

SOCIAL DISTANCE TOWARDS HIV VERSUS MENTAL ILLNESS IN A SAMPLE OF ADOLESCENT STUDENTS IN LAGOS NIGERIA

ABSTRACT

Introduction:

Stigma is a major barrier to help seeking among adolescents with mental disorders. HIV-AIDS is also a highly stigmatised chronic disorder among youths. In contrast with HIV-AIDS, there is scarcity of large scale interventions targeted at reducing mental illness related stigma in Nigeria.

Aim:

This study determined the social distance of a sample of secondary school students in Nigeria towards individuals with mental illness, as compared with those with HIV-AIDS.

Method:

Using a cross-sectional study design, secondary school students (n=170) in Lagos, Nigeria completed the modified Borgadus Social distance Scale. Data was analysed with SPSS 16.

Results:

About seven out of ten (71.8%) and 20.6% of the participants would be afraid to have a conversation with someone who has mental illness and HIV-AIDS respectively ($p<0.001$). Participants were also more likely to be upset about being in the same classroom, sharing a bedroom or maintaining friendship with individuals affected by mental illness than HIV-AIDS ($p<0.001$).

Conclusion:

The findings suggest that secondary school students in Nigeria desire a higher level of social distance from individuals with mental illness than those with HIV-AIDS. Interventions targeted at reducing the stigma associated with mental illness among School children require priority attention.

28 INTRODUCTION

29 Stigma has been defined as a deeply discrediting attitude which reduces the bearer's
30 status to a tainted one on account of the possession of an attribute which is
31 considered deviant by the society [1]. Stigma is associated with misperceptions and
32 discriminatory responses, constituting a 'border' between the 'marked' individual and
33 the rest of the society. Stigma is a multifaceted concept with social distance being
34 one of its core components [2-4].

35 Social distance refers to the distance one desires to have between one's self and
36 another person in a social situation [5]. It may also be defined as the willingness to
37 engage in relationship of varying intimacy with a person [6]. Social distance is a
38 multidimensional construct influenced by cultural background, socio-economic
39 factors, knowledge and attitude towards mental illness and its consequences [6].
40 Children and adolescents have also been shown to demonstrate negative attitudes,
41 discriminatory behaviour, social distance and other elements of stigma, which persist
42 into adulthood

43 Research has shown that mental illness is associated with high levels of stigma and
44 desire for social distance [7-10]. This finding has been substantiated in various
45 studies conducted among young people in North America [11-12], Africa [13-14],
46 Asia [15], Australia [10], and Europe [16]. Similarly, HIV-AIDS is another highly
47 stigmatised chronic disorder with attendant negative implications for prevention and
48 interventions [17, 18].

49 Stigma has dire implications for help-seeking, access to interventions, and
50 consequently, outcomes among stigmatised individuals. For instance, stigma was
51 recognised as a major barrier to the achievement of the goal of "Universal access to

HIV prevention, treatment and support by the year 2010” in Nigeria [19]. Likewise, stigma was identified as the most formidable barrier to mental health help-seeking among young people in a systematic review of 22 studies on this subject [10]. Furthermore, stigma limits access to housing, work, social relationships, decent livelihood and other opportunities with consequent negative impact on quality of life.

In Nigeria, campaign against HIV-related stigma is in the mainstream with high visibility in the electronic and print media as well as community based campaigns. On the contrary, large-scale effort to tackle the stigma associated with mental illness is virtually non-existent. Young people have a potentially strategic role in anti-stigma campaign because of their contact with wide social networks, thereby facilitating the dissemination of information to peers, schoolmates, friends, family and the community. A handful of studies have reported negative attitudes towards mental illness among adolescent school children in Nigeria [13, 14]. However, there is scarcity of data on the stigma associated with HIV-AIDS in comparison to mental illness. The current study determined the social distance towards individuals with mental illness, as compared with those with HIV-AIDS, in a sample of adolescents attending secondary school in Lagos, Nigeria.

METHODS

The study was conducted in Lagos, south-west Nigeria. Lagos is the largest metropolis in Nigeria with an estimated population of 15 million. The participants were selected from two private and two public senior secondary schools in Lagos by convenient sampling. Ethical approval was obtained from the Educational Division Authority in charge of the schools. Only those whose parents consented were

75 recruited into the study after obtaining their assent. The study design was a cross-
76 sectional descriptive study.

77 The modified Borgadus social distance scale was used to assess social distance
78 towards individuals with mental illness versus HIV-AIDS, at varying levels of intimacy
79 of relationship, by self-report [20]. It is a 6-item scale with responses on a 4-point
80 scale where 1 represents 'definitely not', 2 represents 'probably not', 3 represents
81 'probably so', and 4 represents 'definitely so'. The items enquired if: participants
82 would be ashamed if people knew someone in their family has mental illness versus
83 HIV, participants would be afraid to have a conversation with someone with mental
84 illness versus HIV, participants would be disturbed about working on the same job
85 with someone with mental illness versus HIV, participants would be unable to
86 maintain friendship with someone with mental illness versus HIV, participants would
87 be upset with sharing a room with someone with someone with mental illness versus
88 HIV, and if participants could marry someone with mental illness versus HIV.

89 The 3rd item on the questionnaire 'working on the same job...' was modified to 'being
90 in the same classroom with...', while the sixth item '...marry someone with mental
91 illness' was modified to '...dating someone with mental illness' in keeping with
92 participants' age appropriate social context. Responses to the items were
93 dichotomised; 'definitely so' and 'probably so' were merged into yes, while 'definitely
94 not' and 'probably not' were collapsed into 'No'. Response of 'yes' to item 1 to 5 or
95 'no' to item 6 indicates social distance for each of the items. The age and gender of
96 the participants were also documented in the questionnaire.

97 The data was analysed with the SPSS version 16. Frequencies and percentages of
98 each item on the questionnaire were calculated. Chi-square tested statistical

significant difference in the prevalence of participants endorsing the desire for social distance towards mental illness in comparison to HIV-AIDS for each item on the Borgadus social distance scale.

RESULT

Of the 200 questionnaires administered, 170 were adequately completed (85% response rate). Age ranged from 14 to 18years (mean age 15.8 ± 1.2 years). 89 (52.4%) were females, while 81 (47.6%) were males.

About two-thirds of the participants would be ashamed if people knew someone in their family has mental illness or HIV-AIDS (Table1). Approximately seven out of ten (71.8%) and 20.6% of the participants would be afraid to have a conversation with someone who has mental illness and HIV-AIDS respectively ($p < 0.001$). Those unwilling to be in the same classroom with a student that has mental illness and HIV/AIDS were 74.7% and 17.6% respectively ($p < 0.001$).

Less than a tenth (9.4% and 6.5%) could maintain friendship or share a room with someone who has mental illness respectively. About four out of 5 respondents (80%) were willing to keep friendship with a person known to have HIV-AIDS. However, only a quarter (26.5%) could share a room with someone with HIV-AIDS. There was a tie between those who endorsed their willingness to date an individual with mental illness and HIV-AIDS (1.8%).

Table 1: Social distance towards individuals with mental illness versus HIV-AIDS

Variable	HIV n (%)	Mental Illness n (%)	X	P
Ashamed to identify with affected relation	116 (68.2)	109 (64.1)	0.64	0.422
Afraid to converse with affected person	35 (20.6)	122 (71.8)	89.6	<0.001
Upset if in the same class with affected person	30(17.6)	127 (74.7)	111.3	<0.001
Unable to keep friendship with affected person	34 (20.0)	156 (91.8)	177.6	<0.001
Upset about sharing room with affected person	125 (73.5)	159 (93.5)	24.7	<0.001
Can't date an affected person	167 (98.2)	167 (98.2)	0.0	1.000

DISCUSSION

The high level of social distance towards individuals with mental illness in the current study corroborates findings of previous studies of stigma among adolescents [14, 21, 22]. In the current study, 71.8% of the adolescents reported that they would be afraid to engage in a conversation with someone who has mental illness. This is consistent with findings of a recent study among secondary school students in southwest Nigeria that reported that 87.6% of the adolescents perceived that people with mental illness were difficult to talk to; only 22.2% of the respondents asserted

that they would not feel afraid to talk to someone with mental illness [13]. Though methodological differences precludes strict comparability, the level of social distance desired by the school children appear to be higher than that reported among the adult population in this environment [7, 8]. However, the more consistent finding across studies is that the closer the intimacy required for the social interaction with the stigmatised individual, the higher the desired level of distance by the community.

More importantly, this study found a significantly higher level of social distance from individuals with mental illness in comparison with those with HIV-AIDS. This suggests that mental illness attracts a higher level of stigma than HIV-AIDS among the studied population. The strong desire for social distance towards mental illness reflects prevalent misconceptions, ignorance and negative attitudes about mental illness. This is in keeping with extant research that social distance and other stigmatising attitudes correlates with mental health illiteracy, perceived dangerousness of the mentally ill and expectations about a negative outcome [8, 16, 23-26]. Other misperceptions associated with social distance from mental illness include beliefs that people with mental illness are unpredictable, 'weak but not sick' and are to be blamed for their illness [15, 24]. On the other hand, personal experience with mental illness is associated with lower level of social distance [27].

The relatively lower desire for social distance towards individuals with HIV-AIDS reflects lower stigmatising attitudes towards the illness. There is evidence that attitudes and knowledge could be influenced by media consumption. Previous research has suggested that the consistent exposure to mass media education about HIV over the past decade has resulted in more accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV in Nigeria [28-30]. While the mass media has been positively utilised to improve literacy about HIV-AIDS, the negative stereotypic portrayal of

169 individuals with mental illness as 'unkempt, naked, dangerous, eating from the
170 dustbin' in the movies and media continue to engender negative attitudes towards
171 mental illness, especially among young people [14, 31]. For instance, a study found
172 a positive correlation between watching television and desire for social distance from
173 mental illness [32].

174 Social distance from individuals with mental illness may translate into alienation from
175 life opportunities including housing, work, social relationships and decent livelihood.
176 It may also hinder access to health care and desirable interventions thereby
177 worsening clinical outcomes in victimised individuals. Greater social distance has
178 also been related with less intention to seek help from informal sources and more
179 negative beliefs about receiving help [33]. These negatively impacts on the access of
180 individuals with mental illness to treatment and rehabilitation [33].

181 The findings of a stronger desire for social distance from mental illness highlights the
182 need for interventions to combat mental illness related stigma. In the light of this
183 finding, the neglect of anti-stigma efforts by policy makers cannot be justified. Mental
184 health literacy, contact with affected individuals, protests and other anti-stigma
185 campaigns have been shown to be effective in tackling the stigma associated with
186 mental illness [34]. The population of Nigeria is predominantly youthful and mental
187 illness commonly onset before adulthood. Furthermore adolescents have contact
188 with wide social networks thereby facilitating widespread exchange of information
189 with peers, schoolmates, friends, family and the community through direct contact
190 and via social media. Therefore, young people have an important strategic role in
191 anti-stigma campaign.

The current study is limited by convenient sampling from a selected school and the sample size was limited. This may limit generalisation of the results to the general population of Nigerian adolescents. Secondly, the reaction of participants in real-life situations may not be consistent with their responses to the vignette based questionnaire, and case vignettes may not reflect the complexity manifested in real life. Furthermore, socially desirable responses cannot be ruled out. However, participants were assured of the anonymity and confidentiality of their responses. The use of case vignette has also been shown to facilitate communication of the adolescent's opinion with minimal interference from the researcher. In addition, the use of a similar methodology to previous studies conducted in other parts of the world facilitated comparison of our results with extant literature.

In conclusion, adolescent students in the studied population demonstrated desire for greater social distance towards individuals with mental illness than those with HIV-AIDS. Widespread public education and anti-stigma campaigns have led to less stigmatising attitudes about HIV-AIDS in Nigeria. Similar interventions could target mental illness-related stigma, with the view of improving outcomes among affected individuals.

REFERENCES

1. Goffman E. Stigma. Notes on the management of spoiled identity. New York: Simon and Shuster, Inc; 1963
2. Cumming E, Cumming J. Closed ranks; an experiment in mental health education. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press; 1957.
3. Jang H, Lim JT, Oh J, Lee SY, Kim YI, Lee JS. Factors affecting public prejudice and social distance on mental illness: analysis of contextual effect by multi-level analysis. J. Prev. Med. Public Health. 2012; 45: 90–97

- 218 4. Jorm AF, Wright A. Influences on young people's stigmatizing attitudes towards
219 peers with mental disorders: national survey of young Australians and their
220 parents. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2008; 192(2): 144–149.
- 221 5. Baumann AE. Stigmatization, social distance and exclusion because of mental
222 illness: The individual with mental illness as a 'stranger'. *International Review*
223 *of Psychiatry*. 2007; 19: 131–135
- 224 6. Lauber C, Nordt C, Falcato L, Rossler W. Factors influencing social distance
225 toward people with mental illness. *Journal of Community Mental Health*. 2004;
226 40: 265-274
- 227 7. Gureje O, Lasebikan V, Ephraim-Oluwanuga O, Olley B, Kola L. Community study
228 of knowledge of and attitude to mental illness in Nigeria. *British Journal of*
229 *Psychiatry*. 2005;186: 436–441.
- 230 8. Adewuya AO, Makanjuola RO. Social distance towards people with mental illness
231 in southwestern Nigeria. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*.
232 2008;42(5): 389–395.
- 233 9. Sartorius N. Fighting stigma: theory and practice. *World Psychiatry*. 2002; 1: 26–
234 27.
- 235 10. Jorm AF, Oh E. Desire for social distance from people with mental disorders: a
236 review. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*. 2009; 43: 183-200
237
- 238 11. Koller M, Stuart H. Reducing stigma in high school youth. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*.
239 2016;134 (446):63-70
- 240 12. Kaushik A, Kostaki E, Kyriakopoulos M. The stigma of mental illness in children
241 and adolescents: A systematic review. *Psychiatry Res*. 2016; 243:469-94.
- 242 13. Oduguwa AO, Adedokun B, Omigbodun OO. Effect of a mental health training
243 programme on Nigerian school pupils' perceptions of mental illness. *Child Adolesc*
244 *Psychiatry Ment Health*. 2017; 7:11:19
245
- 246 14. Dogra N, Omigbodun O, Adedokun T, Bella T, Ronzoni P, Adesokan A. Nigerian
247 Secondary school children's knowledge of and attitudes to mental health and illness.
248 *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2012;17(3): 336-353.
249
- 250 15. Yoshioka K, Reavley NJ, McKinnon AJ, Jorm AF. Stigmatising attitudes towards
251 people with mental disorders: results from a survey of Japanese high school
252 students. *Psychiatry Res*. 2014; 30: 215(1):229-36
253
- 254 16. Dietrich S, Beck M, Bujantugs B, Kenzine D, Matschinger H, Angermeyer M C.
255 The relationship between public causal beliefs and social distance toward mentally ill
256 people. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*. 2004; 38: 348–354.
257

- 258 17. Ehiri JE, Anyanwu EC, Donath E, Kanu I, Jolly PE. AIDS-related stigma in sub-
259 Saharan Africa: its contexts and potential intervention strategies. *AIDS Public*
260 *Policy J.* 2005;20(1–2): 25–39.
- 261 18. Brimlow DL, Cook JS, Seaton R. Stigma and HIV/AIDS: A Review of the
262 Literature. US Department of Health and Human Services. 2003; Rockville, MD,
263 U.S.A.
264
- 265 19. Monjok E, Smesny A, Essien EJ. HIV/AIDS-related stigma and discrimination in
266 Nigeria: Review of research studies and future directions for prevention
267 strategies. *African Journal of Reproductive Health.* 2009; 13 (3): 21-35
268
- 269 20. Bogardus ES. Measuring social distances. *Journal of Applied Sociology.*
270 1925;12(11): 216–226
271
- 272 21. Pinfold V, Toulmin H, Thornicroft G, Huxley P, Farmer P, Graham T. Reducing
273 psychiatric stigma and discrimination: evaluation of educational interventions
274 in UK secondary schools. *British Journal of Psychiatry.* 2003;182: 342-346.
275
- 276 22. Watson AC, Miller FE, Lyons JS. Adolescent attitudes toward serious mental
277 illness. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease.* 2005; 193(7):69-72.
- 278 23. Wahl O. Depictions of mental illnesses in children's media. *Journal of Mental*
279 *Health.* 2003;12(3): 249-258.
- 280 24. Angermeyer MC, Matschinger H. The stigma of mental illness: effects of labeling
281 on public attitudes towards people with mental disorder. *Acta Psychiatry*
282 *Scand.* 2003;108: 304–309
- 283 25. Angermeyer, MC, Matschinger H, Corrigan PW. Familiarity with mental illness
284 and social distance from people with schizophrenia and major depression:
285 testing a model using data from a representative population survey.
286 *Schizophrenia Research.* 2004;69: 175-182.
- 287 26. Gureje O, Lasebikan VO, Kola L, Makanjuola VA. Lifetime and 12-month
288 prevalence of mental disorders in the Nigerian survey of mental health and
289 well-being. *British Journal of Psychiatry.* 2006;188(5), 465–471.
290
- 291 27. Angermeyer MC, Matschinger H. Social distance towards the mentally ill: results
292 of representative surveys in the Federal Republic of Germany. *Psychological*
293 *Medicine.* 1997;27(1): 131-141.
294
- 295 28. Babalola S, Fatusi A, Anyanti J. Media Saturation, Communication Exposure and
296 HIV Stigma in Nigeria. *Journal of Social Science & Medicine.* 2009;68(8):
297 1513-1520.
- 298 29. Fakolade R, Adebayo S, Anyanti J, Ankomah A. The impact of exposure to mass
299 media campaigns and social support on levels and trends of HIV-related

- 300 stigma and discrimination in Nigeria: tools for enhancing effective HIV
301 prevention programmes. *Journal of Biosocial Science*. 2010;42(3): 395-407.
- 302 30. Keating J, Meekers D, Adewuyi A. Assessing impact of a media campaign on
303 HIV/AIDS awareness and prevention in Nigeria: Results from the VISION
304 project evaluation. *BMC Public Health*. 2006;6: 123
- 305 31. Aina O. Mental illness and cultural issues in West African films: Implications for
306 orthodox psychiatric practice. *Journal of Medical Humanities*. 2004;30: 23–26.
307
- 308 32. Angermeyer MC, Matschinger H. Labeling–stereotype–discrimination: an
309 investigation of the stigma process. *Journal of Social Psychiatry*
310 *Epidemiology*. 2005;40: 391–395.
- 311 33. Yap M, Wright A, & Jorm A. The influence of stigma on young people's help-
312 seeking intentions and beliefs about the helpfulness of various sources of
313 help. *Social Psychiatry Psychiatric Epidemiology*. 2011;46(12): 1257-65
- 314 34. Corrigan PW, Green A, Lundin R, Kubiak MA, Penn DL. Familiarity with and
315 social distance from people who have serious mental illness. *Journal of*
316 *Psychiatry Service*. 2001;52: 953–958

317