



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_46080
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges and Resolution for Copper Wirebonding on Tapeless Leadframe Chip-On-Lead Technology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Manuscript requires only minor changes, my comments here are concerned solely with the organization of the manuscript.  Observation: Please have a look at:  - The introduction is voluminous  <u>Figures:</u>  - The lettering in fig 3 and 14 must be increased to be sufficiently readable.  - On the other hand I notice that the figures did not exist in the text. Please add.  <u>References:</u>  - Many important references are missed. Please do not forget to add a reference.	1. Done some editing on Introduction.  2. Done edit of Fig .3 and Fig. 15 (previously Fig. 14). Added 1 Figure and modified also other Figures.  3. Done mentioning all Fig.'s in the paragraphs.  4. Added 1 relevant reference.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	- Absent	Thank you.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article is very well written and provides excellent information. - The paper can be accepted.	Thank you very much.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>