. However some of the information should be rearranged and organize so that the useful finding can be conveyed easily to others researcher</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight
--	--------------------	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

		that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Robiah binti Yusof</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Malaysia</i>