



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_44608
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACTS OF URBANIZATION AND UNEMPLOYMENT IN IKARE AKOKO, ONDO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I think the work is good and OK. It just need some little corrections.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author should pay attention to some typographical errors in the paper, for example line 37, the author should remove the second close bracket and full stop. The author should also check line 92 the last bracket should be removed 2. The author should also remove the initials of the cited authors in line 72, for example (Cai, F. and M. Wang, 2010) in accepted format (Cai and Wang, 2010) 3. The author should check line 131 and include percentage symbol (%) to the number e.g. 128 (60%), 89(40%) and 96(46%) 4. The figure did not need total proportion. The author should remove it from figure 1 and figure 2 5. The author should also rework the reference section very well. For instance, it should be arranged alphabetically, the indent should also be observe where necessary in the reference section 	I have painstakingly effected the necessary corrections
Optional/General comments	This paper discusses one of the major issues in the study area. The work only needs minor corrections	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>