



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_39655
Title of the Manuscript:	Artificial Neural Network (Ann) Forecast of University Growth: A Focus on College of Technology Education, Kumasi, University of Education, Winneba Admissions.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a fairly good paper, but minor revision must be undertaken before it is published. It is a good paper in terms of what an original research article must include and consider. Nonetheless, the paper does not comply with some of the Journal's guidelines, also, grammar, wording and style need to be revised and improved.</p> <p>For example, the references and the structure need to be revised and corrected according to the Journal's guidelines, please consider the following:</p> <p><u>Reference style</u> <i>References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]... Etc</i></p> <p><i>"Research Papers and Short Notes should follow the structure of Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgements, Competing Interests, Authors' Contributions, Consent (where applicable), Ethical approval (where applicable), and References plus figures and/or tables."</i> (Retrieved from http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nelly Fernández de Morgado
Department, University & Country	Universidad Simón Bolívar, Venezuela