



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JESBS_38304 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The prevalence of substance abuse and its mental health effects among the youth: Implications for social research |
| New Title of the Manuscript: | Substance abuse and its mental health effects among the youth: implications for social research. |
| Type of Article: | Original Research Article |

PART 2:

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|---|---|
| <p>See the Journal website and re-write the Abstract and References. (eg. reference numbers 6, 9 and 10).</p> <p>There are two sets of participants in this study. This should be taken into consideration when results are presented, analysed and discussed. Was the same study tool used for the two sets of participants? Enclose the study tool. Compare the findings from the two sets of participants.</p> <p>The introduction and discussion sections focused more on prevalence. This should be reviewed. With the way the participants were selected, prevalence should not be a finding or the focus of the study.</p> <p>Based on the limitations of the study as presented, include Botswana in the Title of the study.</p> <p>Explain the analysis of data. How many themes emerged from the study? Only two quotes were included in the manuscript, why?</p> <p>Endeavour to establish a link between the theoretical framework and the objectives and findings of the study.</p> <p>Was there an Ethical approval for the study. Re-write the ethical consideration section.</p> <p>Why this assertion that males abuse substances more than females when snowballing method was used to select the participants?</p> <p>Review the referencing style using reference numbers 25 and 26 in the body of the manuscript. Presentation of reference number</p> | |



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>26 is preferred. Also, According to [7,3,8]. This should be reviewed.</p> <p>Participants profile, indicate the age ranges, number of years on drugs and whether the participants have sought medical help before. etc</p> <p>Explain in the body of the manuscript why in-depth interview was preferred over FGD in collecting data from the youths.</p> <p>Review the manuscript for errors of grammar.</p> | |
|--|--|

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | <i>Ossai Edmund Ndudi</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>Department of Community Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Ebonyi State University, Nigeria</i> |